FHI 059, Version 13	!	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0398			Date of visit: 14/10/2021
Time spent on site:	ır	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0832 Business No: FB0555	Site Name: Business Name:	Abmor Fishery (Farm) Abmor Fishery Ltd (Farm)	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	5 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.7	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: DG	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

### Additional Case Information:

Site was taken over by new business August 2020. No handover was conducted so the stock details at the time of the changeover was unknown. 3 pens were stocked at the time of the changeover which over time have been stocked into the fishery and consolidated into a single remaining pen. Current site manager suspects the stock to be from 2019 and to be approximately 2kg. One sheet with some stocking details was available from prior to the take over (this was not up to date at the time of the changeover), the old movement book was available no other records were available from before the changeover.

The stock currently remaining in the last pen is planned to be stocked into the adjacent fishery ponds (2 currently in use) by November 2021. The fishery is registered separately. The site stocks fish from Ae Fishery and then holds them on site until they are slowly over time stocked into the fishery ponds.

Approximately 4 fish were seen with scrapes on the jaw. This happens when the water is lowered to be able to remove some fish from the tank to move them into the fishery ponds. The fish were very active and did not appear to be lethargic or moribund at all.

Currently recording mortalities in the movement book for the site. As currently only one tank is stocked no tank identifier was required for the mortality records. Advised that if in future they will have multiple tanks stocked mortalities will have to be recorded with tank number.

No treatments have been conducted on site.

No BMP available on site.

4/3/22 paperwork submitted to deregister the site. Site will be deregistered and case will be closed without resolving issues raised.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0398		Site No:	FS0832	2			
Date of Visit:		14/10/2021	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth								
<ol> <li>Business/site de</li> <li>Changes made t</li> </ol>		checked by s	ite represent	tative?			Y	
2. Changes made i	o details?						IN	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	_			_	_	
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	ocked	1	No facilitie	es inspected	5
Species	RTR							
Age group	unknown							
No Fish Mean Fish Wt	unknown							
	unknown	Nov 2024		Next Input De	oto (Sito)	Appell 2022		
Next Fallow Date (\$ Recent (last 4 wks)	•	Nov 2021		Next Input Da	Any escapes	April 2022		N
If yes, detail:	disease probl	CIIIS:		<u>'</u>	Any escapes	(Silice last	visit):	IN
3. Are records com 4. Are movement re 5. Are records com 6. Are health certified  Transport Record 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system.	ecords availab plete and corr cates for introd s ents carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outv	sh and waste ? vith GB) avail half) of the b	lable? usiness (not us			} 	N N/A Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalit					Other (detail			
If other detail:				n the food wast	te for the café.			<u> </u>
Mortality records	•	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality	•	t missl morts		s in the last 4 w	eeks for the s	ite.		N
<ol><li>Evidence of rece If yes, facility nos/n</li></ol>		• •		u/rooson:			L	IN
ii yes, iacility 1105/11	o mortality per	Tacility/110 St	ok per racilit	y/icasori.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:		8 morts due to		vater pipe.				
7. Have increased								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records						
Recent treatments (see comment)?	N					
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	•					
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A					
The state of the s						
Biosecurity Records						
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	N					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	N/A					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	N/A					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	N/A					
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
	817.6					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	N/A					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	N/A					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	IN/A					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	N/A					
If no, detail:	IN/A					
ii iio, detaii.						
Results of Surveillance						
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: 15/03/2018 -14/10/2021						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0398		Site No:	FS0832		Insp:	
Date of Visit	14/10/2021		No of movements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			٥	18	26	
species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	
Mayamanta off			0			10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Managament practices			None	Cooure	Unaceura		
Management practices Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	None	Secure	Unsecure		
processors	Trify processing	, plant algerial ging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0	1			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of		1			
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	j			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		17
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0398		Site	No:	FS0832		
<ol> <li>Is the CoGP Farm M</li> <li>Does the site have a</li> </ol>	nced sea lice probled lanagement Area (or access to a range of amectin benzoate)	ms in the previous 4 years r equivalent) fallowed syn licenced in-feed and bath as well as access to suitab	chronously on a si sea lice medicatio	ns (inc	cluding deltamethri		
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e		agement agreement or sta	tement relevant to	the si	ite and CoGP Farn	n	
		nspection? (Legal SSI, Co ed standard specified in th		GP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP A	nnex 6)	
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		pelow the suggested criter	ia for treatment in	the Co	oGP during the per	riod that	
_	•	almonis) numbers per fisheriod that records are insp		f 3 or a	above (prior to w/b	10/6/19) or	
-	•	Health Inspectorate? If n					
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level whi	ch is considered to cause	significant welfare	proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.8	1, 5.3.50)	
		inistered or other actions to elongatus is considered					
11. Has any other actio	•		4 : 4 ··· 4b -	U I			
•		ons taken had a significan ed out in cooperation betw					
		e, where fewer population				ment for	
		gement procedure with wa of a sea lice infestation?	aypoints describino	g set a	actions to deal with		
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stoc	ks reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please d	detail re	easons.		
Containment Inspecti	on						
	• •	nage due to predators in th	•		•		N
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate agains	st the predation experience	ed on site? (Detail	below	')		Υ
If other, detail below:							
Netting over the top of							
If Yes proceed with que		experienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site sir	nce the	e last FHI Inspection	on?	N
4. Have these been rep		•					
		forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP - 4.4.3	37, 5.4	l.17)		
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO	and local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where the	ey exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37	7, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover e	scapees? If yes give deta	il				
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG		agreed with local wild fish	interests and was	s perm	ission given by Sc	ottish	
9. What action was take	en to prevent and m	inimise the risk of further	escapes? (Not cov	ered in	n code but could		
be considered under	•	,					
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with	regards to containment?	If no, please detai	il reaso	on(s)		Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0398			Date of visit:	14/10/2021			
Cita Na.	E00022			l				
Site No:	FS0832	l		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
results cummary	1104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
								2 11199
	ĺ							
	ļ							
	1							
	l							
	1							
	<b>†</b>							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type ECI, CNI	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNÍ	23/02/2022							
case completion	08/03/2022							
	ļ							
	ļ							
	1							
	ļ							





