FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0220			Date of visit: 06/12/2022
Time spent on site: 2	2 Hours	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: FS0500 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Smolt Unit Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 MIX	2 VMD 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	/ out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paper work conducted by store, supervised by

Sites own thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

Fish treated with Aquacen (formalin) on 25/10/2022, fish are nearing end of withdrawal period.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0220]	Site No:	FS0500)			
Date of Visit:		06/12/2022]		Inspector(s)	:		I
Registration/Author								_
1. Business/site det 2. Changes made to		checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to							1	1
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	ocked	5	No facilitie	s inspected	1
Species	SAL							
Age group	2022 Q4							
No Fish	1,323,725							
Mean Fish Wt	92.19							
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	January 202	3	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	January 2	023	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		Ν	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record								
1. Movement record		or inspection?					00/00/0000	Ť
2. Date of last inspe		a athu antara da	,				02/02/2022	
 Are records com Are movement re 	•	•		,				
 Are records complete Are health certified 				able2				N/A
0. Are nealth certilit	ales for intro			able :				
Transport Records	5							
1. Are any moveme		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys				•	-			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed o	of?			Other (detai	1)		
If other detail:	Whole fish v	waste collected	d by Billy Bow	/ie				
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
			Week 45, 60	01 (0.05%), W	eek 46, 426 (0.03%), Wee	ek 47, 1783 (0).13%),
4. Recent mortality	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Week 48, 7 ⁻	12 (0.05%)				
5. Evidence of rece		••						N
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6 Any other neeks	in na antality du							
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	in mortality du	ining period ch	lecked?					N
7. Have increased (mortalities he	on reported to					N/A
If yes, detail action:	• • •	inortanties bei	en reported to					N/A
8. Have 'mortality e			2 If no enter	details on mor	tality evente c	cheet		
o. Have mortality e	venus been re		: in no, enter	uetails on mor	tanty events s	sneet.		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Formalin	
If other, detail: T.M.S	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 02/02/2022 - 06/12/2022	

FI	HI 059, Version 13							Iss	ued by:	FHI			
	Case no:	2022-02	220	Site No:	I	FS0500			Date of		06/*	12/2022	06/*
	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
l	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	80:00	14:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	р. Г	11
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-12	13-22										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL		_								
	Average weight	92g	92g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A		_								
	Water Type	FW	FW										
Stock Details		 Native Hebridean Stock - Ormsary 	ა Native Hebridean Stock - Ormsary										

_
_

Case No:	2022-0220			Date of visit:	06/12/2022]		
Site No:	FS0500	l		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Papart Summary			-					
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Report Summary Case Type VMD MIX	08/12/2022	шэр	z insp					
MIX	13/12/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0169 SITE NO FS0500 CASE NO 20220220

SITE NAME INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 06/12/2022 Applecross Smolt Unit

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

The above site was visited in accordance with the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. The majority of records were correctly maintained however the last three treatments had not been transferred to the online system where withdrawal periods are recorded.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

Details regarding withdrawal periods for recent treatments not recorded in medicine records. This was raised with the site representative during the inspection and has since been resolved. No further action required.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any gueries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 08/12/2022

R20

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	lssu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0585			Date of visit: 20/12/2022
Time spent on site:	N/A	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0948 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Easter Score Holms Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 PSI	2 REP 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

Additional Case Information:

Last site inspection conducted on 26/10/2022.

Additional inspection carried out due to high mortalities reported to the FHI in weeks 48 and 49 2022 attributed to CGD, AGD, and treatment loss. This had to be done remotely because of weather issues and airport strikes.

Week 48 - 6.8% 55,944 fish Week 49 - 7.7% 58,269 fish

A bloom of Muggiaea atlantica (micro jellyfish) compromised gill health in early October, along with seasonal AGD. Mortalities began to increase in week 47 and a wellboat freshwater treatment was carried out on 6/12 as mitigation. This however, resulted in higher mortality numbers. The site switched to hydrogen peroxyde treatments in the following days (12, 13, 16, 18, 19/12), which have been more successful. All affected cages have now been treated, and will be retreated in the coming weeks.

Only one cage on site is not being treated as it's not displaying gill issues/mortalities. The fish are Aquagen stock rather than Stofnfiskur.

Company veterinarian currently out on site.

