FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0251			Date of visit: 19/07/2022
Time spent on site:	0 minutes	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0612	Site Name:	Croo Taing	
Business No: SB0405	Business Name:	Unst Shellfish	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.29	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken:		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

All stock lightly fouled with seaweed, barnacles and sea squirts. A couple of open empty shells observed. Stock to be harvested soon.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0251		Site No:	SS0612				
Date of Visit:		19/07/2022	2		Inspector(s)):		ı
Registration/Autho			ita rangaant	ativo?			V	,
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	_	checked by s	site represent	auve?			Y	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	ocked	8	No facilit	ies inspected	8
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2019	2020						
No Fish	6.5 lines	1.5 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	45-50mm	35-50mm						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Continuous	cycle	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2023	_	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since las	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are records comp Are movement records comp Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords available elete and corre ates for introd ints carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_			N/A N/A Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail	i)		
If other detail:	Empty shells							
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ent						N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality obs	erved since l	ast inspecti	on	
Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		L , , ,						
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Pinance in the Barrania	
Biosecurity Records	V
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Healths manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and cofe diameter has a considered?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	1
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	'
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
ricalur status, cerunication il required):	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 02/10/2018 - 19/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12				
Case Number:	2022-0251 Site No:	SS061	2	j					
Date of ∀isit	19/07/2022 Inspector:								
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site								
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk								
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes					
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0				
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3				
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0				
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6					
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0				
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3					
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0				
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0				
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0				
Movements off									
Movements on	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0				
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0				
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0				
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)					
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0				
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0				
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4					
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1				
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1				
			Yes	No					
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2				
			Total		7				
			Risk		LOW				

Case No:	2022-0251			Date of visit:	19/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0612	1		Inspector				
One 140.	000012			mapeolor.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	29/07/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0405 DATE OF VISIT 19/07/2022 SITE NO SS0612 SITE NAME Croo Taing

Case No 20220251 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 29/07/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	l:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0252			Date of visit: 19/07/2022
Time spent on site:	5 minutes	Main Insp	ector:
Site No: SS0611	Site Name:	Hawksness	
Business No: SB0405	Business Name:	Unst Shellfish	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.5	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		nformation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

2021 Stock is from Fassfern. Stock clean with minimal fouling, no open shells observed.

Site and paperwork inspected by _____, supervised by _____.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0252		Site No:	SS0611				
Date of Visit:		19/07/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	iils						
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		2	Facilities sto	ocked	2	No facilitie	es inspected	2
Species	MED							
Age group	2021							
No Fish	2 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	15mm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous	cycle	Next Input Da	te (Site)	2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	*		,		Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					, ,		,	
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre cords available plete and corre ates for introd ates carried out	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availah	able? usiness (not usi			02/10/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Empty shells	fall to seabe	d					
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		No increase	d mortality obse	erved since la	st inspectio	n	
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) i	nortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Diagoniity Dagonda		
Biosecurity Records		V
 Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, record 	ling and cafe disposal been considered?	Y
		'
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sco increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	ollish Ministers of Veterinary professional of any	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	noo or cuspicion of the prosence of a listed disease	•
is detected been included and how and when that will be noti	·	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked		Ÿ
health status, certification if required)?	a of the farm site been covered (equal of higher	
Thousand, continuation in require cap.		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemente	d between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vis		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place		Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	, . ,	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately impleme	nted on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between:	02/10/2018 - 19/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12				
Case Number:	2022-0252 Site No:	SS061	1						
Date of ∀isit	19/07/2022 Inspector:								
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site								
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk								
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes					
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0				
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3				
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0				
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6					
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0				
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3					
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0				
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0				
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0				
Movements off									
Movements on	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0				
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0				
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0				
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)					
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0				
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0				
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4					
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1				
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1				
			Yes	No					
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2				
			Total		7				
			Risk		LOW				

					40/07/0000			
Case No:	2022-0252	l		Date of visit:	19/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0611	1		Inspector:				
				moposion.				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary			- nd -					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	29/07/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0405 DATE OF VISIT 19/07/2022
SITE NO SS0611 SITE NAME Hawksness
CASE NO 20220252 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 29/07/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0253			Date of visit: 19/07/2022
Time spent on site:	0 minutes	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: SS0947	Site Name:	Huney	
Business No: SB0405	Business Name:	Unst Shellfish	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.06	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken:		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Stock lightly fouled by seaweed and barnacles. No open shells observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0253		Site No:	SS0947				
Date of Visit:		19/07/2022]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilitie	s inspected	1
Species	MED							
Age group	2021							
No Fish	1 line							
Mean Fish Wt	10mm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous o	cycle	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	2023	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:						•		
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	plete and correctords available blete and correctates for introducts.	e for dead fis ectly entered? uctions (outw	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			First inspect	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records	:							NI/A
 Mortality records How are mortalitie 		•			Other (detail)			N/A
If other detail:			al .		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records	Empty shells							N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	correctly erite		d mortality obs	oniod			IN/A
5. Evidence of recei	•	tunical mortal		d mortality obs	erved			N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/roacon:				11
ii yes, iacility nos/no	Thortality per	Tacility/110 Sto	ck per racility	ricason.				
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					
If yes, detail:					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y			
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording a	nd safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish	Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y			
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence o	r suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to	Scottish Ministers?	Y			
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the	ne farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y			
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented bet	ween each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors,	equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?				
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to m	aintain the physical containment of	Y			
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	on site?	Y			
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on be	half of, the business?	N			
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					
3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
Records checked between: Up ur	ntil 19/07/2022				

