

Case No: Date of visit:

Time spent on site: Main Inspector:

Site No: Site Name:
Business No: Business Name:

Case Types: 1 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: TA Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Feed mix inspection paperwork carried out remotely on 08/06/2021. Feed mixing area and equipment inspected on site on 14/06/2021.

Treatments not carried out on site so no records to inspect. If treatments were carried out they would be recorded in an appropriate manner to ensure traceability.

Case No: 2021-0190

Site No: FS0180

Date of Visit: 14/06/2021

Inspector(s): [Redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? [Redacted]
- 2. Changes made to details? [Redacted]

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	Facilities stocked	No facilities inspected
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
Species	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
Age group	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
No Fish	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
Mean Fish Wt	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Next Input Date (Site)	[Redacted]
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	Any escapes (since last visit)?	[Redacted]
If yes, detail: [Redacted]		

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? [Redacted]
- 2. Date of last inspection: [Redacted]
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? [Redacted]
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? [Redacted]
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? [Redacted]
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? [Redacted]

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? [Redacted]
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? [Redacted]

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? [Redacted]
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? [Redacted]
- If other detail: [Redacted]
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? [Redacted]
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): [Redacted]
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? [Redacted]
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: [Redacted]
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? [Redacted]
- If yes, detail: [Redacted]
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? [Redacted]
- If yes, detail action: [Redacted]
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. [Redacted]

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail: <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If no, detail: <input type="text"/>	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). <input type="text"/>	

Records checked between:	<input type="text" value="n/a"/>
--------------------------	----------------------------------

Case No: 2021-0197 Date of visit: 22/06/2021

Time spent on site: 2 hrs Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS1103 Site Name: Vuia Mor
Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 [] 6 []

Water Temp (°C): 12.8 Thermometer No: T148 FHI 045 completed []

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA W-2

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
[Redacted]

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted on 15th June by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED]. Physical inspection conducted on 22nd June by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED].

Site carried out Slice treatment from 14th May - 20th May 2021.

Seal Pro nets now deployed at site.

No moribund/ lethargic fish spotted. Stock on site remaining low in water so hard to observe

Fish sample for VMD appeared healthy- internally and externally.

Case No: Site No:

Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="14"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="11"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="14"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL LUM"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021 S1"/>	<input type="text" value="2020/21"/>			
No Fish	<input type="text" value="489,405"/>	<input type="text" value="51,749"/>			
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="658g"/>	<input type="text" value="unknown"/>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="June 2022"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Dec. 2022"/>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

Y

If yes, detail:

Slice,
T.M.S.

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

Y

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

T.M.S.

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

Y

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

Y

3. Any significant results?

N

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

29/04/2020 - 15/06/2021

Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Inspector: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1	2										
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL										
Average weight	0.6600	0.6600										
Sex	n/a	n/a										
Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details												
		Barvas Hatchery FS0076	Appleburn Hatchery FS0301									
Stock Origin												
Facility No	5	8										

Case Number:	2021-0197	Site No:	FS1103	Insp:		
Date of Visit	22/06/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				1
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					20	MEDIUM

Case No: 2021-0197

Site No: FS1103

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? | N |
| 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? | Y |
| 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and | Y |
| 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? | Y |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) | Y |
| 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) | Y |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) | Y |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. | Y |
| 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) | N |
| 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) | Y |
| 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? | Y |
| 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded? | Y |
| 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms? | Y |
| 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for | Y |
| 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised | Y |
| 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons. | Y |

Containment Inspection

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? | N |
| 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) | Y |

A.D.D., Top Nets, Tensioned Nets

If other, detail below:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 | N |
| 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? | |
| 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17) | |
| 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17) | |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail | |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) | |
| 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) | |
| 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) | Y |

Case No: 2021-0197

Site No: FS1103

Date of Visit: 22/06/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Site No: FS1103

Case No: 2021-0197

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	22/06/2021
SITE No	FS1103	SITE NAME	Vuia Mor
CASE No	20210197	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representatives during the inspection:

- In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products(Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.


Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2021-0198"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="22/06/2021"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="3 Hrs"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="FS0927"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Kyles of Vuia"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="FB0169"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="The Scottish Salmon Company"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value="CNI"/>	3 <input type="text" value="SLI"/>	4 <input type="text" value="VMD"/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="12.9"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="T148"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value=""/>	
Observations:	Region:	WI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	W-2
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted on 15th June by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED]. Physical inspection conducted on 22nd June by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED].

