FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0133			Date of visit: 26/05/2021
Time spent on site:	nrs	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: FS0629 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Poll Na Gille Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9.3	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Fish came onto site from Glenfinnan at the beginning of May 2020.

Wild caught wrasse on site were caught around Mull, Loch Melfort and some were imported from Ireland (not since last inspection). Wrasse were stocked at 4% however, site staff are not observing many in the cages.

Wellboat was on site during inspection conducting FW treatments. Fish in all cages appeared in good health with minimal physical damage observed across the site. No moribunds were observed.

Some lumpfish observed across the site but not many. Those that were observed appeared to be in good health and no physical damage was noted. Site due to receive a lumpfish input next month from Anglesey.

Wrasse mortality for the site was 13% since input (May 2020). Lumpfish mortality was 30% since input (May 2020)

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Paperwork inspected remoteley 19/05/2021. Site inspection completed on 26/05/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13	}		ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0133		Site No:	FS0629				
Date of Visit:		26/05/2021]		Inspector(s)			I
Registration/Author	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			ite represe	ntative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	o details?						Υ	1
Site Details (include	de cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities s	stocked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM				T	
Age group	2020 Q2	Wildcaught	2020					
No Fish	609, 411	38,800	40,812					
Mean Fish Wt	4.2kg	Mixed	90g					
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Sep 21		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	April 22		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prol	blems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	Y
If yes, detail:								
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys 	olete and cor cates for intro s nts carried o	rrectly entered? oductions (outw	vith GB) ava	ailable? business (not us	· ·			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed	of?			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Ensiled at I	Kames pier and	d collected	by Billy Bowie an	d taken to En	ergen at Cu	mbernauld.	
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		Wk19: 55	3 (0.08%); Wk18	: 1,181 (0.179	%); Wk17: 2	.69 (0.07%); V	Vk16: 563
5. Evidence of recei	nt increased/	atypical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	er facility/no sto	ck per facil	lity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks if yes, detail:	in mortality d	luring period ch	necked?					N
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities ho	en reported	to yet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplaineu	, mortanties be	оп теропес	TO VELOTITIE				13/7
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been r	reported to FHI	? If no, ente	er details on mort	ality events s	heet.		N/A
			-,		,			

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspec	tion?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly en	tered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Ϋ́

If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	<u> </u>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	*
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	·
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Moritella viscosa, but not causing significant si	gnficiant
Report date 27th April 2021.	

Records checked between:

24/11/2020 - 20/05/2021

mi 009, veision 13							188	ueu by. i	ГПІ			
Case no:	2021-0 <i>°</i>	133	Site No:		FS0629					26/0	05/2021	26/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g: HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	0:00	14:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D. [14
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	F1	F2	F3									
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
Average weight	4.2kg	4.2kg	4.2kg									
Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
	م Glenfinnan	4 Glenfinnan	o Glenfinnan									
	Priority samples: Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 Pool Group Species Average weight Sex N/A Water Type Stock Origin	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling 14:00:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 Pool Group Species SAL Average weight Average weight Sex N/A Water Type VI Indoors Add Fish/Pools - click VI Indoors VI Indoors	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 14:00:00 14:3 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 F3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 4.2kg 4.2kg 4.2kg Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW Read Site No: Date of the Noise Priority Site No: Read Site No: Date of the	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 14:00:00 14:30:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 F3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 4.2kg 4.2kg 4.2kg Sex N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW Reg Ge Group SW SW SW	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629	Priority samples: VI	Date of Sampling	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Date of visit/ Sampling: Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI

i i ii 059, veisic	11 13						100	ueu by.	1 1 11		
05/2021 Additio	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:								
Dispate	ched by	nercussi	ive blow								
Dispati	orica by i	percussi	IVC DIOW	•							
0	T. (.) T			0	1						
0	Total I	ests ass	ignea	0							
											1
											1
											1