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0253 Site No:	SS094	7		
Date of ∀isit	19/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	·				
Movements on	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total		14
			Risk		LOW

Case No:	2022-0253			Date of visit:	19/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0947	1		Inspector				
One 140.	000047			mapeolor.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	29/07/2022							
	-							
	†							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0405
 DATE OF VISIT
 19/07/2022

 SITE NO
 SS0947
 SITE NAME
 Huney

 CASE NO
 20220253
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since site has been stocked.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0254			Date of visit: 19/07/2022
Time spent on site:	5 minutes	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: SS0946	Site Name:	Swarta Skerry	
Business No: SB0405	Business Name:	Unst Shellfish	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.03	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken:		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Stock lightly fouled with seaweed and barnacles. No open shells observed.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0254		Site No:	SS0946				
Date of Visit:		19/07/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	iils						
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilitie	es inspected	1
Species	MED							
Age group	2021							
No Fish	1 line							
Mean Fish Wt	10mm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	2023	<u> </u>	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:						•		
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?							on Y N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records								N 1/A
Mortality records		•			Other (detail)			N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of? Other (detail) Empty shells fall to seabed								
		fall to seabe						N/A
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?						IN/A		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): No increased mortality observed						N		
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:						IN		
ii yes, iacility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per racility	reason.				
6. Any other neaks i	n mortality du	ring period of	ecked?					N/A
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? If yes, detail:						IN/A		
7. Have increased (uneyplained)	mortalities bo	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	nortaines be	erreported to	J VCC OF T THE				14//
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	S.NO DECITIE	Jones to 1 111	. Il lio, criter	actails on more	and creme a			,, ,

Treatments and Medicines Records				
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?				
If yes, detail:				
If other, detail:				
2. Medicines records available for inspection?				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?				
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?				
Biosecurity Records				
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y			
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	[/]			
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Ť			
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed dis	ease			
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	T			
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher than the status of a status o	r r			
health status, certification if required)?				
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimi	se Y			
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?				
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y			
aquaculture animals held on site?				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y			
If no, detail:	_			
Results of Surveillance				
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N			
If yes, are results available for inspection?				
3. Any significant results?				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
Records checked between: Up until 19/07/2022				

rni 059, version 15	issued by. Frii			Date	or issue. 12
Case Number:	2022-0254 Site No:	SS094	6		
Date of ∀isit	19/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management				
	Areas Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management	0	1	2	0
	Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	U	3	0	
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		14 LOW

0 N	2000 2054				40/07/0000			
Case No:	2022-0254			Date of visit:	19/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0946	1		Inspector:		1		
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notificat			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
Donart Cumman								
Report Summary	Dete	Inon	and I					
			2 ^m Insp					
LOI	29/01/2022							
Case Type ECI	Date 29/07/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp					





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0405 DATE OF VISIT 19/07/2022
SITE NO SS0946 SITE NAME Swarta Skerry

CASE NO 20220254 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since site has been stocked.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0255			Date of visit: 19/07/2022
Time spent on site:	15 minutes	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0945 Business No: SB0405	Site Name: Business Name:	West Skeo Taing Unst Shellfish	
Case Types: 1 ECI	23	4 5	6 6
Water Temp (°C): 12.31	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail	l reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Both 2019 and 2020 lightly fouled with seaweed and barnacles. No open shells observed. Harvesting in August.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0255		Site No:	SS0945				
Date of Visit:		19/07/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	iils						
1. Business/site det	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	o details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	cked	5	No facilitie	es inspected	5
Species	MED							
Age group	2020							
No Fish	5 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	45mm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:							,	
2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?							N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of? Other (detail)								
If other detail:		fall to sea be						
Mortality records complete and correctly entered?						N/A		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): No increased mortality observed								
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?						N		
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
C. Amy other medical		ring mariael ale	androd2					NI/A
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?						N/A		
If yes, detail:	upovploiped)	mortalities ha	on reported to	vot or EUI2				N/A
7. Have increased (If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	nortaintes bec	en reported to	Veroi Filis				IN/A
	ente' boon ro	ported to EUI	2 If no onter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet .		N/A
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.						IN/A		

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	ng and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scot	tish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present		
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifi		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visit	- Control of the Cont	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemen	ited on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		<u> </u>
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or o	n behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between:	Jp until 19/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0255 Site No:	SS094	5	j	
Date of ∀isit	19/07/2022 Inspector:	1			
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements on	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total		14
			Risk		LOW

Case No:	2022-0255	J		Date of visit:	19/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0945	1		Inspector		1		
		ı		moposion.				
Results Summary	Freq.				ite of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	29/07/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0405 DATE OF VISIT 19/07/2022
SITE NO SS0945 SITE NAME West Skeo Taing
CASE NO 20220255 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since site has been stocked.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0256			Date of visit: 20/07/2022
Time spent on site:	0 minutes	Main Inspec	ctor:
Site No: SS0635	Site Name:	Bastavoe, Yell	
Business No: SB0416	Business Name:	C&A Thomason Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.89	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

All stock fouled to varying degrees with seaweed, barnacles and sea squirts. One tubeworm observed on 2020 stock. A few empty open shells observed.