FHI 059, Versie	on 13		Issued	by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0585]	Site No:	FS0948]		
Date of case:	20/12/2022]		Inspector(s):		
-	Authorisation D						
Business/site of	contacts correct?	(if no update si	te summary she	et)			Y
Site Details			_			_	
Total No facilit		12	No facilities sto	ocked	11		
Species Age group	SAL						
No Fish	Q1 2022 692,749						
Mean Fish Wt							
Next Fallow Da		September 202	23	Next Input Date	e (Site)	January 2024	
Date of last ins	spection: (ECI or	PSI)	26/10/2022	-		-	
Mortality Info	rmation						
1. Any recent i	ncreased or atyp	ical mortalities?	(last 4 weeks).				Y
If yes, detail:	Week 47 - 8,	141 (0.99%), we	eek 48 - 55,944	(6.84%), week 4	9 - 58,269 (7.6	5%), week 50 - 6	,560 (0.93%)
2. Any increase	ed mortalities? (s	since last inspec	tion.)				Y
If yes, detail:	See additional						
	rtalities disposed				Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Whole fish rem	oval by SEM an	d/or Pelagia.				
Treatments a	nd Medicines						-
-	nts? (since last i	nspection)		-		-	Y
If yes, detail:	H2O2	<u> </u>	T.M.S.				
Other:	Freshwater trea	atment.					
Health status	and Results of	Surveillance					
	on site? (since l	ast inspection)					Y
If yes, detail:	CGD, AGD	illenee been eer	riad aut but an a	a babalt of the b			Y
 Has any ani Any signification 	mal health surve	lliance been car	ried out by, or of	n benair or, the b	ousiness?		Y
If yes, detail		t - AGD and low	levels of CMS a	and PRV also de	tected		
, ,	r haimad ropor						
Sea lice							
	experienced sea	a lice problems i	n the previous 4	years?			N
If yes, detail:			anitania fan tua at			in an estimat	V
If no, detail:	vels stayed below	v the suggested	criteria for treat	ment in the CoG	P since the last	inspection?	Y
	ge adult female s	ea lice (L. salm	onis) numbers p	er fish been at a	level of 3 or ab	ove (prior to w/b	N
	r above (from w/	•					
4. If yes to 3, h	ave these been	reported to the F	Fish Health Insp	ectorate? If no, s	see comment.		N/A
	e have treatment	•	· · · · · ·				N/A
If yes, detail:]			
•	eutic treatments	or the actions ta	aken had a signi	ficant impact up	on the lice level	s recorded?	N/A
If no, detail:		d form many	monterror		lovent to the state		N/A
	gned documente ment Area (or eq		nent agreement	or statement re	levant to the Site	e and COGP	1.77
	Farm Managem		uivalent) fallowe	ed synchronously	y on a single yea	ar class basis?	N/A

Ν

Ν

N

Escapes/Containment

1. Any reported escapes? (check prior to phone call)

2. Any escapes? (since last inspection)

If yes, detail: (include date)

Biosecurity Records

1. Any significant changes to your biosecurity procedures since previous visit?

If yes, detail:

Case No:	2022-0585			Date of visit:	20/12/2022]		
Site No:	FS0948			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
PSI, REP	20/12/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0125 SITE NO FS0948 CASE NO 20220585 DATE OF VISIT 20/12/2022 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

Easter Score Holms

Routine surveillance frequency assessment under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) **Regulations 2009**

The above site was contacted in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 due to notifications of mortality levels above the reporting criteria received by the Fish Heath Inspectorate in December 2022.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 20/12/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-servicecharter/

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0591			Date of visit: 06/12/2022
Time spent on site: 4	Hours	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS1336 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Hatchery Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paper work conducted by supervised by supervised by

The site is split into two distinctive sections relating to development stage, a fry section containing 8 45m3 recirculation unit tanks and a separate parr section, consisting of 8 175m3 flow through unit tanks.

Fish in both sections were observed to be in good health, no clinical signs of disease were observed from the physical inspection of the site. Fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. Mortality has been low in recent months and the fish appear to be progressing well in their development stages.

A mortality event from 22nd - 28th August 2022 is still under internal review following a loss of 53.33% which affected all 8 tanks within the fry section of the site. It is believed that water supplied to the site had become contaminated prior to water intake which lead to a rise in hydrogen sulphide levels leading to significant mortality within the fry section. Following a deep clean of the sites water reserve and facilities, the site was restocked and no further issues have occurred.

The sites own thermometer was used to obtain a water temperature reading for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0591		Site No:	FS1336)			
Date of Visit:		06/12/2022	2		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta	•	/ checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y]
2. Changes made to	o details?						Y	
Cita Dataila (includ	la alaanar fi	ah far all agaf	ione)					
Site Details (includ Total No facilities	le cleaner In	16	Facilities sto	akad	14	No facilitie	c increated	16
Species	CAL		Facilities sto		14	NO TACILILE	s inspected	10
Age group	SAL	SAL Parr				_		
No Fish	Fry 1,067,082	855,830						
Mean Fish Wt	1.87g	44.68g						
Next Fallow Date (S		Jan 2023		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Feb 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	<u> </u>					1	/	
•								
Movement Records	s							
1. Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							25/02/2020	
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement re)				Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	;							
1. Are any movement		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place	for maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:		removed by Bi	illy Bowie		other (detail	/		
3. Mortality records								Y
				16 0.04%), We	ek 47 (2393 0	.07%). Wee	ek 46 (10,226	.0.42%),
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		Week 45 (19		,	,,	× ′	, ,,
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/	atypical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality d	uring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			0.16	1.1.1				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe d		Ŷ
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers	or veterinary professional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		1
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion	-	Y
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm s health status, certification if required)?	site been covered (equal or higher	
nealth status, certification in required):		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between eac	ch enidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipme		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the	-	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the	ne business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 25/02/2020 - 0	6/12/2022	