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0133		Site No:	FS0629		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
•	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Wovernonts on	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		l o	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		31
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0133	Site No:	FS0629
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites O	nly)	
1. Has the site experienced sea lice prob		N
	(or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye	ear class basis?
_	of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc	
	as well as access to suitable biological and/or mech	
4. Is there a signed documented farm ma Management Area (or equivalent)?	anagement agreement or statement relevant to the sit	te and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available fo	r inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the requ	ired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record level records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	s below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	GP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L	. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the F	ish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level w	hich is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
·	Iministered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
11. Has any other action been taken (who	ere applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the ad	ctions taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, ca	rried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the	site, where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice ma	nagement procedure with waypoints describing set a	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on st	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	amage due to predators in the current or previous pro	nduction cycles?
	inst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
Tensioned, top nets	instance production experienced on one. (Botain Bolow)	1.
If other, detail below:		
	n experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No.	skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish I	Ministers?	Υ
•	FB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37$, 5.4	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPC	O and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist	Y (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	r escapees? If yes give detail	N
 If gill nets were deployed was this action Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18 	on agreed with local wild fish interests and was permi	ssion given by Scottish N/A
9. What action was taken to prevent and	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory me	•	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory w	vith regards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	on(s)

TIII 050 Marriag 40	Januard Iver El II	Data of income 40/05/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0133 Si	te No: FS0629	
Date of Visit: 26/05/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	nin a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completic	on.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma	unagement Agreements and Statement	2
2. Has a current farm management agreer		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa	•	Υ
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s	· ·	<u>Y</u>
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of co	——————————————————————————————————————	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re-	view?	
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinatio	·	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	· ·	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximur individual farm?	m stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangent fish farm in the area or the individual farm		dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S		V
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ts for the sharing of data on sea lice num	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabili of statement?	ty and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	nents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	•	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen	ments for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst area or farm?	ances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen or individual farms?	ments for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke		
agreement or statement?	or more year classes may be stocked onto s	
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broccovered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ot on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to become	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? May-20	statement? Y

Site No: FS0629

Case No: 2021-0133

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0133			Date of visit:	26/05/2021]		
Site No:	FS0629]		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.							
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	te of Notifica Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Donort Cummon								
Report Summary	Date	lnon	ond L					
Case Type	02/06/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	02/00/2021							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 26/05/2021

 Site No
 FS0629
 Site Name
 Poll Na Gille

 Case No
 20210133
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 02/06/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0136				Date of visit: 2	5/05/2021
Time spent on site:	3hrs	N	lain Inspector	:	
Site No: FS0805 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Bagh Dail Nan Ce Mowi Scotland Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 9.3	Thermometer No:	T152]	FHI 045 complete	ed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see ad	ditional inform	nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco	re sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Fish were transferred onto site from Loch Lochy and Glenfinnan in April 2020. Stocking density has been significantly reduced this cycle.

Thermolicer treatment was completed last Wednesday (12th) and average sea lice numbers have dropped to below 0.15 for all stages.

Ensiled waste is collected by Billy Bowie and taken to Energen, Cumbernauld.

Site manager reported good health and has observed a high feed conversion rate within the stock on site.

Site has done both live and dead haul harvests this cycle.

Fish were sitting deep in the water and only 3 lethargic fish were observed across the site. On the fish that were observed, some physical damage was noted but fish were active and demonstrated a strong escape response.

Lumpfish mortality across the site for April was 4.4%. Lumpfish observed on site appeared to be in good health.