Site and paperwork inspected by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Date of	issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0256		Site No:	SS0635			
Date of Visit:		20/07/2022]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails					
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Y	
2. Changes made to	details?					Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)				
Total No facilities	o cicarior no	17	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilities inspec	ted 17
Species	MED	MED					
Age group	2020	2021					_
No Fish	8 lines	8 lines					
Mean Fish Wt	60mm	13-30mm					
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous		Next Input Da	te (Site)	August 2022	-
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				• ' '	(since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					, ,	,	_
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a system 	olete and corre cords availab olete and corre ates for introd to	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi		25/07/2	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							
Mortality records a		•					N/A
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)		
If other detail:		fall to seabe					
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ente					N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality obse	erved since la	st inspection	
5. Evidence of recer		• •		,			N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			
C Any other meals:		ring paried ab	and and a				NI/A
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	нескеа <i>?</i>				N/A
If yes, detail:	unovaloin ad	mortalitics be	on ronested to	Not or FUII2			NI/A
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	nortaintes be	en reported to	vecor Fri?			N/A
If yes, detail action:	onto' boon ro	ported to EUI	2 If no onter	dotaile on mort	ality avanta sh	poot	N/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents been re	ported to FHI	r ii no, enter	details on mort	anty events sr	ieet.	IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recordi	ing and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scot	ttish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presen		
is detected been included and how and when that will be notif		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	d between each anidomialogical unit to minimize	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visi		•
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place		Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	to maintain the physical containment of	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	nted on site?	Y
If no, detail:	ited off site :	
ii iio, dotaii.		
Results of Surveillance		
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or containing the surveillance been carried out by the surveillance by the surveillance been carried out by the surveillance b	on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 2	25/07/2017 - 20/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0256 Site No:	SS063	5		
Date of ∀isit	20/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Marramanta aff					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		13 LOW

Case No:	2022-0256			Date of visit:	20/07/2022	3		
Site No:	SS0635	1		Inspector:				
				moposion.				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	01/08/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0416 DATE OF VISIT 20/07/2022
SITE NO SS0635 SITE NAME Bastavoe, Yell
CASE NO 20220256 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0257			Date of visit: 20/07/2022
Time spent on site:	10 minutes	Main Inspec	ctor:
Site No: SS0646	Site Name:	Kirkabister	
Business No: SB0416	Business Name:	C&A Thomason Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.57	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Site planning on putting in 4 more lines.

Both 2019 and 2020 stock were fouled by seaweed, barnacles and sea squirts. A couple empty open shells observed. Harvesting to begin in August.

Site and paperwork inspected by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0257		Site No:	SS0646				
Date of Visit:		20/07/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		14	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	14
Species	MED	MED					I	
Age group	2019	2020						
No Fish	6 lines	6 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	50-65mm	40-55mm						
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous		Next Input Da	te (Site)	2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					,, cccapes	(0		
 Are records comp Are movement ref Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords availab elete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi				N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							•	
Mortality records a	available for i	aspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:		fall to seabe	d					
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortalities ob	served since	last inspec	tion	
5. Evidence of recer	•	typical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:			'	
, ,	71		, ,					
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
ii no, actaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Records checked between: 25/07/2017 - 20/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0257 Site No:	SS064	6		
Date of ∀isit	20/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Marramanta aff					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total		9
			Total Risk		LOW

Case No:	2022-0257			Date of visit:	20/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0646			lu au a ataul				
Site IVO.	330040			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Dat	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	01/08/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0416 DATE OF VISIT 20/07/2022 SITE NO SS0646 SITE NAME Kirkabister

CASE NO 20220257 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.


The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Date: 01/08/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2022-0258			Date of visit: 20/07/2022				
Time spent on site:	0 minutes	Main Inspec	etor:				
Site No: SS0661	Site Name:	North Ayre					
Business No: SB0416	Business Name:	C&A Thomason Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 12.75	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		ormation/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?		N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Both 2020 and 2021 lines were heavily fouled in seaweed. Some sea squirts were also present on the lines.

Starting to harvest out in August.

Paperwork and site inspection carried out by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Date of i	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0258		Site No:	SS0661			
Date of Visit:		20/07/2022			Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils					
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?					Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)				
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	5	No facilities inspecte	ed 6
Species	MED	MED					
Age group	2020	2021					
No Fish	2.5 lines	2.5 lines					
Mean Fish Wt	20-40mm	50-65mm					
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuous		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Winter 2022	-
Recent (last 4 wks)	*				• ' '	(since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				, any occuped	(cirror last viole).	
 Are records comp Are movement ref Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords availab plete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?					N/A
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)		
If other detail:		fall to seabe					
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ent	ered?				N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality obse	erved since la	st inspection	
Evidence of recer		• •					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:			
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?				N/A
If yes, detail:							
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail action:		=					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	ieet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary profession	nal of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a	isted disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal	or nigner
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit t	to minimico
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 25/07/2017 - 20/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12		
Case Number:	2022-0258 Site No:	SS066	1				
Date of ∀isit	20/07/2022 Inspector:						
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site						
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk						
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes			
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0		
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3		
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0		
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6			
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal						
	excursion	0	2	10	2		
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3			
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0		
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0		
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0		
Movements off	''				=		
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0		
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0		
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0		
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)			
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0		
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0		
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0		
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1		
sites	chec operating noncongredence						
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5		
		U	1 Yes	No No	5		
					2		
	Sites sharing staff and equipment		Yes	No	2 13 LOW		

