

## ANNEX 1(D)

---

### **PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

#### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes

No

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

Comprehensive at this stage

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

The definition used for 'carers of users of health and social care services' currently precludes those carers of individuals who do not access health or social care services but may do so in the future. For consistency purposes the definition as used in the consultation paper on carers legislation should be adopted.

There are also instances where services are provided from, or, in another local authority area which has been commissioned to meet the needs of more than one Local Authority. This issue should be reflected in section 6 of the regulations.

## ANNEX 2(D)

---

### MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

It will be important to ensure there is flexibility in terms of non - voting membership. It is considered that local partnerships require to review membership on an individual basis and, on the assumption that there is equality of membership from the respective LA and the NHS then this should be decided as per local circumstances.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

There are a number of statutory and professional roles that are currently represented on the CHP Committee structures it is unclear how these functions will be formally fulfilled with in the current regulations. Also from a clinical leadership perspective the role of the Nurse Director and Medical Director require consideration.

There should mention of a "Register of members interests established' inline with the requirements for current Health Board members.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

In respect of who should represent staff and other groups interests, it is considered important that numbers are not limited. For example, there will require to be more than one staff side representative and at the very least one for the LA and one from the NHS Board.

Also it will be important to ensure that service users and carers are supported to represent their constituent members. This can be challenging especially if there is more than one Local Authority area involved in preparation of the scheme – as is the case in Forth Valley.



## ANNEX 3(D)

---

### ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### Consultation Questions

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

N/A

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

N/A

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

N/A

**PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS  
ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND)  
ACT 2014**

**CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?

**Yes**

**No**

2. If no, what changes would you propose?

The membership described, although relevant, is extremely wide and constitutes more of a 'Reference Group'. Whilst involvement and engagement with all stakeholders is critical, there may be scope for a core joint planning group to prepare and work up the detail in terms of plans and costing.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

There are a number of groups already in existing linked into various statutory planning frameworks for example Community Planning Partnerships – Single Outcome Agreements, NHS Board Local Delivery Plans, Children's Planning (dates of inclusion up to age 21 if in LA care) etc.

There should be further discussion and clarification on how this new system will take account of existing statutory planning and monitoring frameworks.

**PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS  
RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING)  
(SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

**CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?

Yes

No

2. If no, please explain why:

	annual s and tween outline r and n the
--	---

3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes

No

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

	never
--	-------

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?

Yes

No

6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?