Very few wrasse observed across the site. Mortality for the month of April was 1.2%.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Paperwork inspected remotely on 19/05/2021. Site inspection completed on 25/05/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13	HI 059, Version 13			ed by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2021-0136		Site No:	FS0805	5			
Date of Visit:		25/05/20	21		Inspector(s	s):		ı
Registration/Author	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			y site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	o details?						N]
Site Details (include	de cleaner fi	sh for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities	10 010a1101 11	12	Facilities sto	ocked	12	No facilities	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM	WRA				i i	
Age group	2020 Q2	2020	Wildcaught					
No Fish	394,350	135,176	35,657					
Mean Fish Wt	4.7kg	200g	Mixed					
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Oct 2021		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	May 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prol	blems?		N	Any escap	es (since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys 	olete and cor cates for intro s nts carried o	rrectly entered oductions (or out by (or on	ed? utwith GB) availa behalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	•	,		Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed	of?			Other (deta	ail)		
If other detail:		Kames pier.			·	·		
3. Mortality records			entered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		Wk19: 2,127	7 (0.53%); Wk ⁻	18: 1,176 (0.	.3%); Wk17: 2	70 (0.05%); \	Wk16: 337
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Wk19: 2,127 (0.53%); Wk18: 1,176 (0.3%); Wk17: 270 (0.05%); Wk16: 337 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?								
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								
6. Any other peaks								Y
If yes, detail: Wk50 (2020) - 11,424 (1.13%); Wk48 - 10,518 (1.02%); Wk44 - 18,291 (1.73%)								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?								
If yes, detail action:								
3. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								

Treatments and Medicines Records							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y						
If yes, detail: T.M.S.							
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Υ						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?							
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y						
Biosecurity Records							
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease							
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y						
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y						
aquaculture animals held on site?	V						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	ī						
ii iio, detaii.							
Results of Surveillance							
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y						
3. Any significant results?	N						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Report Date: 23rd April 2021							
Records checked between: 10/12/2020 - 19/05/2021							

٠.	ni ube, version 13							155	uea by. FF	11			
	Case no:	2021-0 ⁻	136	Site No:		FS0805			Date of vis Sampling:		25/0	05/2021	25/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	0:00	14:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1	F2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	4.7kg	4.7kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
<u>sli</u>		ian	ian						1				
Stock Details		Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan										
Stc	Facility No	3	4										

	111 000, Voluloti 10										
05/2021 Add	itional Sam	ple Infor	mation:								
Disp	atched by	percussi	ve blow								
	,	•									
0	Total T	ests ass	igned	0							
											1
											1

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0136		Site No:	FS0805		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Inumber of desi	Site contacts	0			10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	ı	1			
farms (holding species	disinfection or b		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3]			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
				•			
					Total		22 MEDIUM
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0136	Site No:	FS0805
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	N
·	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin, Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis alongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	ipplicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms?	? Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for Y
	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro	oduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Sealpro nets, tensioned, top nets		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions $4-9$. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	iters?	
•	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37, 5.4$	
Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If all note were deployed was this action as	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason	on(s) Y

TIII 050 Maraian 42	leaved by FIII	Data of icours, 42/05/2020
FHI 059, Version 13 Case No: 2021-0136 Site	Issued by: FHI e No: FS0805	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0136 Site	e No: FS0805	
Date of Visit: 25/05/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	in a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mar	nagement Agreements and Statement	
2. Has a current farm management agreem		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa	•	Υ
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm si	• •	Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of corDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	_	nent?
7. Does the FiviAg/3 identity the date of fev	iew!	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h farm?	nealth standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	•	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species o	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm?	n stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S		V
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	s for the sharing of data on sea lice numi	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability of statement?	y and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	ents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta		I cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	ances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem or individual farms?	nents for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practi	ces on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the ard date when a farm or area may be restocked?	ea or individual farm will be fallow and the ea	arliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more yea agreement or statement?	r classes may be stocked onto sites covered	by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or pocovered by the agreement or statement?	etential broodstock are to be kept on any site	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreem 24. Does the farm management agreement include arraparties to the agreement?		to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in acc 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S		Y

Site No: FS0805

Case No: 2021-0136

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0136			Date of visit:	25/05/2021]		
Site No:	FS0805	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
							-	
			-					
D + 0								
Report Summary	D (nd .					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	28/05/2021							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0119 **DATE OF VISIT** 25/05/2021

SITE No FS0805 SITE NAME Bagh Dail Nan Cean

CASE NO 20210136 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	ssue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2021-0137				Date of visit: 2	25/05/2021		
Time spent on site:	nrs	$\overline{}$	Main Inspector	:			
Site No: FS0859 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Port Na Cro Mowi Scotland Li	td				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 9	Thermometer No:	T152		FHI 045 complet	ed		
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-40		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N N N N N N N N N N N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

Stock originally from Glenfinnan but moved onto site from Poll Na Gille the week before inspection.