-								
Case No:	2022-0258	l		Date of visit:	20/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0661	1		Inspector:				
One No.	000001	J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
	+							
	1							
	-							
	+							
	+							
	1							
	-							
	+							
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	01/08/2022							
	+							
	+							
	I -							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0416
 DATE OF VISIT
 20/07/2022

 SITE NO
 SS0661
 SITE NAME
 North Ayre

 CASE NO
 20220258
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 01/08/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2022-0259			Date of visit: 20/07/2022				
Time spent on site:	5 minutes	Main Inspec	etor:				
Site No: SS0717	Site Name:	Hamnavoe					
Business No: SB0416	Business Name:	C&A Thomason Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 12.15	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		ormation/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?		If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?		N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Whole site moderately fouled in seaweed, barnacles and sea squirts.

Site behind in harvesting due to covid and large amounts of spatfall recently. Site due to begin harvesting in August.

Paperwork and site inspected by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0259		Site No:	SS0717				
Date of Visit:		20/07/2022			Inspector(s)			
Registration/Auth	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made	to details?						Υ	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	cked	5	No faciliti	es inspected	5
Species	MED							
Age group	2019							
No Fish	5 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	50-70mm							
Next Fallow Date (Continuous		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	2023		
Recent (last 4 wks	•	ems?		•	Any escapes	s (since last	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:							,	
1. Movement record 2. Date of last insp 3. Are records com 4. Are movement r 5. Are records com 6. Are health certifit Transport Record 1. Are any movement r yes, is there a sy	ection: applete and corrected availabe applete and corrected for introductions be ents carried outsteen in place for the corrected available and corrected available availabl	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			25/07/2017	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records		nspection?					Г	N/A
2. How are mortalit		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells	fall to seabe	d		,	,		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	•	•		d mortality obs	erved since la	ast inspection	on	
5. Evidence of rece		typical morta						N
If yes, facility nos/n		• •		/reason:			-	
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:		.5 5						
7. Have increased		mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action 8. Have 'mortality e		ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mor	ality events s	heet		N/A
o lave intortainty c	TOTAL DECITIO	ported to 1 111	. If the, criter	actano on mon	anty events s			

Treatments and Medicines Reco	ords		
1. Recent treatments (see comme	nt)?		
If yes, detail:			
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records available for	•		
3. Are records complete and corre-	ctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?			
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?			
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored appropriat	tely?		
Biosecurity Records			
1. Biosecurity records available for	•		Y
	•	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
•	•	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality			Y
	•	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how			Y
•	_	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if require	ed)?		
•	•	ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	•	isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	rding the measures in plac	ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?			
8. Have the biosecurity procedures	been adequately implement	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			N.
1. Has any animal health surveillar	•	on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for in	ispection?		
3. Any significant results?			
If yes, detail (if not detailed under r	ecent disease problems).		
Records check	led between:	25/07/2017 - 20/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0259 Site No:	SS071	7		
Date of ∀isit	20/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0
Live shellfish moven	nente	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Mayamanta off					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total		10
			Risk		LOW

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0259			Date of visit:	20/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0717			Inspector:				
Populto Cummon	Eroa			Do	te of Notifica	tion		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	and I
		Database	шър	FIIONE	шър	vviiung	шэр	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	01/08/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0416 DATE OF VISIT 20/07/2022 SITE NO SS0717 SITE NAME Hamnavoe

CASE NO 20220259 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0264			Date of visit: 18/07/2022
Time spent on site:	20min	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: SS0617 Business No: SB0409	Site Name: Business Name:	East of Burki Taing North Maven Marine Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

1 line in the water. Site inspected as fallow.

Authorisation form signed to transfer all sites from North Maven Marine Ltd (SB0409) to Vementry Aquaculture (SB0458).

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0264		Site No:	SS0617	7			
Date of Visit:		18/07/2022	2		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta	ils summary	checked by s	ite represent	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	ocked	0	No faciliti	es inspected	1
Species							T	
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (Si	te)	Currently fal	low	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Unsure	<u> </u>	
Recent (last 4 wks) o	lisease proble	ems?		N	Any escape	es (since las	t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:						,	,	
 Are records completed. Are movement records completed. Are records completed. Are health certification. Transport Records Are any movement fyes, is there a systematical. 	cords availablete and corre ates for introd ts carried out	e for dead fisectly entered? luctions (outv	sh and waste'? vith GB) avail half) of the b	able? usiness (not us			[Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
Mortality records a	vailable for ir	nspection?					Ī	N/A
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (deta	il)		
	Empty shells		d.			,		
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (I		Ť	Site fallow.					
5. Evidence of recent	,	typical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no				y/reason:			•	
		•						
6. Any other peaks in	mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	nexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Treatments and Medicines Decords		
If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 4. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results?	Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Pecent treatments (see comment)?		
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 8. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NA 1. Has the health stavellance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NA 1. Has the health stavellance during the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a listed disease to the form of the presence of a li	•		
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 8. Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. NA avaignificant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. Any significant results? If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	,		
If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Yesults of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. No say significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	·		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 2. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yos, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	or the medianics clored appropriatory.	· ·	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Biosecurity Records		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	•		Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the prese	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N N N N N N N N N N N N N	is detected been included and how and when that will be no	tified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Yellow the procedures been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Note that the physical containment of the procedure in the physical containment of the procedure in the physical containment of the p	health status, certification if required)?		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Yellow the procedures been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Note that the physical containment of the procedure in the physical containment of the procedure in the physical containment of the p			
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			Y
aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		to the state of the	NI/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		ce to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	·	antad an aita0	V
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		ented on site?	Ť
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	ii iio, detaii.		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Desults of Curveillance		
2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		on habelf of the hydinage?	N
3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	·	on benail of, the business?	11
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	·		
	• •		
Records checked between 7/11/17 - 18/7/22	ii yos, detaii (ii fiot detailed diidei fecelit disease problems).		
	Records checked between:	7/11/17 - 18/7/22	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

