FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0568			Date of visit: 22/11/2022
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0937	Site Name:	West of Burwick	
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.31	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	· •		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N N	rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Last transfer of fish onto site was on 13/11/2022 from Setter Voe.

Half of site is stocked from Setter Voe and the other half from Migdale.

Only a couple or moribunds across whole site.

Mortalities are usually ensiled on site. Small, amounts picked up by SEM, larger mortalities are handled by Pelagia.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0568		Site No:	FS0937				
Date of Visit:		22/11/2022]		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det	_	checked by s	ite representa	itive?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	s inspected	7
Species	SAL							
Age group	S1 2022							
No Fish	417,294							
Mean Fish Wt	1.893kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	•	September 2	2023	Next Input Da	_ ` '	March/Apri		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	s							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							14/09/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	•					Y
4. Are movement re		•						Y
5. Are records comp	olete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	ith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	•							
Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the hu	isiness (not ilsi	ing a STR)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys		• •		•				Y
,,								
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	tland)	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
				o (2552 fish), W	,		Vk 45: 0.32%	(1348 fish),
4. Recent mortality	•			ount as couldn'	't get out to si	te		
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?								
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	/reason:				
O A th								•
6. Any other peaks i								1
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (ortality events		vot or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	nortanties be	en reported to	veroi Fni?				IN/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' heen re	norted to FHI	? If no enter /	details on mort	ality events sl	neet		Y
o. Have Intertainty E	TOTALS DECELLED	ported to FIII	. If Ho, Chick (unity CVCIIIO 31	ICCL.		

1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any		
If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 4. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Yellow the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Treatments and Medicines Records	V
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? 7. M.S. 8. Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity Records 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 8. Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 8. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 8. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		1
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? 7. M.S. 1. Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: **Results of Surveillance** 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Fother, detail:		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Piosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If no, detail: Presults of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 9. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	·	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		Y
If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? **Biosecurity Records** 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Ye do detail: **Results of Surveillance** 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? **In the process of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included? **Y **In the presence of a listed disease is detected by a supplication of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included? **Y **Y **In the process of the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been carried by the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been carried on the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease to the presence of a listed disease to s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Yellow the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 9. Yellow to minimize the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 9. Yellow to minimize the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	If other, detail:	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yo, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yo, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Biosecurity Records	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No containment of the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of a listed disease is detected been covered (equal or higher the presence of	Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No if yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		Y
health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No if yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No any significant results available for inspection? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No any significant results available for inspection? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	- The state of the	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	<u>-</u>	Y
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Ti Tio, docum	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Posults of Surveillance	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	-	N
3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		-
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 14/09/2020 - 22/11/2022	il yes, detail (il flot detailed under recent disease problems).	
	Records checked between: 14/09/2020 - 22/11/2022	

г	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by: i	-111			
	Case no:	2022-05	68	Site No:		FS0937			Date of Samplin		22/	11/2022	22/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	_	₉ . HI		l	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:3	0:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. [20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Ь	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1	F2	F3	F4								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight		1.8930										
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details		Loch Damph (FS0376)	Loch Damph (FS0376)	ப Loch Damph (FS0376)	Loch Damph (FS0376)								
U,	i domey 140	1	9	9	0								

11/2022	11/2022 Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0568		Site No:	FS0937	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/11/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or necluding third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raids of the anddays	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of ι	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2]			
					Total Rank		19 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202	0
Case No: 2022-0568	Site No:	FS0937	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previ 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) factors.	allowed synchronously on a single y		
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-fee azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as acce can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?			
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agree Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	
 Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Le Do records adequately reflect the required standard sp 	-	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggrecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ested criteria for treatment in the Co	pGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numl 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that recor		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N	
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspec	ctorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered	ed to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N	
 Have therapeutic treatments been administered or oth suggested criteria for treatment or where C. elongatus is 			
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?		N/A	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had	a significant impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?	
Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coope	eration between participating farms?	Y	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer sea lice?	populations or part populations are	held without treatment for	
15. Is there a site specific written lice management process scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	dure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea l	ice count data? If no please detail r	easons. Y	
Containment Inspection			
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to pro	edators in the current or previous pr	oduction cycles?	
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation			
Top nets,		,	
If other, detail below:			
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on	or in the vicinity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection?	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question	10		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?			
Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (whe			
Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisher	ries trusts forthwith (where they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If ye	s give detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with lo Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	cal wild fish interests and was perm	ission given by Scottish	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk	of further escapes? (Not covered i	n code but could	
be considered under satisfactory measures of the A			
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to co		on(s)	

FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	С	ate of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0568	Site No:	S0937		
Date of Visit: 22/11/2	2022 Inspect	or:		
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection local	ted within a farm mana	gement area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require co	mpletion.			
Points of Compliance for Both Fa 2. Has a current farm management 3. Is the current FMAg/S available f 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the rele 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the dat 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the dat	agreement or statemer or inspection? evant farm managemen of farm site(s) to which it te of commencement of	nt (FMAg/S) been prep nt area? : applies?	ared?	Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Ma 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the mir farm?		s for the stocks to be in	ntroduced to the area	or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vac 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the sp 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the m individual farm?	pecies of fish which may	y be stocked into the a	rea or farm?	Y Y Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the artish farm in the area or the individu		rage and disposal of a	ny dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Managements 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arran		g of data on sea lice nu	ımbers and treatment	s? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avoid statement?	ailability and the use o	f medicines on farms o	overed by the agreen	nent Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any relice on farms in the area or individual		sitivity testing of availa	ble treatments for sea	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the ci used on farms in the area or individ		ch biological controls a	and cleaner fish are to	be Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the ar		onous treatments on fa	arms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the ci area or farm?	rcumstances when live	fish may be introduced	d or removed from the	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the ar or individual farms?	rangements for the mo	vement of live fish on	and off sites in the are	ea Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 07/03/2022	statement? Y

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0568			Date of visit:	22/11/2022			
Site No:	EC0027			lu au a atau				
Site No.	FS0937	ı		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	26/01/2023							
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD reissued EC report	09/03/2023							
·								





AMENDED FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 22/11/2022
Site No FS0937 Site Name West of Burwick
Case No 20220568 Inspector

This report replaces the fish health report R25 issued on 27/01/2023 by ______. The previous report should be discarded. The report has been updated to include information regarding reported mortality events.

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 09/03/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2022-0570			Date of visit: 23/11/2022						
Time spent on site:	2 hours	Main Inspecto	or:						
Site No: FS1099	Site Name:	Gletness							
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd							
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6						
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed N/A						
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-9						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	N/A If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet.						
Clinical signs of disease observ	ed?	N/A If yes, see additional infor							
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet.						
Diagnostic samples taken?		N/A							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									
	Weather t	too rough - 50mph winds.							

Additional Case Information:

No cleanerfish on site.

Mortalities have gone to Setterness so delivery notes will be up at Setterness. No delivery notes from this cycle currently. Next bin of mortalities will go to Energy Recovery Plant (Lerwick) as mortalities increase.

SLICE treatment - 31st October - 6th November.

Inspection of pens could not be undertaken, as weather was too rough to get onto site. Points in biosecurity records checked to ensure that procedures were recorded, however assessment of whether they were implemented on site could not be conducted.