Fish were sitting deep in the water. No moribunds were observed on the site.

Few lumpfish were seen, but those that were observed appeared to be in good condition. No lumpfish mortality has been observed on the site since input the week prior.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Paperwork inspected remotely 21/05/2021. Site visit completed 25/05/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0137]	Site No:	FS0859	9			
Date of Visit:		25/05/202	21		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Author	risation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all sec	ctions)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	ocked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	4
Species	SAL	LUM						
Age group	2020 Q2	2020						
No Fish	80,501	1,200						
Mean Fish Wt	4kg	90g						
Next Fallow Date (S		Sep 2021		Next Input D		April 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks) If yes, detail:	disease prob	olems?		ľ	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any moveme yes, is there a sys 	cords availal plete and cor cates for intro s nts carried o	ble for dead f rectly entered ductions (out	ish and waste? d? twith GB) availate ehalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	•			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
How are mortaliting of the detail:					Other (detail)		
	Ensiled at h							
3. Mortality records4. Recent mortality	•	a correctly er		(O. OOO(), M/I/4	0.4.404./0.470	/ \. \\/\.47. 0	000 (0.070().10	1 MI-40: 500
5. Evidence of recei	•	atunical mort		(0.08%); Wk18	3: 1,181 (0.179	%); VVK17: Z	.69 (0.07%); v	VK16: 563
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		//reason:				
ii yes, lacility 1103/110	Thortainly pe	i lacility/110 3	tock per racility	// reason.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality d	uring period o	checked?					Y
If yes, detail:		•	%), 4,213 of wh	ich were attrib	uted to post-tr	eatment los	ses (FW).	
7. Have increased (())	N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	eported to FF	II? If no, enter	details on mor	rtality events s	heet.		Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: TMS		Y				
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection	n?	Υ				
B. Are records complete and correctly entered? I. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Υ
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems) Moritella viscosa	

Report date: 17/02/2021

Records checked between:

24/11/2020 - 19/05/2021

Case no: 2021-0137 Site No: FS0859 Date of visit/ Sampling: 25/05/2021 Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Image: NG VMD No. Image: NG VMD N				sueu by. i	153							THE 059, VERSION 15
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sar Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Image: Pool Group species Image: Pool Group s	25/0	25/05/2021)	FS0859		Site No	137	2021-0°	Case no:
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sar Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No F1 F2 F3 F3 F4 F3 F4 F4 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>MG</td><td></td><td>PA</td><td></td><td>ВА</td><td></td><td>VI</td><td>Priority samples:</td></t<>					MG		PA		ВА		VI	Priority samples:
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sar Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No	15	/ID No.	VIV		or:	Inspecto	1	80:00	14:3	00:00	14:0	
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No			5		4		3		2	Indoors	1	Environmental conditions:
Pool/Fish No	mples	Total Sa	PA		VI		MG		ВА		HIST	Summary samples
Fish nos F1 F2 F3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL Average weight 4kg 4kg 4kg Sex N/A N/A N/A												
Pool Group Species Species SAL Average weight 4kg 4kg 4kg Sex N/A N/A N/A									- 0	F0	=4	
Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 4kg 4kg 4kg Sex N/A N/A N/A				-		\Box			F3	F2	F1	
Average weight 4kg 4kg 5ex N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A												
Sex N/A N/A N/A											SAL	
											4kg	Average weight
Water Type SW SW SW									N/A	N/A	N/A	Sex
									SW	SW	SW	Water Type
Stock Origin Stock Origin Facility No 1 2 3												

1 1 11 000, VC131C	1 009, Version 10											
05/2021 Additional Sample Information:												
Dispatched by percussive blow.												
2 10 5 511				•								
					_							
0	Total To	ests ass	igned	0								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0137		Site No:	FS0859		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	10
wovernerits on	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	red (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V nor within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
are rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	<u> </u>	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				
footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator	T			•			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		24
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0137	Site No:	FS0859
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	N
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	rear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc	
	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a dealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N N/A
	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis allongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications.	levels have exceeded the Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ Υ
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro	oduction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Top nets, tensioned nets	The production experienced on one . (Detail pelot	,
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions $4-9$. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	on(s) Y

