PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes	
No	x

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

Advocacy services should be specified i.e. both advocacy services that support people on an individual basis and organisations such as PAMIS that support people with profound and multiple learning disabilities and their family carers on a collective basis.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

In regard to the stipulation that the person being cared for or the carer of a person living within a local authority:

Not all carers will live in the same local authority as the person being cared for. Some type of provision should be made to include and inform these carers

Can we clarify that this is addressed within the explanatory note i.e. last paragraph on page 1?

Also in reference to Third sector bodies and charities supporting users and their carers..... This needs to be clearer.

## MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

## **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?



2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

Again advocacy representation as in person to person and also in regard to collective organisational representation.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

Quorum mentions the need for 2/3rds of each of the health and the social care members to be present. There should be provision where non-voting members who were unable to attend are given the opportunity to have any decision they feel is detrimental to the people they support, recorded.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

Clarity as to third sector representative.

# ANNEX 3(D)

## ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### **Consultation Questions**

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?



2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

As before clarity around third sector representation

### PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

## **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

 The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?



2. If no, what changes would you propose?

However, it is not clear as to how many individual representatives will be invited attend from carer or user support groups. The potential is that one representative would be representing a wide range of users/carers with very diverse needs. Can this please be clarified and changed to enable appropriate representation from diverse carer groups.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

# ANNEX 5(D)



# PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

# **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?



2. If no, please explain why:


3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes	x
No	

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

There should be a complaints procedure that enables complaints to be made with action/inaction recorded and relevant outcomes reported. Any unresolved complaints should be recorded and considered before any sign off is made. Complaints must be dealt with appropriately with regular communication with the person(s) who made the complaint as to the status and process being taken. This should happen within an agreed time limit.

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?



6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

Yes to ensure accurate and consistent monitoring across all geographical areas.

A scoping exercise to establish the best performance recording method would be beneficial.

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

PAMIS welcomes the Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014 It is hoped that the high healthcare needs of the people with profound and multiple learning disabilities and their family carers that PAMIS supports, will benefit more from an integrated approach to their overall care and well being.