Paperwork completed by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2022-0570		Site No:	FS1099						
Date of Visit:		23/11/2022]		Inspector(s):					
Registration/Autho										
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ			
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ			
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)							
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	2	No facilities	s inspected	0		
Species	SAL									
Age group	22 S0									
No Fish	178,103									
Mean Fish Wt	374g									
Next Fallow Date (S	•	Feb/March 2	.023	Next Input Da	_ ` '	No current				
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last \	/isit)?	N		
If yes, detail:										
Movement Records	5									
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y		
2. Date of last inspe		·					17/02/2020			
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					N		
4. Are movement re-	cords availabl	e for dead fis	h and waste?	•				N/A		
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					N/A		
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	ith GB) availa	able?				N/A		
Transport Records										
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y		
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records?	?			Y		
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)					
If other detail:	Pelagia									
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y		
4.5				6 (417 fish), WI	k 44: 0.17% (1	l70fish), Wk	45: 0.15% (1	52 fish), Wk		
4. Recent mortality (46: 0.26% (2	252 fish)				N.		
5. Evidence of recer		••		,				N		
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	reason:						
6 Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y		
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? f yes, detail: Wk40: 7.73% (15,227) transfer mortalities less than 6 weeks post stocking										
7. Have increased (u					cons post s	Conting		N/A		
If yes, detail action:										
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A		

Treatments and Med	dicines Records							
1. Recent treatments	(see comment)?		Y					
If yes, detail:	SLICE							
If other, detail:	Optamase							
2. Medicines records	available for inspection?		Y					
3. Are records compl	lete and correctly entered?		Y					
4. Are fish in a withdr	rawal period?		Y					
5. If yes, what treatme	ent(s)?	ICE						
If other, detail:	Optamase							
6. Are medicines stor	red appropriately?		Y					
Biosecurity Records								
•	s available for inspection?		Y					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?								
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any								
· · ·	ned) mortality at the site been included?		Y					
		e or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
	uded and how and when that will be notified		Y					
	•	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	1					
health status, certifica	ation if required)?							
6. Have the bushend	In and his society magnitude implemented	hat was a saah anidamialagiaal unit to minimiaa	Y					
	iry and biosecurity measures implemented ase been covered (movement of staff, visito	between each epidemiological unit to minimise						
	available regarding the measures in place t		V					
aquaculture animals		o maintain the physical containment of						
· ·	ity procedures been adequately implement	ed on site?	N/A					
If no, detail:	ny procedures been adequately implement	ed on site:						
Results of Surveilla	ince							
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or or	behalf of, the business?	N					
•	available for inspection?							
3. Any significant res	•							
	etailed under recent disease problems).							
R	Records checked between: 17	//02/2020 - 23/11/2022						

Case No:	2022-0570	l		Date of visit	: 23/11/202	2					
Site No:	FS1099	1		Inchestor							
Site No.	FS1099 Inspector:										
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation					
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	-										
	-	-									
	+										
	1 										
	1										
	1										
	+										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
REG, CNI, SLI	26/01/2023										
reissued REG	09/03/2023										
	-										
	+										

Page 1 of 1





AMENDED FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 23/11/2022

 Site No
 FS1099
 Site Name
 Gletness

 Case No
 20220570
 Inspector

This report replaces the fish health report R10 issued on 27/01/2023 by ______. The previous report should be discarded. Some of the records which were checked during the inspection could not be fully assessed, as the inspector was unable to get on to pens, due to adverse weather conditions.

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Due to adverse weather conditions epidemiological units were not physically inspected.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

• FS numbers were not recorded in the movement book. These were added to the movement book during the inspection and it was discussed with the manager that these were to be included for any future movements on or off site. No further action.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

R10

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0571			Date of visit: 24/11/2022
Time spent on site:	3 hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0183	Site Name:	South Sound	
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.4	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-8a
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	Y If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Fish arrived on site 29th September. No waste transfers have occurred yet this cycle due to small size of fish that can be ensiled on site.