THI 050 Version 12	leaved by FUI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
FHI 059, Version 13 Case No: 2021-0137 Si	Issued by: FHI te No: FS0859	Date of Issue: 12/05/2020
Case No. 2021-0137 Si	te No: FS0859	
Date of Visit: 25/05/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	nin a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma	nagement Agreements and Statement	e
2. Has a current farm management agreen		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa	•	Υ
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s	• • •	Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of coDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	•	nent?
7. Does the Fiviagra identity the date of rev	new!	,
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinatio	·	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximur individual farm?	n stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the
 Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen fish farm in the area or the individual farm 		dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S		b are and treatments?
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	is for the sharing of data on sea lice number	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability of statement?	ty and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	nents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	•	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen	nents for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	ances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen or individual farms?	nents for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke	which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? or more year classes may be stocked onto s	
agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becon	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 01/05/2021	statement? Y

Site No: FS0859

Case No: 2021-0137

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0137			Date of visit:	25/05/2021]					
Site No:	FS0859	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	Date of Notification						
, and the second	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	<u> </u>										
	 										
	 										
	 										
Damant Court				1							
Report Summary	Doto	lnon	ond .								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	28/05/2021										
Ī											





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0119Date of Visit25/05/2021Site NoFS0859Site NamePort Na CroCase No20210137Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2021-0138]		Date of visit: 26/05/2021					
Time spent on site:	1hr	Main Inspect	or:					
Site No: SS0709 Business No: SB0452	Site Name: Business Name:	Gigas Rubha Nan Ron Seafoods						
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Remaining stock were removed from the site in sealed skips and disposed of in landfill in 2020.

All trestles and bags have been removed from the foreshore and the site can now be deregistered.

Case No:	2021-0138			Date of visit:	26/05/2021				
Site No:	SS0709	l		Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification					
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date		2 nd Insp						
REG	28/05/2021								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0452
 Date of Visit
 26/05/2021

 Site No
 SS0709
 Site Name
 Gigas

 Case No
 20210138
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 to determine that the site is no longer in use and it is to be de-registered.

All aquaculture equipment including trestles and bags have been removed from the site.

Records

Aquaculture animal movement records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

It was reported that the site had been fallow since April 2020. The site is no longer in existence and therefore will be de-registered on the Marine Scotland database.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 28/05/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0139			Date of visit: 27/05/2021				
Time spent on site:	nrs	Main Inspe	ctor:				
Site No: FS1290 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Shuna SW (Rubh'an Trillead Kames Fish Farming Ltd	chain)				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 9	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

AGD was the biggest health challenge last cycle so gill swabs are being taken and analysed by PCR every 2 weeks.

Lumpfish arrived on site in October from Otter Ferry and were stocked at 6%. 4 lumpfish mortalities observed across the site, not fresh dead so no diagnostic samples taken. Lumpfish mortality for April was 7.2% attributed to handling.

Fish on site came from Inchmore and Loch Lochy and have been vaccinated against furunculosis and IPN.

Site doing live and deadhaul harvests. Harvest boat was on site during inspection.