issued by: FHI			Dale	or issue: 12
2022-0264 Site No:	SS061	7		
18/07/2022 Inspector:				
le species on site				
es present = <u>LOW</u> risk			V	
. , ,				0
		•		3
Susceptible to USHV (CGI)		0	3	0
ccursion	1	2-5	>6	
Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
nents	0	1-2	>3	
Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
	None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
		Yes	No	
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
		Total Risk		22 MEDIUM
	Inspector: Ile species on site es present = LOW risk present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) Coursion Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Pents Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas Number of destinations Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment	Inspector: Ile species on site es present = LOW risk present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) Coursion Inspector: In	Site No: SS0617	18/07/2022 Inspector:

0 11	000000				40/0=/000			
Case No:	2022-0264	J		Date of visit	: 18/07/2022	4		
Site No:	SS0617	1		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type REG	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	25/07/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No SB0409 **DATE OF VISIT** 18/07/2022

SITE NO SS0617 SITE NAME East of Burki Taing
CASE NO 20220264 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0265			Date of visit: 18/07/2022
Time spent on site:	20min	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: SS0679 Business No: SB0409	Site Name: Business Name:	Wrawick North Maven Marine Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

1 line in the water. Site inspected as fallow.

Authorisation form signed to transfer all sites from North Maven Marine Ltd (SB0409) to Vementry Aquaculture (SB0458).

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0265		Site No:	SS0679				
Date of Visit:		18/07/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	o details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected 1	
Species				T				
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Currently fall	ow	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Unsure		
Recent (last 4 wks)				•	Any escapes		visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:					,, occuped	(0.1.00 1.0.01		,, .
Movement Record		. in an a ation ()					-	V
Movement record Data of last in an		inspection?					07/44/0047	ľ
2. Date of last inspe			,				07/11/2017	V
3. Are records com		•					_	Y N/A
4. Are movement re							_	
5. Are records com		•		-1.1-0			_	N/A
6. Are health certific	cates for introd	uctions (outw	/ith GB) availa	able?			L	N/A
Transport Records	S							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried out	by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys	stem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			
Martality Dagarda								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for in	nspection?					г	N/A
2. How are mortaliti		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells		d.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality		·	Site fallow.					
5. Evidence of rece	nt increased/a	typical mortal						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
C. Americally and a color	:							NI/A
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	in mortality du	ring period cr	necked?					N/A
7. Have increased (unexplained)	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	• •		on roportod to					1 1/1
8. Have 'mortality e		oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	heet.		N/A
			.,		,			

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
, ,		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	g and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottis	sh Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	e or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifie	d to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked or	n the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented by	petween each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	rs, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	o maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	ed on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 7/1	1/17 - 18/7/22	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0265 Site No:	SS067	9		
Date of Visit	18/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
h.4					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
			Total		14
			Risk		LOW

Case No:	2022-0265			Date of visit:	18/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0679	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
resource Summary		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			·		·	Ü	·	2 11100
Donort Cure			1					
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inon	and I.e. a.e.					
REG	25/07/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp					
1120	20,01,12022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0409
 Date of Visit
 18/07/2022

 Site No
 SS0679
 Site Name
 Wrawick

 Case No
 20220265
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/07/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0266			Date of visit: 18/07/2022
Time spent on site:)min	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: SS0781 Business No: SB0409	Site Name: Business Name:	Budda Scord North Maven Marine Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Site now operated by Vementry Aquaculture (SB0458). Authorisation form signed to transfer all sites from North Maven Marine Ltd (SB0409) to Vementry Aquaculture (SB0458).