SLICE 7th-13th November due to increased number of caligus

Site changes to sapphire seal pro nets when fish get bigger.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0571		Site No:	FS0183				
Date of Visit:		24/11/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	iils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		11	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	11
Species	SAL							
Age group	22 S0							
No Fish	425,422							
Mean Fish Wt	212g							
Next Fallow Date (S		May 2024	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Sep/Octob	er 2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•	•			Any escapes	_		N
If yes, detail:					i iiiy oodupoo	(5.1.100 15.00	, .	
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					18/02/2020	'
3. Are records comp		actly antorod?	,				16/02/2020	N
4. Are movement re				,				IN V
5. Are records comp				-hl-0				1 N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vitri GD) avalia	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen					_			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ii	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:				e they go to Pe	elagia.			
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4 Pagent mortality	(last 4 wks):			(456 fish), Wk	44: 0.3% (128	34 fish), Wk	45: 0.41% (17	85 fish),
4. Recent mortality (•	tomical usantal	Wk46: 0.7%	(3022 IISH)				
5. Evidence of recer				/				,
If yes, facility nos/no							-1	
Increased number o				, nead damage	from concuss	sion oπ nam	ster wheels.	V
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality du	ring period ch	пескеа?					Y
	unovalois sel\	mortalitics I	on ronerted t	Not or FIIIO				NI/A
7. Have increased (unexplained) l	nortalities be	ен геропеа то	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		L	0.16 1	-1-4-3	-14.			
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records									
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y								
T.M.S.,									
If yes, detail: Slice									
If other, detail:									
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y								
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Slice									
If other, detail:									
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y								
Biosecurity Records									
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y								
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y								
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any									
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y								
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease									
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y								
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y								
health status, certification if required)?									
2.11 " - bush and a smith was a sum to was a smith was	V								
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?									
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y								
aquaculture animals held on site?									
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y								
If no, detail:									
Results of Surveillance									
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y								
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y								
3. Any significant results?	Y								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD									
Pharmaq - 1/10 samples came back positive for AGD 11/22/2022									
Records checked between: 18/02/2020 - 24/11/2022									

	Case no: Priority samples:	2022-05	571	Site No:		FS0183			Date of vi	eit/	2/1/1	1/2022	24/
ı	Priority samples:								Sampling:		24/1	1/2022	241
		VI		ВА		РА		MG	Sampling	н	=		
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00	11:4	5:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No	. [10
I	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
	ld Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1	F1										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	0.2120	0.2120										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
١	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin Facility No	சை Barcaldine Smolt FS1328)	Barcaldine Smolt FS1328)										

	,													
11/2022 Additional Sample Information:														
Overdose by TMS														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0571		Site No:	FS0183		Insp:	
Date of Visit	24/11/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
Sp. 5.5	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
Movements off			I 0		6	10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	rtumber er deet	Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		15 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0571	Site No:	FS0183	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallo 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access	wed synchronously on a single yand bath sea lice medications (in	ncluding deltamethrin,	N Y Y
can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?			
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)?	ent or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm	Y
 Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified 		Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggestrecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that	Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) number 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered t			N/A N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con			N/A
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a s 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coopera 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	tion between participating farms	evels recorded? ?	N/A Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedur scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail	reasons.	Y
O			
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation ex Top nets,	•	•	Y
If other, detail below:			
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 104. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries	they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	N
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (Not covered		
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to conta		son(s)	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0571	Site No: FS0183	
Date of Visit: 24/11/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	. ithir a farma managaran and ana a	
1. Is the farm under inspection located \(\) If N, no further questions require complete.	•	Y
in it, no farater questions require comple	cuori.	
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement im health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farn	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirelice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and farms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoct 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto roodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to beco	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 01/08/2022	statement? Y

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0571			Date of visit:	24/11/2022			
Site No:	FS0183			Inspector:				
Describe Commons	Гиан			Da	to of Notifico	4:		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Inon		te of Notifica		lnon	ond .
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	 							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	26/01/2023		2 11100					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD reissued EC report	09/03/2023							





AMENDED FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 24/11/2022

 Site No
 FS0183
 Site Name
 South Sound

 Case No
 20220571
 Inspector

This report replaces the fish health report R25 issued on 27/01/2023 by The previous report should be discarded. The report has been updated to include information regarding reported mortality events.

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS numbers were not recorded in the movement book. These were added to the movement book during the inspection and it was discussed with the manager that these were to be included for any future movements on or off site. No further action is required.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 09/03/2023