Majority of fish were sitting deep in the water so were difficult to observe. A few fish were observed with physical damage but were not moribund or lethargic.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Paperwork inspected remotely 20/05/2021. Site inspection completed on 27/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2021-0139		Site No:	FS1290					
Date of Visit:		27/05/202	21		Inspector(s)	:			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails							
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ		
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	ctions)						
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	11	No faciliti	es inspected	12	
Species	SAL	LUM					Ī		
Age group	2020 Q2	2020							
No Fish	548,993	~88,000							
Mean Fish Wt	3.9kg	90g							
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	Oct 21		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Mar 22			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	t visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:									
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any moveme yes, is there a sys 	cords availab blete and corre ates for introd s nts carried ou	e for dead fectly entered luctions (out	ish and waste? d? twith GB) availa pehalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_			Y Y N/A	
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records		•						Y	
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detai	l)			
If other detail:	Ensiled at Ka		. 10					V	
3. Mortality records		correctly er						Y	
4. Recent mortality	` '			0.03%); Wk18:	421 (0.07%)	; Wk 17: 64	6 (0.11%); Wk		
5. Evidence of recei		• •		,				N	
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no s	tock per facility	/reason:					
6 Any other neeks i									
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?									
Wk51 2020: 10,314 (1.42%) treatment loss; Wk43: 11,366 (1.5%) AGD; Wk22: 9,167 (1.16%) treatment loss; Wk43: 11,366 (1.5%) AGD; Wk43: 11,366 (1.5%) AGD									
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?									
If yes, detail action:	oxpiairioa)		. con reported to					N/A	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.									

Treatments and Medicin	es Records		
1. Recent treatments (see	comment)?		N
If yes, detail:			
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records avail	able for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete a	nd correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal	period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored a	ppropriately?		Y
D: 1/ D .		_	
Biosecurity Records	ilabla for inapaction?	_	V
1. Biosecurity records ava	•	moval, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	•	will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	'
increased (unexplained)			Y
· · · · · ·	•	hat the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
		nat will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
		being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification		being stocked on the familisite been covered (equal of higher	•
moduli otatao, commodion	ii roquirou).		
6 Have the husbandry an	d biosecurity measure:	s implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
-	•	ent of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	· ·	asures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held		nound in place to maintain the physical containment of	
•		ately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	1	,,	
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health s	surveillance been carri	ied out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results availa	ble for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?			N
If yes, detail (if not detailed	d under recent disease	e problems).	

	ai uba, version 13							1550	ea by. FHI				
	Case no:	2021-01	139	Site No:		FS1290			Date of visit/ Sampling:		27/0)5/2021	27/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	sampling.	ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00	12:0	0:00		Inspecto	r:		_	VMD No).	11
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РΑ		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1	F2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	3.9kg	3.9kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Loch Lochy	Loch Lochy										
St	Facility No	7	10										

	1000, Version 10										
05/2021 Add	itional Sam	ple Infor	mation:								
Disp	atched by	percussi	ve blow								
	,	•									
0	Total T	ests ass	igned	0							
											1
											1

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Issued by: FHI				
Case Number:	2021-0139		Site No:	FS1290		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/05/2021		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
.							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Tramber of desi	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
,		or in a coastal zone with category III					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
	Tarris upstream	TOT WITHIT T HOLD EXCUSSION	<u>'</u>	4	0		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
and raids of the andonye	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes						0
	No		2				
	1						
					Total		24
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0139	Site No:	FS1290
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	N
·	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye	ear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc	
	well as access to suitable biological and/or mech	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr.</i> If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a dealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N N/A
	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis lelongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implicati	levels have exceeded the Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	vels recorded?
·	out in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro	oduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
Top nets, tensioned nets	(,
If other, detail below:		
	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	iters?	
•	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	•
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was permi	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	on(s)

		D
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0139 Sit	re No: FS1290	
Date of Visit: 27/05/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	in a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mar	nagement Agreements and Statement	s
2. Has a current farm management agreem		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s		Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of corDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	——————————————————————————————————————	nent?
7. Does the Fiving/3 identity the date of fev	riew:	
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum has farm?	nealth standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	•	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm?	n stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangemed fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S		V
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	s for the sharing of data on sea lice numi	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit of statement?	y and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	ents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	_	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	nents for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	ances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem or individual farms?	nents for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harves	t practices on farms in the area or in	ndividual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or monagreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstoo	ore year classes may be stocked ont	to sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Management A 24. Does the farm management agreement incluparties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the Figure 1.		or statement?

