CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you support the development of an MPA network in Scotland's Seas?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

I support the development of an MPA network in Scotlands seas and the science based process of MPA designation.

However, I am saddened by the lack of provision for Scotlands nationally and internationally important seabird populations. I understand that, with the exception of black guilliemot, seabirds were excluded from the selection process, based on the idea that they receive sufficient protection from Special Protection Areas (SPA's) under the EC Birds Directive. This legislation has been in place for over 30 years and yet, over that time and particularly over the last 10 years, we have seen serious and rapid declines in the population of many species protected under the EC Birds Directive. The problem is that SPA's protect mainly the breeding areas of these birds, and there is currently no protection whatsoever for the feeding areas of these birds. In some areas of Orkney kittiwake populations have decreased by over 90%.

Having excluded all except one seabird species from the MPA process, will the Scottish Government now commit to putting in place effective, properly managed protection for our seabirds, and particularly for their feeding areas, under the EC Birds Directive?

I am also very concerned that there appears to be little detail, in either the management handbook or the individual site proposals, about monitoring the performance of the MPA's. A detailed programme of monitoring, both within and outwith the MPA's, is required. Without it, appropriate management is impossible and the Scottish Government will fail in its duty to protect and recover the health of Scotlands seas.

Individual possible Nature Conservation MPAs

2. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Clyde Sea Sill* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:

Yes	\square	No	\square
163		INU	

I support the designation of this MPA, and it should be designated to protect black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabird species must be protected in this site and seabirds must be added to the list of designated features.

Management Options:	Yes 🖾 No 🗌
I encourage the Scottish government to measures for the kelp forests, which form a grounds, are fit for purpose. Those propose attempt made to anticipate future risks. A populations is required as a matter of urge survey is around 15years old.	the black guilliemots main feeding sed appear minimal, with no detailed survey of black guilliemot
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
3. Do you have any comments on the ca	ase for designation, management
3. Do you have any comments on the ca options and socioeconomic assessm	
options and socioeconomic assessm possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: I support the designation of this MPA, and black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabir	Yes No it should be designated to protect d species must be protected in
options and socioeconomic assessm possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: I support the designation of this MPA, and	Yes No it should be designated to protect d species must be protected in
options and socioeconomic assessm possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: I support the designation of this MPA, and black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabir	Yes No it should be designated to protect d species must be protected in
options and socioeconomic assessm possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: I support the designation of this MPA, and black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabir this site and seabirds must be added to the	Yes ⊠ No □ it should be designated to protect d species must be protected in e list of designated features. Yes ⊠ No □ Ake sure that the management the black guilliemots main feeding sed appear minimal, with no detailed survey of black guilliemot
 options and socioeconomic assessme possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: I support the designation of this MPA, and black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabir this site and seabirds must be added to the Management Options: I encourage the Scottish government to masures for the kelp forests, which form grounds, are fit for purpose. Those propose attempt made to anticipate future risks. A populations is required as a matter of urge 	Yes ⊠ No □ it should be designated to protect d species must be protected in e list of designated features. Yes ⊠ No □ Ake sure that the management the black guilliemots main feeding sed appear minimal, with no detailed survey of black guilliemot

All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
	ne case for designation, management essment for the <i>East of Gannet and</i> Conservation MPA?
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
	ne case for designation, management essment for the <i>Faroe-Shetland sponge be</i> PA?
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
	n the case for designation, management ssessment for the <i>Fetlar to Haroldswick</i> MPA?
Designation:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
black guilliemot. Additionally, othe	PA, and it should be designated to protect r seabird species must be protected in ed to the list of designated features.
Management Options:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
measures for the kelp forests, which grounds, are fit for purpose. Those attempt made to anticipate future r	ent to make sure that the management ch form the black guilliemots main feeding e proposed appear minimal, with no isks. A detailed survey of black guilliemot of urgency, as the most recent detailed
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
	n the case for designation, management ssessment for the <i>Hatton-Rockall Basin</i> MPA?
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

8. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Loch Creran* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
9. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assess Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

10. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Loch Sunart to the Sound of Jura* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
11. Do you have any comments on the case for options and socioeconomic assessment Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

12. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Lochs Duich, Long and Alsh* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
13. Do you have any comments on the case options and socioeconomic assessmen Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
I support the designation of this MPA, and it s black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabird s this site and seabirds must be added to the li	species must be protected in

Management Options:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
I encourage the Scottish government to make s measures for the kelp forests, which form the b grounds, are fit for purpose. Those proposed a attempt made to anticipate future risks. A detai populations is required as a matter of urgency, survey is around 15years old	lack guilliemots main feeding ppear minimal, with no led survey of black guilliemot
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

14. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Mousa to Boddam* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
15. Do you have any comments on the case for	

options and socioeconomic assessment for the *North-east Faroe Shetland Channel* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

16. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *North-west Orkney* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
17. Do you have any comments on the case for	

options and socioeconomic assessment for the *North-west sea lochs and Summer Isles* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

18. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Noss Head* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
19. Do you have any comments on the cas options and socioeconomic assessme	

Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🖾 No 🗌
I support the designation of this MPA, and it black guilliemot. Additionally, other seabird this site and seabirds must be added to the l	species must be protected in
Management Options:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
I encourage the Scottish government to mak measures for the kelp forests, which form the grounds, are fit for purpose. Those propose attempt made to anticipate future risks. A de populations is required as a matter of urgend survey is around 15years oldComments	e black guilliemots main feeding d appear minimal, with no etailed survey of black guilliemot
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
20. Do you have any comments on the cas options and socioeconomic assessme possible Nature Conservation MPA?	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments		
21. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the <i>Small Isles</i> possible Nat Conservation MPA?		
Designation:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌	
I support the designation of this MPA, black guilliemot. Additionally, other se this site and seabirds must be added to Comments	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Management Options:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌	
I encourage the Scottish government to measures for the kelp forests, which for grounds, are fit for purpose. Those pre- attempt made to anticipate future risks populations is required as a matter of to survey is around 15years oldComment	orm the black guilliemots main feeding oposed appear minimal, with no s. A detailed survey of black guilliemot urgency, as the most recent detailed	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
	e case for designation, management ssment for the <i>South Arran</i> possible	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	

Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
23. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses <i>Terrace Seamount</i> possible Nature	sment for The Barra Fan and Hebrides
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
24. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asses Nature Conservation MPA?	e case for designation, management sment for the <i>Turbot Bank</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
25. Do you have any comments on the cas options and socioeconomic assessme <i>Goil</i> possible Nature Conservation MP	ent for the Upper Loch Fyne and Loci	
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
26. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the <i>West Shetland Shelf (formerly Windsock)</i> possible Nature Conservation MPA?		
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		

Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Yes 🗌 No 🗌
r designation, management or the <i>Wyre and Rousay Sounds</i>
Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Choices to represent features in the MPA Network

28. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, ocean quahog and shelf banks and mounds in the Southern North Sea, do you have a preference or comments on the following combinations to represent these features, bearing in mind Turbot Bank will need to be designated to represent sandeel in this region:

Firth of Forth Banks ComplexImage: Complex Sedimentary PlainTurbot bank and Norwegian Boundary Sedimentary PlainImage: Complex Sedimentary PlainOr Firth of Forth Banks Complex, Turbot bank and Norwegian Boundary
Sedimentary PlainImage: Complex Sedimentary Plain

The firth of Forth Banks Complex MPA must be designated as recommended by the JNCC and SNH. It is important that sandeels should be protected in the Firth of Forth MPA. Sandeels in this area are an important food source for seabirds and have a critical ecological function on which many other marine animals are dependent. Seabirds must be added to the list of designated features and be protected in this site.

29. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, ocean quahog and shelf banks and mounds in the Southern North Sea?

Yes 🛛 No 🗌

The firth of Forth Banks Complex MPA must be designated as recommended by the JNCC and SNH. It is important that sandeels should be protected in the Firth of Forth MPA. Sandeels in this area are an important food source for seabirds and have a critical ecological function on which many other marine animals are dependent. Seabirds must be added to the list of designated features and be protected in this site

30. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing the burrowed mud feature in the Fladens, do you have a preference or comments on the following combinations to represent these features, bearing in mind the part of Central Fladen (known as Central Fladen (Core)) containing tall seapen (Funiculina quadrangularis) will need to be designated to represent tall seapen in this region:

Central Fladen pMPA only

The tall sea-pen component of Central Fladen, plus Western Fladen Or the tall sea-pen component of Central Fladen, plus South-East Fladen.

Comments	
31. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representin burrowed mud feature in the Fladens?	;
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments	
32. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, offshore deep sea r and burrowed mud in OSPAR Regions III and V, do you have a prefere or comments on the following combinations to represent these feature South-West Sula Sgeir and Hebridean slope Or Geikie slide and Hebridean slope	mud, ence
Comments	
33. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, offshore deep sea mud, and burrowed mud in OSPAR Regions III and V?	
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments	

Sustainability Appraisal

34. Do you have any comments on the	Sustainability Appraisal of the MPA
network as a whole?	

	/es 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

Final Thoughts

35. On the basis of your preferences on which pMPAs should be designated, do you view this to form a complete or ecologically coherent network, subject to the completion and recommendations of SNH's further work on the 4 remaining search locations?

]	

Without protection for seabirds the network cannot be considered complete. The Scottish Government has committed to an international agreement to create an ecologically coherent network which is 'representative' of nationally important species and "particularly importantfor highly mobile species, such as certain birds". MPA's are required to complement SPA's and offer seabirds the level of protection required to help to stem the drastic and continued declines in their numbers over the last twenty years.

36. Do you have any other comments on the case for designation, management options, environmental or socioeconomic assessments of the pMPAs, or the network as a whole?

	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	