Issued by: FHI

Case Number:	2022-0591		Site No:	FS1336		Insp:	
Date of Visit	06/12/2022		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out				5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0	5	10	14	0
hteres and a still							
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of des		0	3	6	10 10	6
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts	_	-	<u> </u>	10	0
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or I	·	0				0
diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III					
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing					0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent state Processing fish	us I from Category III farm	4				
	-	from Category V farm	0				
	_		10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products			0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0591	Site No:	FS1336
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. e	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implicat	
-	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice ir	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
	ge due to predators in the current or previous predeted on site? (Detail below	
If other, detail below:		
3 Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
-	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Case No:	2022-0591			Date of visit:	06/12/2022				
Site No:	FS1336	I		Inspector:		l			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
ý		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary			nd						
Case Type ECI, CNI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI	13/12/2022								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0169 SITE NO FS1336 CASE NO 20220591 **DATE OF VISIT** 06/12/2022 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

Applecross Hatchery

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 08/12/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0592			Date of visit: 05/12/2022
Time spent on site:	2 Hours	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: SS0953 Business No: SB0566	Site Name: Business Name:	Duilisg Plockton Oyster Company	,
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): N/A	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paper work conducted by supervised by

New site recently stocked in August with a mix of native and pacific oysters from Walney Island. Since stocking the site very little mortality has been observed and the stock are performing well. The site currently has one line consisting of 12 Oystergro cages, each containing 6 bags. From the physical inspection of the stock, the floating cages and bags were clean with little fouling by marine plant material observed. Shells were clean and appeared healthy with no open shells observed.

The site plans to introduce one further identical line of floating cages next year following the first grading. Dependant upon the current stock performance, the site plans to stock the site with an additional mix of 20,000 pacific and native oysters next year.

No records of waste movement required as only empty shells removed for domestic waste

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0592]	Site No:	SS0953	3			
Date of Visit:		05/12/2022]		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta		checked by s	ite represent	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities st	ocked	1	No faciliti	es inspected	1
Species	OED	CGI						
Age group	2022	2022						
No Fish	8,000	12,000						
Mean Fish Wt	12 - 14mm	12-14mm						
Next Fallow Date (S	· ·	None		Next Input Da	_ ` '	April / Ma	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspecial 3. Are records company 4. Are movement records company 5. Are records company 6. Are health certifice Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system Mortality Records 1. Mortality Records	ls available fo ction: blete and corr cords availab blete and corr ates for intro- nts carried ou tem in place	ectly entered ble for dead fis ectly entered ductions (outw to by (or on be for maintenan	h and waste vith GB) avail half) of the b	lable? usiness (not us			First inspectio	y on N/A N/A N/A
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Domestic wa	aste - <25kg	3	
If other detail:			10					N1/A
3. Mortality records	•	a correctly ent						N/A
4. Recent mortality (· / /		N/a					N1/A
5. Evidence of recer		••					L	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per tacilit	y/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortolit <i>i</i> di	uring pariod of	and/ad2					N/A
If yes, detail:	in montainty du	any period cr	ICCNCU!					IN//A
7. Have increased ((nevnlained)	mortalities bo	en reported t	o vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	anexplained)	Inortanues De	enreponeu					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to EHI	? If no enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet		N/A

2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Treatments and Medicines Records	
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	If yes, detail:	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? f other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? <tr< td=""><td>If other, detail:</td><td></td></tr<>	If other, detail:	
	2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 1f no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? </td <td>· · ·</td> <td></td>	· · ·	
If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. Hyes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. Hyes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. Hyes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. Hyes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 4. Has end biosecurity? 5. Has the biosecurity?	5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
Biosecurity Records Y 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? Y 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Y 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? Y 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Y 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? Y 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Y 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? Y 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 9. Hyes, are results available for inspection? N 9. Hyes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). H	If other, detail:	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? Y 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Y 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? Y 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Y 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? Y 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Y 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? Y 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 9. Hyes, are results available for inspection? Y 9. Hay the dialed under recent disease problems). Y	6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	 Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included? Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher) 	Y Y Y
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y Y Y
	 Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? If yes, are results available for inspection? Any significant results? 	N
Records checked between: First inspection - 05/12/2022	Records checked between: First inspection - 05/12/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12/05/2
Case Number:	2022-0592 Site No:	SS09	53		
Date of Visit	05/12/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site			-	
-	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	3
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tic excursion	al 0	2	10	2
			2		
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	U
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off			1		
	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
			-	2	
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Managemen Areas	6	3	6	o
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management			Secure (effluent	Unsecure (no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS manageme area	nt 0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	0
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footb	0	2	0	
	Distriction of equipment between sites, use of 100tb				
			Total		33
			Risk		HIGH

Case No:	2022-0592			Date of visit:	05/12/2022					
Site No:	SS0953	l		Inspector:		I				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
Poport Summer										
Report Summary	Dete	laan	end .							
Case Type ECI	Date 08/12/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp							
	00/12/2022									





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0566

 SITE NO
 SS0953

 CASE NO
 20220592

DATE OF VISIT 05/12/2022 SITE NAME Duilisg

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

No mortality had been observed on site since it was first operational in August 2022.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 13/12/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/