Site carried out Slice treatment from 11/03-17/03/2021 and 13/05-19/05/2021.

Seal Pro nets now deployed at site with company also looking to raise height of nets (~0.5/1m) to aid in prevention of seals gaining access to pens. Electric fence has been installed on one pen to deter seals from accessing walkways. Installation of the fence has shown early signs of deterring seals.

Mortalities:

Weekly mortality rates, weeks beginning 18/01/21 (wk3) 7501 (1.67%) and 25/01/21 (wk 4) 7184 (1.62%) reported to FHI. Explained reason was seals. Mortality levels dropped below reporting criteria on week 5 and have remained below since then.

No moribund/ lethargic fish spotted. Stock on site remaining low in water so hard to observe

Fish sample for VMD appeared healthy- internally and externally.

Case No: **2021-0198** Site No: **FS0927**
 Date of Visit: **22/06/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**
- 2. Changes made to details? **N**

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	14	Facilities stocked	14	No facilities inspected	14
Species	SAL LUM				
Age group	2021 S1	2020/21			
No Fish	499,324	46,838			
Mean Fish Wt	1.127 kg	unkown			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	April/May 2022		Next Input Date (Site)	Dec. 2022	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	[REDACTED]				

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. Date of last inspection: **29/04/2020**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N**
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **N**
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
- If other detail: **Whiteshore Cockles**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **Wk20 1034 (0.26%); wk21 860 (0.21%); wk22 1052 (0.26%); wk23 622**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **Y**
- If yes, detail: **see additional information**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
- If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **Y**

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between:	29/04/2020 - 15/06/2021
--------------------------	-------------------------

Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Inspector: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	1.1000	1.1000										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Kinlochmoidart Hatchery FS0146	Kinlochmoidart Hatchery FS0146										
	Facility No	4	10										

Case Number:	2021-0198	Site No:	FS0927	Insp:		
Date of Visit	22/06/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					20	MEDIUM

Case No:

Site No:

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

A.D.D., Electric Fence, Predator Nets (below), Tensioned Nets, Top Nets

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0198

Site No: FS0927

Date of Visit: 22/06/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Site No: FS0927
Case No: 2021-0198
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	22/06/2021
SITE No	FS0927	SITE NAME	Kyles Vuia
CASE No	20210198	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representatives during the inspection:

- In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products(Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.


Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0199 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 0.5 Hrs Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0998 Site Name: Gousam
Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 REG 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 [] 6 []

Water Temp (°C): [] Thermometer No: [] FHI 045 completed []

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA W-2

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? []

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
[]

Additional Case Information:

Site has been fallow since Nov 2016. Site to be made inactive. Two pens still currently in the water, will be removed in due course. No nets in the water.

Inspection of site was conducted by [REDACTED] and shadowed by [REDACTED] on the 22/06/2021.

Case No: 2021-0199

Site No: FS0998

Date of Visit: 23/06/2021

Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? N/A
- 2. Changes made to details? N/A

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	Facilities stocked	No facilities inspected	
Species	Fallow		
Age group			
No Fish			
Mean Fish Wt			
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Next Input Date (Site)	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		Any escapes (since last visit)?	
If yes, detail:			

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? N/A
- 2. Date of last inspection: 22/07/2020
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? N/A
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? N/A
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? N/A
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? N/A

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? N/A
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
- If other detail:
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? N/A
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? N/A
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? N/A
- If yes, detail:
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? N/A
- If yes, detail action:
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	29/04/2020-22/06/2021
--------------------------	-----------------------



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	22/06/2021
SITE No	FS0998	SITE NAME	Gousam
CASE No	20210199	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow with all but two pens removed. There are no plans to restock the site, therefore the status will be change to inactive and will be removed from the lists of sites that the business is authorised to operate at.

Please contact us if the site is to be restocked or transferred to another business in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector if you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2021-0200"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="23/06/2021"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="4 hrs"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="FS0242"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Loch Odhairn (Gravir)"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="FB0169"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="The Scottish Salmon Company"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value="CNI"/>	3 <input type="text" value="SLI"/>	4 <input type="text" value="VMD"/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="11.5"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="T148"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value=""/>	
Observations:	Region:	WI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	W-4
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted on 17th June by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED]. Physical inspection conducted on 23rd June by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED].