Site No: FS1290

Case No: 2021-0139

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0139			Date of visit:	27/05/2021]		
Site No:	FS1290]		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
							-	
		1					-	
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, CLI, VMD	28/05/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0134 **DATE OF VISIT** 27/05/2021

SITE NO FS1290 SITE NAME Shuna SW (Rubh'an Trilleachain)

CASE NO 20210139 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	1	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0143			Date of visit: 24/05/2021			
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspec	etor:			
Site No: FS1327 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Pre-smolt Unit Scottish Sea Farms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 14	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:				

Paperwork completed remotely on 20/05/2021 by , shadowed by , physical site inspection on 24/05/2021 by and

Site currently at 14C, normally kept between 13-14C

Currently on Batch 9 in the pre-smolt unit: this is split into batch 9-1 and 9-2

Stock on site appeared in good condition during physical inspection with no moribund/lethargic fish noted. Fish responding well when fed.

Water temperature taken from site thermometers for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0143		Site No:	FS1327]			
Date of Visit:		24/05/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		18	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL							
Age group	2020							
No Fish	2,585,761							
Mean Fish Wt	30g							
Next Fallow Date (S		Mid July 202	1	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	August 20	21	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:							,	
•								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available for	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe	ction:						16/09/2019	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
4. Are movement re	cords availabl	le for dead fis	h and waste?	•				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	t by (or on bel	half) of the bu	ısiness (not us	ing a STB)?			N/A
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
How are mortalities	es disposed of	f?			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:	Ensiled wast							
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Pre-smolt 2:	2021 wk 17 0	.04 58 morts; 2	2021 wk 18	0.09% 1,089	(majority due
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					Y
If yes, detail:	decreasing t			naximum of 0.6 vaccination or				
7. Have increased (very low	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	inexplained) i	Tortaintes be	errieported to	Vet of TTII!				14/7
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' boon ro	ported to EUI	2 If no onter	details on mor	tality events of	neet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	ents been re	ported to FITI	: if no, enter	uetalis on mon	tailty events si	icel.		IN/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection	1?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered	ed?	Y

1. Recent treatments (see comment):	IN
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between: 16/09/2019 - 20/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0143		Site No:	FS1327		Insp:	
Date of Visit	24/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
openies .	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Manager and a set						_	3
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6 6	10 10	3
Exposure via water	rtumber er deet	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0]			0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	rfrom Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common processes with other farms			1			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms						
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	I 0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				U
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	j			
					Total Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2	021-0143		Site No:	FS1327	
Sea Lice Inspection (Sea	awater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experience	ed sea lice problems in the previous	s 4 years?			
2. Is the CoGP Farm Man	agement Area (or equivalent) fallo	wed synchronously o	n a single y	ear class basis?	
	ess to a range of licenced in-feed a ectin benzoate) as well as access				
4. Is there a signed docum Management Area (or equ	mented farm management agreeme uivalent)?	ent or statement relev	ant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count reco	rds available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Г	
	reflect the required standard specif		•	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmoni</i> records are inspected? (0	is) record levels below the suggest CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	oGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult fen	nale sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers	s per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	
If yes, have these been re	eported to the Fish Health Inspector	ate? If no, FHI see c	omment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infestat	tion at a level which is considered to	o cause significant w	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
•	tments been administered or other the timent or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cor				
11. Has any other action b	been taken (where applicable)?			Г	
12. Have therapeutic treat	tments or the actions taken had a s	ignificant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, where	conducted, carried out in cooperat	tion between participa	ating farms?	,	
	strategy for the site, where fewer po		_		
_	written lice management procedure			_	
and the second s	observed on stocks reflect sea lice	• •	_		
0					
Containment Inspection		4 : 41		- do-ti	
· ·	ed equipment damage due to preda				
	to mitigate against the predation ex	(perienced on site? (I	Detail below	Y) Y	
Pest Control					
If other, detail below:					
Have escape incidents	s or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the s	site since the	e last FHI inspection?	
•	ions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	•		o last i i ii iii spestioii i	
4. Have these been report	· · ·			_	
	ted to local DSFB forthwith (where	they exist)? (CoGP -	443754	17)	
•	ted to the SSPO and local fisheries	* ' '			
o. Have these seem report	ica to the Got G and local honeres	adoto foranima (who	ic they exis	4.4.57, 6.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any)	used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP -	ed was this action agreed with local - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	wild fish interests an	id was perm	ission given by Scottish	
, -	to prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (No	ot covered in	n code but could	
	atisfactory measures of the Act)		2. 00 TO/OU II	I I do Dat obaid	
	is satisfactory with regards to conta		detail reas	on(s)	•
10. 10 the one maperied a	o candidatory with regards to conta	minorit. Il lio, picase	actail reast	···(•)	