Some seaweed fouling on the lines but shells appeared very clean.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0266		Site No:	SS0781				
Date of Visit:		18/07/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	7
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	Spat	2020						
No Fish	1 line	5 lines						
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No plans	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Currently	collecting wild s	spat
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records		r inanastian?					-	V
1. Movement record		r inspection?					07/11/2017	ı
2. Date of last inspe		aath, antarad	2				07/11/2017	Y
 Are records comp Are movement re 		•		•			-	N/A
 Are movement re Are records comp 							-	N/A
Are records compAre health certific		•		ablo2			-	N/A
o. Are riealth certific	ates for introd	auctions (outv	vitii Gb) avalia	abie:			L	14// (
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?		Г	
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mantalita Daganda								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	availahle for i	nspection?					г	N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)	\		14// (
If other detail:		s fall to seabe	nd .		Otrici (detail)	<u> </u>		
3. Mortality records							I	N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	Corrodaly one	None observ	/ed				
5. Evidence of recer	,	itypical morta		70 u				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:			L	
	7,1	,						
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the hyphandry and hispacyrity managers implemented between each enidemiclasical unit to minimica	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
· · ·	N/A
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	14/73
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
ii iio, dotaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Records checked between: 7/11/17 - 18/7/22	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0266 Site No:	SS078	1	1	
Date of Visit	18/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	•				
	cies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish mover	ments	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Marray and all					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0 Total Risk	2	2 MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0266			Date of visit:	18/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0781	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results Summary	1 104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-1		- 1	. 3	-1	2 11135
	1							
	-							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	25/07/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 SB0409
 DATE OF VISIT
 18/07/2022

 SITE No
 SS0781
 SITE NAME
 Budda Scord

 CASE No
 20220266
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0267			Date of visit: 18/07/2022
Time spent on site:	min	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: SS0758 Business No: SB0409	Site Name: Business Name:	North Knowe North Maven Marine Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Site now operated by Vementry Aquaculture (SB0458). Authorisation form signed to transfer all sites from North Maven Marine Ltd (SB0409) to Vementry Aquaculture (SB0458).

Very good spatfall, little shell fouling.

FHI 059, Version 13			lss	sued by: FHI			Date of issue: 1	2/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0267		Site No:	SS0758	3			
Date of Visit:		18/07/20	22		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			y site represen	tative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities	o olounoi ne	7	Facilities st	tocked	7	No facilitie	es inspected 7	
Species	MED	MED	MED	1			T	
Age group	Spat	2021	2020					
No Fish	1 line	2 lines	4 lines					
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Not plann	ed ed	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Currently	collecting wild spa	at
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	olete and corr cords availab olete and corr ates for intro ats carried ou	ole for dead ectly entereductions (or ductions (or	fish and waste ed? utwith GB) avai behalf) of the b	ilable? ousiness (not us			07/11/2017	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
1. Mortality records		•			01 (1			N/A
2. How are mortalitie	<u>_</u>				Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells							NI/A
3. Mortality records		correctly e						N/A
4. Recent mortality (,	atumical may	None obse	rvea				N/A
5. Evidence of recer		* *		h./rooon.				IN/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no	Stock per racilit	ty/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	uring period	checked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to F	HI? If no, ente	r details on mor	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Planta de la Companya del Companya de la Companya d	
Biosecurity Records	V
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Healths manner and fragularity of martelity removal, recording and safe dispectal been considered?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<u>'</u>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	V
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Ÿ
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 17/11/17 - 18/7/22	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0267 Site No:	SS075	8		
Date of Visit	18/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish mover	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off		<u> </u>			
iviovements on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total		22
			Risk		MEDIUM

O N .	0000 0007			5	1. 40/07/04	200			
Case No:	2022-0267	J		Date of vi	sit: 18/07/20	022			
Site No:	SS0758]		Inspect	or:				
Deculto Cummon	IFron	Date of Notification							
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd In	
	_				op	9	op	2 111	
	1								
	+								
	1								
	+								
	_								
	+				_				
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI	25/07/2022	2							
	+								
	+								
	+								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 SB0409
 Date of Visit
 18/07/2022

 Site No
 SS0758
 Site Name
 North Knowe

 Case No
 20220267
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/07/2022

lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
		Date of visit: 18/07/2022
nin	Main	Inspector:
Site Name: Business Name:	Holms of Uyeasound Vementry Aquaculture	
3	4 5	6
Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed
Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
sh present?	N If yes, see additio	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
ut intended visit detail re	eason below:	
``I	nin Site Name: Business Name: 3 Thermometer No: Region: SH sh present?	Site Name: Business Name: Thermometer No: Region: SH Water type: N If yes, see addition

Currently there are 2 longlines in the water but hoping to fit two more in the near future.

All harvests go to Seaspray (Shetland) Ltd.

Some seaweed observed on the lines but very little fouling on the mussels.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0268		Site No:	SS0808				
Date of Visit:		18/07/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		2	Facilities sto	cked	1	No faciliti	es inspected 2	2
Species	MED						T	
Age group	2019							
No Fish	1 line							
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Si <mark>te)</mark>	No plans		Next Input Da	_ ` `	Unsure		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	: visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	ls.							
Movement record		r inspection?						Υ
2. Date of last inspe							09/11/2017	
3. Are records com		ectly entered	?				3371172011	Y
4. Are movement re		•		•			-	N/A
5. Are records com								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?			t	N/A
Transport Records	s							
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?		Г	
If yes, is there a sys		• ,		•	· ·			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?					Г	N/A
2. How are mortaliti		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells		d.		(1111)	/		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	•	Ť	None observ	/ed.				
5. Evidence of rece	•	typical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	mortality du	ing period ci	ioonou:					14/7
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	, <u> </u>
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	T
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	NI/AI
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	N/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	-
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 9/11/17 - 18/7/22	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0268 Site No:	SS080	8		
Date of Visit	18/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Marramanta aff					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4	_ v
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		22 MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0268			Date of visit	18/07/202	2			
Site No:	SS0808	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
	1								
	+								
Donort Cure				1					
Report Summary	Date	Inon	and I a	-					
Case Type ECI	25/07/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp						
201	20/01/2022								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0458 Date of Visit 18/07/2022

SITE No SS0808 SITE NAME Holms of Uyeasound CASE No 20220268 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Date: 25/07/2022

Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0269			Date of visit: 18/07/2022
Time spent on site:)min	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: SS0715 Business No: SB0458	Site Name: Business Name:	Uyeasound, Vementry Vementry Aquaculture	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.8	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

All harvests go to Seaspray (Shetland) Ltd.

