

**McCabe G (Gillian)**

---

**From:** GlasgowAO- LBradley [lbradley@eis.org.uk]  
**Sent:** 13 June 2005 16:40  
**To:** McCabe G (Gillian)  
**Subject:** Re: Parental Involvement Consultation Bill

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email has been received from an external party and  
has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Ms McCabe

Thank you for acknowledging receipt of our response.

It may be helpful if I conformed that I was responding on behalf of the Education Committee of the  
Presbytery of Glasgow.

Best wishes.

Lachlan Bradley

**EMAIL DISCLAIMER**

The information contained in this message is confidential to the intended recipient. The dissemination, distribution, copying or disclosure of this message or its contents is prohibited unless authorised by the sender. If you receive this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete the message from your system. Neither the EIS nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan the message and any attachments.

The Educational Institute of Scotland  
46 Moray Place, Edinburgh, EH3 6BH Tel: 0131 225 6244

PLEASE NOTE: THE ABOVE MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED FROM THE INTERNET.

On entering the GSi, this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Energis in partnership with MessageLabs.

Please see <http://www.gsi.gov.uk/main/notices/information/gsi-003-2002.pdf> for further details.

In case of problems, please call your organisational IT helpdesk

(A)

992

-----Original Message-----

From: Mailer-Daemon@scotland.gov.uk [mailto:Mailer-Daemon@scotland.gov.uk]

Sent: 08 June 2005 10:14

To: Parental Involvement Bill

Subject: 992

Response from the Parentzone - Parental Involvement questionnaire

Name: Mr Lachlan Bradley

E-mail: lbradley@eis.org.uk

Postal address: presbytery of Glasgow Education Committee, 260 Bath Street Glasgow G2 4JP

Responding as: On behalf of a group or organisation

Individual: Yes

Confidentiality: Yes, make my response, name and address all available

Behalf of groups: Yes

Sharing responses / future engagement: Yes

Question 1: No, the committee believes that this already exists within the School Boards (Scotland) Act

Question 2: No, the Committee believes that such procedures already exist.

Question 3: Yes.

Question 4: No, but there could be amendments to the Act to make it more inclusive, although the Committee is not aware of this being a general concern.

Question 5: No, the current obligations under the Act are sufficient.

Question 6: No. The Committee does not support the premise.

Question 7: No. There appears to be a contradiction with the desire to have a structure which reflects the needs of individual schools.

Question 8: Not necessary as existing Act allows for this.

Question 9: No. The Committee believes the existing provisions are adequate and allow for a degree of structure to be maintained.

Question 10: While parents should be the majority group, there should also be a presence from the school and the staff. The current set up of having the HT and a staff representative serves the purpose.

Question 11: The current Act could be amended to allow for representation from a relevant provider, if it is a factor in any particular school.

Question 12: Yes. Only if they are required to come in contact with pupils.

Question 13: No. The HT and staff representatives should have a place by right.

Question 14: The current School Board functions are adequate and the Committee sees no need to enhance them.

Question 15: This is an obligation on School Boards and there does not appear to be any necessity to change this.

Question 16: The present arrangements for School Boards satisfies this issue.

Question 17: The existing legislation caters for this.

Question 18: The existing School Board arrangements should remain.

Question 19: The Committee believes this to be a right currently enjoyed by parents and cannot see how the proposals can alter this.

Question 20: No. The current system is adequate.

Question 21: No. The current arrangements are adequate.

Question 22: As far as the Committee is aware this is already in place.

PLEASE NOTE: THE ABOVE MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED FROM THE INTERNET.

On entering the GSi, this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service supplied exclusively by Energis in partnership with MessageLabs.

Please see <http://www.gsi.gov.uk/main/notices/information/gsi-003-2002.pdf> for further details.

In case of problems, please call your organisational IT helpdesk

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*