Site carried out Slice treatment during Sep, Oct & Nov 2020. Further Slice treatments carried out during Feb and April 2021. All stocked pens went through a FW bath treatment from 1st to 6th June 2021.

Sea lice count carried out on site during inspection. Counts reflecting data being submitted weekly. A small number of lethargic fish spotted but only at 1 per pen. No morts noted. 2 pens had a high number of fish with physical damage from seal predation but also showing good recovery with open wounds being healed. 1 pen is fitted with Seal pro nets and showed far less physical damage from predation. Remaining pens to be kitted out with Seal Pro nets within the next month.

PD and PRV has been detected on site, but no increased mortalities as a result.

Cleaner fish mortality rates have been above expected since input, company not 100% sure of cause. No signs of concern noted during the inspection, therefore no diagnostics taken.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition externally and internally.

Case No: 2021-0200

Site No: FS0242

Date of Visit: 23/06/2021

Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? Y
- 2. Changes made to details? N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	12	Facilities stocked	12	No facilities inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM		
Age group	2020 S0	2021	2020/21		
No Fish	870,608	11,876	54,418		
Mean Fish Wt	1.94kg	55g	26g		
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Feb/March 2022		Next Input Date (Site)	June 2022	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			Any escapes (since last visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:					

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? Y
- 2. Date of last inspection: 03/12/2019
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? N
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? Y
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? Y
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? N/A

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? N
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? Y
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Other (detail)
- If other detail: Whiteshore Cockles
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? Y
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Wk 20 - 781 (0.09%), wk21 - 908 (0.1%), wk 22 - 739 (0.08%), wk22 - 2278
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? N
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? N
- If yes, detail:
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? N/A
- If yes, detail action:
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between:	03/12/2019 - 17/06/2021
--------------------------	-------------------------

Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Inspector: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	1.9000	1.9000										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Clachan Hatchery FS0398	Clachan Hatchery FS0398										
	Facility No	1	4										

Case Number:	2021-0200	Site No:	FS0242	Insp:		
Date of Visit	23/06/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					16	MEDIUM

Case No:

Site No:

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Tensioned Nets, Top Nets, A.D.D.

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0200

Site No: FS0242

Date of Visit: 23/06/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	23/06/2021
SITE No	FS0242	SITE NAME	Gravir
CASE No	20210200	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representatives during the inspection:

- In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products(Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.


Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0201 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 0.5 Hrs Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: SS0012 Site Name: Vuia Mor Island
Business No: SB0174 Business Name: Peter MacLeod

Case Types: 1 REG 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 [] 6 []

Water Temp (°C): [] Thermometer No: [] FHI 045 completed []

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? []

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
[]

Additional Case Information:

Site made inactive. Buoys still in the water, but no hanging lines or stock. Site fallow since 2004.

Appropriate forms signed by business owner on 23/06/2021.

Inspection conducted by [REDACTED] and shadowed by [REDACTED] 22/06/2021.

Case No: 2021-0201

Site No: SS0012

Date of Visit: 23/06/2021

Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	Facilities stocked	No facilities inspected
Species	Fallow	
Age group		
No Fish		
Mean Fish Wt		
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Next Input Date (Site)
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N/A	Any escapes (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:		N/A

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection:
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
- If other detail:
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
- If yes, detail:
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
- If yes, detail action:
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	12/08/2014-23/06/2021
--------------------------	-----------------------



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	SB0174	DATE OF VISIT	22/06/2021
SITE No	SS0012	SITE NAME	Vuia Mor Island
CASE No	20210201	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. There are no plans to restock the site, therefore the status will be change to inactive and will be removed from the lists of sites that the business is authorised to operate at.

Please contact us if the site is to be restocked or transferred to another business in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector if you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [REDACTED]

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/06/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2021-0202 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 3hrs Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS1282 Site Name: Greanamul
Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 [] 6 []

Water Temp (°C): 10 Thermometer No: Site FHI 045 completed Y

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA: W-15

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
[Redacted]

Additional Case Information:

Site is due to install seal pro nets next cycle, not in response to seal presence around the site, but just as a precautionary measure.

Fish on site came on from both Langavat (FS0149) and Geocrab Hatchery (FS0562). No cleanerfish stocked this cycle.

Wellboat was on site during inspection for grading and freshwater treatment.