Some seaweed observed on the lines but very little fouling on the mussels.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0269		Site No:	SS0715				
Date of Visit:		18/07/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	5	No facilitie	es inspected	8
Species	MED							
Age group	2019							
No Fish	5 lines							
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	No plans		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Unsure		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	: visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records completed. Are movement records completed. Are records completed. Are health certification. Are any movement for yes, is there a system.	ds available for ection: plete and corre- ecords available plete and corre- eates for introdes ates for introdes	ectly entered' le for dead fis ectly entered' luctions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			09/11/2017	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records	available for in	annation?						N/A
 Mortality records How are mortalitie 		•			Other (detail)		IN/P
If other detail:	Empty shells		d		Other (detail)		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	•	correctly em	None observ	/ed				,
5. Evidence of recei	•	typical morta		rca.				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no				/reason:				
	, ,	•	, ,					
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?	,	
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		V
 Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and 	cafa disposal boon considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Mi		'
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	listers of veterinary professional or arry	
	vanision of the processes of a listed disease	'
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or s is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to S	· ·	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the		
health status, certification if required)?	ann site been covered (equal of higher	1
nealth status, certification in required):		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between	on each anidemialogical unit to minimica	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, ed	,	·
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to mai		N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	italii tile priysical contaliinient of	14// (
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on	site?	Y
If no, detail:	SIC:	'
ii iio, actali.		
Results of Surveillance		
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on beha	f of the hysiness?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	i oi, tile busilless:	
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
il yes, detail (il flot detailed under fecent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 9/11/17	- 18/07/22	
Records checked between.	10/01/22	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Site No:	SS071	5		
Inspector:				
` '				0
ens (OED, MED)		•		3
		0	3	0
	1	2-5	>6	
tible species within a tidal	0	2	10	10
	0	1-2	>3	
om equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	0	10	20	0
	0	5	10	0
thin MSS Management	0	1	2	0
utwith MSS Management	0	3	6	0
	0	3	6	0
	None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
ites within MSS	0	1	2	0
businesses sites within	0	2	6	0
outwith MSS management	0	4	8	0
	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
	0	1	2	2
ent	0	1	5	5
		Yes	No	
ween sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
		Total Risk		22 MEDIUM
	Inspector: In (OED) In (OED, MED) In (OED, MED)	Inspector: In (OED) In (OED) In (OED, MED) In otible species within a tidal In otible species within a tidal a ti	No	No Yes (OED) 0 25

					10/0=/00						
Case No:	2022-0269	J		Date of visi	it: 18/07/20	22					
Site No:	SS0715	7		Inspecto	r:						
		4		Пороско	·						
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
					_						
					_						
					_						
Report Summary											
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI	25/07/2022	2									
	+										





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0458 **DATE OF VISIT** 18/07/2022

SITE No SS0715 SITE NAME Uyeasound, Vementry

Case No 20220269 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



FHI 059, Version 13	Is	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0271			Date of visit: 26/07/2022
Time spent on site: 30r	mins	Main Inspe	ector:
	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Sligachan Oakes Marine	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.5	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fix Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry o	ut intended visit detail	reason below:	
	No boat available a	nd site only accessed via diving	

Spat collected beside several order site from Raasay Sound, in very close proximity to the several order. Site manager has seen continuous improvement and restoration of scallop stocks in the area. Manager is considering expansion of several order as a result of increased stock in the area.

Several order observed from the shore both due to boat not being available and diving required to see stock.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0271		Site No:	SS0796	5			
Date of Visit:		26/07/202	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site de			site representa	ative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made	to details?						N	1
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis		tions)		Savoral			
Total No facilities		Several Order	Facilities sto	ocked	Several Order	No facilities	s inspected	0
Species	PMA							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	unknown							
Mean Fish Wt	mix							
Next Fallow Date (•	N/A		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	September		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	/isit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	de							
1. Movement record		or inspection?	>					Y
2. Date of last insp		or mopoodom.					03/08/2016	
3. Are records com		rectly entered	l?					Y
4. Are movement r	•	•		>				N/A
5. Are records com	plete and cor	rectly entered	l?					N/A
6. Are health certifi	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Record								
1. Are any movement		• `		,	•			
If yes, is there a sy	stem in place	for maintena	nce of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		inspection?						N/A
2. How are mortality		•			Other (detail)			•
If other detail:			ties are just let	ft on seabed				
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		N/A					
5. Evidence of rece	ent increased/a	atypical morta	alities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/r	no mortality pe	r facility/no st	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	uring period o	checked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								NI/A
7. Have increased	• • •	mortalities b	een reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action		ported to El	II2 If no ontor	dotaile on mar	tality avanta al	noot		N/A
8. Have 'mortality 6	events been fe	sponed to FF	ir ii iio, enter	uetalis on mor	tailty events si	ieet.		IN/A

Trouble and moderno Room as	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	N/A
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	· ·
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	N/A
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	ı
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	$\overline{}$
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
in no, dotain.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yoo, adaan iii not adaanda anadi toodiit aldaado problemby.	

