

Case No: 2022-0201 Date of visit: 16/06/2022

Time spent on site: 6.5hrs Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS1296 Site Name: Colonsay  
Business No: FB0119 Business Name: Mowi Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 ESC 6 [Redacted]

Water Temp (°C): 10 Thermometer No: T152 FHI 045 completed [Redacted]

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA: None

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Fish on from Inchmore (FS8221) and Glenfinnan (FS0742) in April 21.

Mortalities above reporting threshold since last inspection:

Wk44 2021 (1.69%)

Wk43 (1.67%)

Wk41 (5.46%)

Wk40 (2.26%)

- all mortalities attributed to AGD, PD and post-treatment losses.

Wk49 2019 (1.83%)

Wk48 (1.52%)

Wk47 (1.13%)

Wk46 (1.4%)

Wk45 (1.66%)

- all mortalities attributed to AGD and PD.

3 deadhaul and 1 livehaul harvests were completed in May 22. Going forward, site will only do deadhaul harvests to Ireland.

Fish were sitting deep in the water so were difficult to observe. Those that could be observed appeared in good health and were active in the cages. Large variation in sizes within the cages, with many runts/poor doers observed across the site. No moribund fish were observed and 3 mortalities were noted across the site. Not fresh dead so not sampled.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy externally and internally.

Escape investigation -

Seal was observed in cage 5 during the inspection. No obvious breaches in containment were identified during the inspection. Divers were called to the site and were in the cage the same day. Findings to follow.

UPDATE 08/07/22: The incident was reported to have been a result of a froyer ring connection failure. Divers repaired the 1m hole in the net of cage 5 on the same day the seal was observed in the cage. The fish were moved into four other cages on the site and the net removed for repairs. All the froyer ring connection points (16 per cage) across the site are currently being replaced. The winch dyneema lifting ropes and the tension bar bolts are also being replaced across the site.

UPDATE 22/03/23: Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 20th March 2023. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="14"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="13"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="14"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="21Q2"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="972,692"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="3kg"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="July 23"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="April 24"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<input type="text" value="N"/>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value="Seal observed in cage 5 during the inspection."/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

- 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?  Y  
 If yes, detail: TMS
- If other, detail:
- 2. Medicines records available for inspection?  Y
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  Y
- 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  Y
- 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? TMS  
 If other, detail:
- 6. Are medicines stored appropriately?  Y

**Biosecurity Records**

- 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?  Y
- 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  Y
- 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?  Y
- 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?  Y
- 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?  Y
- 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?  Y
- 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?  Y
- 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  Y  
 If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

- 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  Y
- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?  Y
- 3. Any significant results?  Y  
 If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

AGD/PGD and PD were identified early in the cycle but are no longer being detected.  
 Records checked between: 07/11/2019 - 09/06/2022

Case Number:	2022-0201	Site No:	FS1296	Insp:		
Date of Visit	16/06/2022	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>8</b>	<b>LOW</b>

Case No:

Site No:

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?   
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Top nets  Tensioned nets   
If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?   
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)

7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail

8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)

9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Seal observed in cage 5 during inspection.

Case No: 2022-0201

Site No: FS1296

Date of Visit: 16/06/2022

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

Y

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

Y

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

Y

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

Y

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

Y

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Y

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

Y

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

Y

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

Y

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

Y

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Y

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

Y

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

Y

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

Y

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

Y

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Y

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

Y

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Y

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?





Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
 Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

**Add Fish/Pools - click**

Pool/Fish No	F1	F2	F3	F4								
Fish nos	F1	F2	F3	F4								
Pool Group												
Species	SAL											
Average weight	3kg											
Sex	N/A											
Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		Glenfinnan	Inchmore	Inchmore	Inchmore							
	Stock Origin											
Facility No	1	6	9	11								



Site No: FS1296
Case No: 2022-0201
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0119	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	16/06/2022
<b>SITE No</b>	FS1296	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Colonsay
<b>CASE No</b>	20220201	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	

### Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 20<sup>th</sup> March 2023. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 22/03/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0119	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	16/06/2022
<b>SITE No</b>	FS1296	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Colonsay
<b>CASE No</b>	20220201	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

## **Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015**

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements.

With regards to containment issues, an escape investigation was conducted and a separate report with any recommendations will be issued separately.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 23/06/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0119	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	16/06/2022
<b>SITE No</b>	FS1296	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Colonsay
<b>CASE No</b>	20220201	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████

### Escape Investigation

During a routine surveillance inspection at the site, a seal was observed by the inspector in cage 5. Divers were called out to the site on the same day and discovered a vertical tear of approximately 1m in length at a depth of 12m, where the sidewall meets the base of the net. An initial escapes notification was received by Marine Scotland on the same day (MSe160622SAL1).

All epidemiological units were inspected.

On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Following the circumstances of the reported escape of Atlantic salmon on the 16/06/2022, the following recommendations are made for improvement at the site:

**Due to the failure of weighting system connections, it is recommended that a documented review is undertaken to revise and improve the standard operating procedure and inspection plan for pen and mooring components, which is based on risk assessment, in accordance with A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture (CoGP) Chapter 4, point 4.16.**

**It is recommended that a documented review is undertaken of the design, quality and standard of manufacture of nets to take account of the conditions likely to be experienced on the site, including an adequate safety margin to prevent the failure of weighting system connections to meet the requirements of CoGP Chapter 4, point 4.20.**

**It is recommended that a documented review is undertaken of the inspection procedures and frequencies for nets and the weighting systems as required by the CoGP Chapter 4, points 4.23 and 4.24, to ensure that weighting system connection failures are prevented.**

R27



**It is recommended that a documented review should be undertaken of the procedure for raising and lowering weighting systems, the associated risk assessment and contingency plan, to ensure that procedures that could increase the risk of fish escaping from pens should be carefully planned and supervised to minimise any risk and that a documented risk assessment, a standard operating procedure and a contingency plan are in place in accordance with CoGP Chapter 4, points 4.29 and 4,30, .**

**It is recommended that a documented review is undertaken of the predator risk assessment as required by the CoGP Chapter 4, point 4.26, to ensure that the requirement of the CoGP Chapter 4, point 5.8 are met by the equipment and farm design protecting the fish from predators.**

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 31<sup>st</sup> December 2022. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 12/10/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>