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0555 Date of Visit 14/10/2021

SITE NO FS0832 SITE NAME Abmor Fishery (Farm)

Case No 20210398 Inspector

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was not available for inspection.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Movement and transport records were found to be incomplete and incorrectly recorded.
   All movements on to and off the farm site including to the fishery ponds must be recorded. Movement records must include the following details:
  - Movement date;
  - Movement on or off site:
  - Species;
  - Number or quantity;
  - Source or Receiving business and site name and number;
  - Name of carrier and method of transport;
  - Details of any bus stop deliveries carried out during transport;
  - Details of any water exchange carried out during transport;

Transport records must include the following details:

- o The number of animals that die in the course of the transport;
- All farm sites, fisheries and processing establishments which are visited in the course of the transport;
- Any water exchange in the course of the transport;
- o The source of any water introduced into the means of transport; and
- o The location at which any water from the means of transport is discharged
- The biosecurity measures plan was not available for inspection. While a template document has been provided at the time of the visit, the site representative is reminded that the plan should contain the following information:
  - (a) The manner and frequency in which mortalities will be removed, recorded and safely disposed of by the Aquaculture Production Business (APB);
  - (b) The manner and period in which the APB will notify the Scottish Ministers or a veterinary professional of any increased or unexplained mortalities in aquaculture animals held on each farm site;
  - (c) The actions that will be taken by the APB in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of any listed disease is detected and how and when that will be notified to the Scottish Ministers;
  - (d) The minimum health status of aquaculture animals to be stocked on the farm site, to ensure that stocks being placed on the farm site shall only be sourced from farms of an equal or higher health status and where required, certified as such;
  - (e) The husbandry and bio-security measures to be implemented between epidemiological units on the farm to ensure the transmission of fish diseases by the movement of staff, visitors, equipment and live or dead fish is minimised; and
  - (f) The measures that are in place at the farm site to maintain the physical containment of the aquaculture animals held on the farm site.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) **within 30 days** of the date this report was issued.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0555 Date of Visit 14/10/2021

SITE NO FS0832 SITE NAME Abmor Fishery (Farm)

CASE NO 20210398 INSPECTOR

# Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 24/03/2022. Following submission of paperwork to deregister the site, the site will be deregistered and therefore no further action is required at this time.

This case will now be closed.

Fish Health Inspector

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 07/03/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>