The stock on site appeared healthy, with very few lethargic fish observed across the site. Due to bad weather, the site had not been graded for 6 months so there were still several runts observed in the population. Where these were able to be caught, they were removed and culled during the inspection.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Case No: Site No:

Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="14"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="11"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="14"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="2020 S1"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="445,559"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="3.4kg"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="September 21"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="February 22"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	TMS	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between: 26/06/2019 - 15/06/2021

Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
 Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Inspector: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Pool/Fish No													
Fish nos	F1												
Pool Group													
Species	SAL												
Average weight	3.4kg												
Sex													
Water Type	SW												
Stock Details		Langavat FS0149											
	Stock Origin												
Facility No	13												

Case Number:	2021-0202	Site No:	FS1282	Insp:		
Date of Visit	23/06/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					19	MEDIUM

Case No: **2021-0202**

Site No: **FS1282**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and are these implemented in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Tension nets, top nets, ADD

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0202

Site No: FS1282

Date of Visit: 23/06/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Site No: FS1282
Case No: 2021-0202
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	23/06/2021
SITE No	FS1282	SITE NAME	Greanamul
CASE No	20210202	INSPECTOR	██████████

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0203 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 1hr Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0340 Site Name: Kilerivagh (Petersport)
Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA: W-16

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?
Clinical signs of disease observed?
Gross pathology observed?
Diagnostic samples taken?
If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Movement records collected and site inspected as fallow. Cage structures remain on site, but are expected to be removed and dismantled in the near future. Site to be inactivated.



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	23/06/2021
SITE No	FS0340	SITE NAME	Petersport
CASE No	20210203	INSPECTOR	██████████

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 to determine that the site is no longer in use and it is to be inactivated.

Cage structures remain on the site but are expected to be removed in the near future for dismantling and disposal. All nets have been removed and the site has been inspected as follow.

Records

Aquaculture animal movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2021-0204 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 1hr Main Inspector:

Site No: FS0051 Site Name: Bagh Chlann Neill (Grimsay)
Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA: W-14

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Movement records collected and site inspected as fallow. Cage structures remain on site but are expected to be removed and dismantled in the near future. Site to be inactivated.



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	23/06/2021
SITE No	FS0051	SITE NAME	Grimsay
CASE No	20210204	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 to determine that the site is no longer in use and it is to be inactivated.

Cage structures remain on the site but are expected to be removed in the near future for dismantling and disposal. All nets have been removed and the site has been inspected as follow.

Records

Aquaculture animal movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2021-0205 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 1hr Main Inspector:

Site No: FS0796 Site Name: Treanay
Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA: W-13

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?
Clinical signs of disease observed?
Gross pathology observed?
Diagnostic samples taken?
If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Movement records collected and site inspected as fallow. Cages have been removed from the site and all that remains are the mooring buoys which are expected to also be removed in the near future. Site to be inactivated.

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	23/06/2021
SITE No	FS0796	SITE NAME	Trenay
CASE No	20210205	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 to determine that the site is no longer in use and it is to be inactivated.

All epidemiological units (cages and nets) have been removed and the site has been inspected as fallow. All that remains are the mooring buoys which are expected to be removed in the near future.

Records

Aquaculture animal movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: Date of visit:

Time spent on site: Main Inspector:

Site No: Site Name:
Business No: Business Name:

Case Types: 1 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA: W-18

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Fish came on from Inverkerry Hatchery (FS1061) in April 21. Lice numbers increased in May (but remained below the reporting threshold) so the site received a SLICE treatment in wk20. Lice numbers remained above 0.5 so a Salmosan treatment was conducted w/b 24/05. Lice numbers dropped significantly.

SLICE treatment planned for July following 30day weight.

Lumpfish on site came on from Ocean Matters in May 2021 and were stocked at 6%. Lumpfish mortality since input has been 5.13% (2,612).

Fish observed on site appeared healthy with little to no physical damage observed on the stock. 1 salmon mortality and 6 lumpfish mortalities were observed across the site. Not fresh dead so no samples taken.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and demonstrated a good feed response.

Case No: Site No:

Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="12"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="6"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="12"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>	<input type="text" value="LUM"/>			
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021 Q2"/>	<input type="text" value="2020"/>			
No Fish	<input type="text" value="~371,241"/>	<input type="text" value="19,072"/>			
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="170g"/>	<input type="text" value="30-40g"/>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="October 21"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="December 21"/>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)? Y

If yes, detail: T.M.S.,
Salmosan,
Slice

If other, detail: _____

2. Medicines records available for inspection? Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered? Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? Y

5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.