Records checked between: 03/08/2016- 26/07/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0271 Site No:	SS079	6		
Date of Visit	26/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	es present = LOW risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	0
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	kcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0
Live shellfish movem	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		4 LOW

Case No:	2022-0271			Date of visit:	26/07/2022			
Site No:	SS0796]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
		1						
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	03/08/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0247 DATE of Visit 26/07/2022
SITE No SS0796 SITE NAME Loch Sligachan
CASE No 20220271 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted as stock can only be inspected by diving, as a Several Order is in place.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 03/08/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0272]		Date of visit: 26/07/2022
Time spent on site:	30mins	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: SS0377 Business No: SB0247	Site Name: Business Name:	The Moll Oakes Marine	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behavi Clinical signs of disease obser Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to ca	rry out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

The Moll is currently fallow and has been for last few years. Site can only be accessed with diving equipment. No movements have occurred on or off site since the last inspection. No plans to restock but currently being trialled as a seaweed farm.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0272 Site No:	SS037	7		
Date of Visit	26/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
-	ies present = LOW risk				
	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	0
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	voursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts					
One contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
	Oxed Stories	- U			
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management		4	2	_
	Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management		4	0	0
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	0
			Yes	No	_
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total		4
			Risk		LOW
			TUOK		

Results Summary Freq. Data of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Insp	Case No:	2022-0272			Date of visit:	26/07/2022				
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp	Site No:	SS0377	l		Inspector:		I			
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp	Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
	,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Poport Summary	Papart Summary				1					
	Report Summary	Doto	lnon	and Land						
	Case Type REG			2 ^m Insp						
REG 03/08/2022	REG	03/06/2022								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 SB0247
 Date of Visit
 26/07/2022

 Site No
 SS0377
 Site Name
 The Moll

 Case No
 20220272
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

The site was reported as being fallow since 2016 and there are currently no firm plans to restock in the near future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 03/08/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0273			Date of visit: 25/07/2022
Time spent on site:	1 hour	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0182 Business No: SB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Scalpay Scalpay Estate	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	±' '	If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr			
	vvina was not	favourable to see site.	

New ownership as of 2020. Site was not able to be accessed due to wind being too strong for the boat. Instead the site was observed from the shore.

2 long lines are stocked with scallops in lanterns. Spat collected on-site using spat collector nets. Site requires to hire in work boat to lift lines. Site inspections should be coordinated with harvest of scallops if possible to be able to see stock.

The site did not receive a movement book upon transfer to new ownership in 2020 from previous owners. As discussed with site manager, new movement record book has been sent. Site manager informed inspector that no movements on and off site have occurred since start of new ownership. Current stock is the continuation of stock from previous ownership. No evidence of increased or atypical mortality observed by site.

BMP has been developed and is satisfactory.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0273		Site No:	SS0182				
Date of Visit:		25/07/2022	1		Inspector(s):			l
Date of Viela		20/01/2022						
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite represent	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities			Facilities sto	ocked	2 long lines	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	AOP	PMA						
Age group	2019	2019						
No Fish	2,500	2,500						
Mean Fish Wt	mix	mix						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	ongoing prod	duction	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Mayamant Dagard								
Movement Record		r inconction?						N/A
 Movement record Date of last inspe 		i inspection?					15/11/2016	IN/A
3. Are records comp		actly antarad?					13/11/2010	N/A
4. Are movement re		•)				N/A
5. Are records comp				•				N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
o. 7 tro moduli oci timo		addions (date	nui OD, avan	abic:				,, .
Transport Records	.							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bi	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			
Montality December								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for i	nepoction?						V
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			,
If other detail:		rded to seabe	d or on obor	`	Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records				-				Y
4. Recent mortality (Correctly Crit	none					
5. Evidence of recer	•	ntypical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason·				
,,	····ortamty per	Taxomity, 110 Git	ток рег такения	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:		,						
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Me 1. Recent treatments		
If yes, detail: If other, detail:		

If yes, detail: If other, detail:	
0. Mar Parkara and the control of th	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
ii no, actaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 15/11/2016-05/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0273 Site No:	SS018	2		
Date of Visit	25/07/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = LOW risk				
	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	0
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	voureion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
One contacts	excursion	0	2	10	0
Live shellfish moven	nente	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
Wovernents on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or	·			H
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management practices		None	(effluent treatment)	(no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with	Γ	None	treatment)	Treatment)	
depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	U	1	5	
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total		4
			Risk		LOW

Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp	Case No:	2022-0273			Date of visit:	25/07/2022				
Results Summary Freq. Data of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp I	Site No:	SS0182	l		Inspector:		I			
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp	Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
	,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Poport Summany	Papart Summary									
	Report Summary	Data	Inon	and L						
	Case Type REG			2" Insp						
REG 05/08/2022	REG	03/00/2022								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 SB0119
 Date of Visit
 25/07/2022

 Site No
 SS0182
 Site Name
 Scalpay

 Case No
 20220273
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted as weather conditions were not favourable. This inspection will be rescheduled in 2023.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

No evidence of increased or atypical mortalities has been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

Date: 05/08/2022