### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

#### 1. Do you support the development of an MPA network in Scotland's Seas?

Yes X No 🗌

I support the development of all the proposed MPA's in Scotland's seas. All the MPA's need to provide more protection for Scotland's seabirds. Seabirds are protected by European designations but these won't protect all of Scotland's seabirds, only Scottish MPA's can do that. All six MPA's to protect black guillemots must be designated. The Firth of Forth Banks complex should also be designated for sandeels and this will help to protect important seabird feeding areas.

#### Individual possible Nature Conservation MPAs

2. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Clyde Sea Sill* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

3. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *East Caithness Cliffs* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

4. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *East of Gannet and Montrose Fields* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

5. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Faroe-Shetland sponge belt* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

6. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Fetlar to Haroldswick* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

7. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Hatton-Rockall Basin* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

8. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Loch Creran* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

9. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Loch Sunart* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

10. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Loch Sunart to the Sound of Jura* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

### 11. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Loch Sween* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

12. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Lochs Duich, Long and Alsh* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

## 13. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Monach Isles* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

14. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Mousa to Boddam* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

15. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *North-east Faroe Shetland Channel* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
16. Do you have any comments on the case options and socioeconomic assessmen possible Nature Conservation MPA?	nt for the North-west Orkney
options and socioeconomic assessmer possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation:	e for designation, management at for the <i>North-west Orkney</i> Yes  No
options and socioeconomic assessmer possible Nature Conservation MPA?	nt for the North-west Orkney
options and socioeconomic assessmer possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation:	nt for the North-west Orkney
options and socioeconomic assessmen possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments	At for the North-west Orkney
options and socioeconomic assessmer possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments Management Options:	At for the North-west Orkney
options and socioeconomic assessmen possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments Management Options: Comments	Yes No Yes No Yes No
options and socioeconomic assessmer possible Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments Management Options: Comments Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes No Yes No Yes No

17. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *North-west sea lochs and Summer Isles* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

18. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Noss Head* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

### 19. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Papa Westray* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

20. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Rosemary Bank Seamount* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

# 21. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Small Isles* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes X No
This MPA should be designated for all for. Perhaps rafting areas for Manx S in Rum should be included for protecti	hearwaters from the very large colony
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
All of the above.	
Comments	
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on th	ne case for designation, management ssment for the <i>South Arran</i> possible
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic asse	
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on th options and socioeconomic asse Nature Conservation MPA?	ssment for the South Arran possible
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on th options and socioeconomic asse Nature Conservation MPA? Designation:	ssment for the South Arran possible
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assee Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments	ssment for the South Arran possible Yes  No
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assese Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments Management Options:	ssment for the South Arran possible Yes  No
Comments 22. Do you have any comments on the options and socioeconomic assee Nature Conservation MPA? Designation: Comments Management Options: Comments	South Arran possible Yes No  Yes No  Yes No

Comments

23. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for *The Barra Fan and Hebrides Terrace Seamount* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
	he case for designation, management essment for the <i>Turbot Bank</i> possible
Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	
Socioeconomic Assessment.	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

Comments 25. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the Upper Loch Fyne and Loch Goil possible Nature Conservation MPA? Yes 🗌 No 🗌 **Designation:** Comments Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Management Options: Comments Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Socioeconomic Assessment: Comments Yes 🗌 No 🗌 All of the above: Comments 26. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the West Shetland Shelf (formerly Windsock) possible Nature Conservation MPA? Yes 🗌 No 🗌 **Designation:** Comments Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Management Options: Comments Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Socioeconomic Assessment: Comments Yes 🗌 No 🗌 All of the above:

Comments

27. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the *Wyre and Rousay Sounds* possible Nature Conservation MPA?

Designation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Management Options:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	
All of the above:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

### **Choices to represent features in the MPA Network**

28. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, ocean quahog and shelf banks and mounds in the Southern North Sea, do you have a preference or comments on the following combinations to represent these features, bearing in mind Turbot Bank will need to be designated to represent sandeel in this region:

Firth of Forth Banks ComplexImage: Complex Sedimentary PlainTurbot bank and Norwegian Boundary Sedimentary PlainImage: Complex Sedimentary PlainOr Firth of Forth Banks Complex, Turbot bank and Norwegian Boundary Sedimentary PlainImage: Complex Sedimentary Plain

Comments

29. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, ocean quahog and shelf banks and mounds in the Southern North Sea?

	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

30. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing the burrowed mud feature in the Fladens, do you have a preference or comments on the following combinations to represent these features, bearing in mind the part of Central Fladen (known as Central Fladen (Core)) containing tall seapen (Funiculina quadrangularis) will need to be designated to represent tall seapen in this region:

Central Fladen pMPA only The tall sea-pen component of Central Fladen, plus Western Fladen Or the tall sea-pen component of Central Fladen, plus South-East Fladen.

Comments
----------

31. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representing the burrowed mud feature in the Fladens?

		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments		

32. Recognising the scientific advice from JNCC included alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, offshore deep sea mud, and burrowed mud in OSPAR Regions III and V, do you have a preference or comments on the following combinations to represent these features:

South-West Sula Sgeir and Hebridean slope Or Geikie slide and Hebridean slope

Comments

33. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessments for the preference you have indicated in the question above, regarding alternatives for representing offshore subtidal sands and gravels, offshore deep sea mud, and burrowed mud in OSPAR Regions III and V?

	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Comments		

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

34. Do you have any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal of the MPA network as a whole?

	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	

#### **Final Thoughts**

35. On the basis of your preferences on which pMPAs should be designated, do you view this to form a complete or ecologically coherent network, subject to the completion and recommendations of SNH's further work on the 4 remaining search locations?

	Yes 🗌 No 📃
Comments	

36. Do you have any other comments on the case for designation, management options, environmental or socioeconomic assessments of the pMPAs, or the network as a whole?

	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Comments	