Case No:	2021-0143			Date of visit:	24/05/2021			
Site No:	FS1327	1		Inspector:				
				mopeotor.				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
	 							
	 							
		Ì						
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI CNI	31/05/2021							
	 							
	i							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 24/05/2021

SITE NO FS1327 SITE NAME Barcaldine Pre-smolt Unit

CASE NO 20210143 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 31/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0144			Date of visit: 25/05/2021			
Time spent on site:	2 hrs	Main Ins	spector:			
Site No: SS0452 Business No: SB0289	Site Name: Business Name:	Islay G. & J. Archibald				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 13.5	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

Records and physical inspection carried out by shadowed by

Mortalities between feb - march 2021 recorded during grading. The larger oysters had a mortality of 15-30%, mid size ranged from 5-10% and smaller ones were 20-30%. This is an expected range with the bulk of the mortalities coming from predation by green crabs.

Empty shells removed during grading are cleaned and crushed before being used to cover outdoor drains around the house.

Sea water brought in from loch by container and put through UV filtration system prior to use in depuration tanks. Waste water is then fed through to sludge silo which is then used as manure for terrestrial farm.

Empty shells noted in baskets but no tissue visible. Oysters appeared in good condition with medium seaweed fouling on the baskets and trestles.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issi	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0144		Site No:	SS0452				
Date of Visit:		25/05/202	1		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author								
Business/site deta	•	checked by	site represent	tative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		221	Facilities st	ocked	216	No facilitie	s inspected	216
Species	CGI	CGI	CGI	OED			T .	
Age group	2019	2018	2017	2017				
No Fish	1,000,000	300,000	200,000	100				
Mean Fish Wt	30-65g	50-110g	100-200g	unsure				
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Never fallov	V	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	July 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre cords availab olete and corre ates for introd to	le for dead fi ectly entered luctions (out t by (or on be	sh and waste ? with GB) avail ehalf) of the b	lable? ousiness (not us	_		11/09/2018	Y Y Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)			
If other detail:				d farm/garden				
3. Mortality records		correctly en						Y
4. Recent mortality (•			nal comments				
5. Evidence of recer		• •		,				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no st	ock per facilit	y/reason:				
6 Any other needs		vina naviad a	ha alcad?					N
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period c	пескеа?					N
If yes, detail:	upovploip od)	mortalities by	on ronanta d	to yet or EUI2				N/A
7. Have increased (unexplained)	nortailles be	en reported i	to vet of FHI?				IN/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality ev	onte' boon ro	ported to EU	12 If no onto	details on more	tality events al	aget		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	ents been re	ported to PH	r: ii no, enter	uetalis on mon	lanty events si	icel.		IN/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issi	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Treatments and Med	icines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							
If yes, detail:							
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							
5. If yes, what treatme	nt(s)?						
If other, detail:		•					

1. Recent deatherns (see comment):	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

11/09/2018 - 25/05/2021 Records checked between:

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0144 Site No:	SS045	2		
Date of Visit	25/05/2021 Inspector:			l	
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal excursion			2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	U
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	0
			Total		39
			Total Risk		39 HIGH

Case No:	2021-0144			Date of visit:	25/05/2021			
Site No:	SS0452	1		Inspector:		1		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp		Insp		Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type		Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	31/05/2021							
	1							
	1							
	1							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 SB0289
 DATE OF VISIT
 25/05/2021

 SITE No
 SS0452
 SITE NAME
 Islay

CASE NO 20210144 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 31/05/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/