If other, detail: _____

6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included? Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y

If no, detail: _____

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection? Y

3. Any significant results? N

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). _____

Records checked between: 28/11/2018 - 15/06/2021

Case Number:	2021-0211	Site No:	FS0531	Insp:		
Date of Visit	22/06/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					17	MEDIUM

Case No: **2021-0211**

Site No: **FS0531**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and are these implemented in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Tensioned, top nets and seal blinds

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0211

Site No: FS0531

Date of Visit: 22/06/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?


Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?



Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Inspector: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-8	F9-16	F17-26	F27-34	F35-42							
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL							
	Average weight	170g	170g	170g	170g	170g							
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW							
	Stock Origin	Inverkerry Hatchery FS1061	Inverkerry Hatchery FS1061	Inverkerry Hatchery FS1061	Inverkerry Hatchery FS1061	Inverkerry Hatchery FS1061							
	Facility No	4	5	6	7	8							

Site No: FS0531

Case No: 2021-0211

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0119	DATE OF VISIT	22/06/2021
SITE No	FS0531	SITE NAME	Ornish Island
CASE No	20210211	INSPECTOR	██████████

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 25/06/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0221 Date of visit: 24/06/2021

Time spent on site: 2.5h Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0432 Site Name: Loch Tay
Business No: FB0134 Business Name: Kames Fish Farming Ltd

Case Types: 1 STI 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 [] 6 []

Water Temp (°C): 13.7 Thermometer No: T173 FHI 045 completed []

Observations: Region: TA Water type: F CoGP MA: []

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [Y] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? [Y] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? [N] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? [N]

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
[Redacted]

Additional Case Information:

Routine blood samples by the companies fish health person detected the presence of Renibacterium salmoninarum, this was notified to the FHI and an inspection was arranged. On inspection of the site one fish with obvious saprolegnia infection around the gills and tail was observed, this was removed for further examination, no gross pathology was observed indicative of a clinical expression of BKD, no other moribund fish were observed or clinical signs of disease noted at the time of the inspection. Visibility into the pens was excellent allowing for a good inspection of stocks.

Recent mortalities w/b 29/5/21 220, w/b 5/6/21 209, w/b 12/6/21 235, w/b 19/6 139, production mortalities.

Notable fluctuation in temperatures this year 3/6/21 to 6/6/21 temps went from 7 to 12.3.

Case No: **2021-0221** Site No: **FS0432**
 Date of Visit: **24/06/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N/A**
 2. Changes made to details? **N/A**

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	14	Facilities stocked	14	No facilities inspected	14
Species	RTR	RTR			
Age group	2020	2019			
No Fish	80,000	73,897			
Mean Fish Wt	350g	2.4kg			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	on-going cycle		Next Input Date (Site)	Nov 2021	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		Y	Any escapes (since last visit)?		N
If yes, detail:	RMS				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
 2. Date of last inspection: **29/10/2020**
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Ensiled - on site**
 If other detail: **[REDACTED]**
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **see additional information**
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?		Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Renibacterium salmoninarum	

Records checked between:	29/10/20 to 24/6/21
--------------------------	---------------------

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0134	DATE OF VISIT	24/06/2021
SITE No	FS0432	SITE NAME	Loch Tay
CASE No	20210221	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Statutory Inspection

The above site was inspected due to the detection of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* in a routine blood sample taken by the business.

All epidemiological units were inspected. No clinical signs associated with BKD were observed. The movement restrictions will be revoked and a revocation notice will be issued separately.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 13/07/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0222 Date of visit: 23/06/2021

Time spent on site: 0 Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0432 Site Name: Loch Tay
Business No: FB0134 Business Name: Kames Fish Farming Ltd

Case Types: 1 INF 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 [] 6 []

Water Temp (°C): [] Thermometer No: [] FHI 045 completed []

Observations: Region: TA Water type: F CoGP MA: []

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? [] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? []

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
[]

Additional Case Information:

Initial designation notice placed on Loch Tay (FS0432). This notice was place following notification of the suspicion of the presence of R salmoninarum by the site operators. The inspectorate will be conducting a site visit to find out if clinical bacterial kidney disease is present at the site.

