PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CO

SULTATION QUESTIONS
Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people? Yes No
If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?
Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?
In the prescribed consultees for revised Integration Schemes, the Board welcomes the provision that in addition to the prescribed standard consultees, the Integration Authority must also send the Strategic Plan to any other person it considers appropriate. This will allow involvement of staff and specialist functions.
In Health Board areas with more than one Integration Authority, it is likely that all Integration Authorities will be affected by the Strategic Plans of each Integrated Authority. Scottish Government may wish to confirm that Integration Authorities must send the Strategic Plan to affected Integration Authorities rather than this being an expectation of Scottish Government.

ANNEX 2(D)

MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?
Yes X
2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:
3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?
Clear guidance should be given on the timescales for retention of written proceedings and papers associated with the operation of the Integrated Joint Board and its associated groups.
The Board has already highlighted the absence of a reference to staff governance standards in its response to Set 1 of the draft Regulations and would repeat this concern here.
4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?
The Board welcomes the provision for local flexibility to appoint other non-voting members, as required, by the Integration Joint Board (IJB). This will allow membership to be tailored to the particular configuration of the IJB which would be difficult to prescribe at a national level. In addition, the Board welcomes the flexibility elsewhere in the Regulations for the Board to appoint voting members (other than non-Executives) to the IJB. Again, according to local circumstances, this can help ensure a strong clinical presence within the IJB, for instance, in the Shadow Integration Boards in Ayrshire we have appointed the Director of Public Health (North), Medical Director (East) and Nursing Director (South) as voting members.
The quorum requires at least two thirds of the voting members nominated by the Health Board, and at least two thirds of the voting members nominated by the Local Authority. This may prove challenging in practice. Consideration should be given to lowering this requirement.

It may be helpful to review the wording of paragraph 8(3) in relation to term

of office of members and clarify the intention behind it.

ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

Consultation Questions

1.	Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?
	Yes X No
2.	If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:
3.	Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?
4.	Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

ZINC	OCTATION QUESTIONS
1.	The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?
	Yes X No
2.	If no, what changes would you propose?
3.	Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?
	Whilst it is recognised that Section 32 (7) (8) (9) of the Act makes it a requirement for representatives of localities to be included in the Strategic Planning Group, it may be helpful to include this requirement within the draft Regulations to avoid potential confusion.
	The Board welcomes the flexibility for the Integration Authority to appoint other members as it feels appropriate. The Board also recognises that members of the Strategic Planning Group will require support in developing effective links to professional, locality, advisory and contractual groups. Close co-operation will also be required with services such as acute NHS services for strategic and locality planning to operate effectively.

ANNEX 5(D)



PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (S

C

SCOTLAND) ACT 2014		
ONSULTATION QUESTIONS		
1.	Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?	
	Yes X	
	No	
2.	If no, please explain why:	
3.	Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?	
	Yes No X	
4.	If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:	
5.	Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?	
	res	
	No L	

6.	If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?
	A standard reporting template should be developed to allow read-across comparisons year on year and between Integration Authorities. Detailed indicators to support the national health and wellbeing outcomes should be specified.
7.	Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?