CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you support the development of an MPA network in Scotland's Seas?		
	Yes	
important step towards the	n of a NC MPA network in Scotland as an e improved protection of marine habitats and d salmon and sea trout fisheries.	
Individual possible Nat	ure Conservation MPAs	
	nents on the case for designation, management option nt for the <i>North-west sea lochs and Summer Isles</i> poss	
Designation:	Yes	
seabed habitats and species of seabed habitats within the and Loch Broom area will he fish species. Some of the habitats to be g small fish upon which sea the for the area. Herring former outside the proposed MPA be extended to ensure that all refish spawning grounds around 1985 (see below). Sea grass beds within the proposed within the proposed of the proposed	nation for protecting and restoring a diversity of s. I believe that improved protection particularly e Loch Ewe, Gruinard Bay, Little Loch Broom elp wild salmon and sea trout and many other given greater protection are of importance to the out feed; including herring, a 'keystone' species ly spawned on maerl beds within and just boundary. Therefore the MPA should be maerl beds and other seabed habitats used as nd Wester Ross are protected as they were until lossible MPA (e.g. in Loch Ewe and Gruinard from the list of features to be protected and	
Management Options:	Yes	
with site management. For sea trout, the shallow communities on sub-littoral importance as they represeded for scallops since 1985. The gears should be exclude alternative less-damaging scallop diving can provide seabed area. Operation of possible MPA should be sub-listoport the recommended	water habitats including maerl beds, seaweed sediment, and sea grass beds are of particular sent habitats considered to be important for sits have been damaged particularly by dredging herefore, I believe that towed and active fishing and from all shallow areas, especially where harvesting methods including creeling and comparable or higher economic return per unit any towed and active fishing gear within the bject to Environment Impact Assessment. I management options for finfish aquaculture. As farms can damage maerl beds beyond the	

Allowable Zone of Effect. I therefore would seek that fin-fish aquaculture discharges are monitored and regulated to protect maerl beds and other sensitive habitats within the proposed MPA area.	
Socioeconomic Assessment: Yes	
Fisheries for sea trout and salmon are a part of an important wildlife tourism industry in Wester Ross which also includes marine wildlife watching (including snorkelling and diving) and sea angling. Successful development of the MPA should benefit all of the above. I recognise that the commercial inshore fisheries of the area are also of socio-economic importance for the local area. There is much potential for the restoration of fish and shellfish populations associated with the recovery of seabed habitats within the area. I believe that the successful development of the MPA will benefit the majority of local fishing business by helping to promote harvesting methods and management that can secure the long-term sustainability of fisheries resources of the area.	
All of the above:	
The possible Northwest Sealochs and Summer Isles MPA could be called the Wester Ross' Marine Protected Area, to provide clearer local identity and foster local interest and a greater sense of local ownership and support.	
Sustainability Appraisal	
34. Do you have any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal of the MP whole?	A network as a
Yes □	
For the possible MPA to be most effective, it is necessary to develop site- specific community-based opportunities for participation in natural resource management. For the network to succeed it must be clearly relevant to the lives and livelihoods of the Scottish people particularly those who will be most directly affected by it.	
Final Thoughts	
35. On the basis of your preferences on which pMPAs should be designa view this to form a complete or ecologically coherent network, subject to completion and recommendations of SNH's further work on the 4 remaining	the
locations?	ing scarcii

Our forbearers effectively established a 3-mile 'marine protected area' in the 19th Century to protect habitats for spawning herring and other economically important fish populations within inshore waters. The collapse of coastal fish populations since 1985 lends support to their earlier precautionary approach to fisheries management and marine protection.

The proposed 21st century MPA network provides a level of marine protection much less than that which was afforded by the 3-mile limit to mobile fishing gear. Following the removal of the 3 mile limit in 1985 seabed habitats around Scotland have been subject to much damage and destruction; and many wild fish populations and coastal fisheries (e.g. for whitefish, herring, sea trout) have collapsed.

There is much to do to better protect and manage our inshore marine environment in order to restore healthier fish populations and sustain more prosperous coastal communities around Scotland; the current network remains inadequate unless other measures can be put in place to provide better protection for fragile marine habitats and priority species outwith possible MPAs that remain threatened.

36. Do you have any other comments on the case for designation, management options, environmental or socioeconomic assessments of the pMPAs, or the network as a whole?

` '	
$V \wedge c$	

Objectives for nature conservation need to be better meshed together with objectives for fisheries management. The value of protecting seabed habitats for fisheries management purposes needs to be relearned and better understood.

Finally, I recognise that a huge amount of work has been carried out by SNH staff and colleagues in progressing the Scottish MPA project so far. Well done.