# ANNEX 1(D)

### PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes	x
No	

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

Emphasising prescribed groups inclusive of Primary Care Contractors: GPs, Dentists, Pharmacists, and Ophthalmologists. Key stakeholders include Police Scotland and Employability Services, partnership arrangements effecting outcomes for the population, consider inclusion in groups to be consulted.

# ANNEX 2(D)

### MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes	x
No	

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

It is welcomed that a staff side representative is included; the Health Board has a long tradition of working in partnership with staff side organisations.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

The definition of a quorum in the draft schedule of matters to be included in standing orders is clearly designed to apply to a membership that is divisible by 3, this will not work in a case where a Council and Board agree a number that is not divisible by 3 such as 4. It would be helpful if the draft allowed for this e.g. by allowing for a quorum in such circumstances to be half or three quarters of the voting membership from the Council and the Board.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

Fully support only members who are appointed via the Public Appointments system have a vote.

### ANNEX 3(D)

### ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### **Consultation Questions**

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?

Yes	
No	

2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

No comment – Lothian is committed to the IJB model		

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

ACF Lothian does not support the proposal that deputies are allowed, and it is recommended that this provision be removed. It is essential that members have the required knowledge, skills, and experience of the members to drive forward the work of the Integration Joint Boards. The nomination and appointment process should ensure that the members have the required knowledge, skills, and experience: the use of deputies could undermine this principle.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

# ANNEX 4(D)

### PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

#### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?

Yes	
No	х

2. If no, what changes would you propose?

It is felt that there is a significant conflict of interest in having private providers of healthcare on the group where decisions on priorities for NHS services will be made.

The bulk of private healthcare is private elective care and IJBs will not have delegated functions relating to this.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

In general the list seems too long and will result in a very large group which may be unable to carry out its functions due to its size. In addition all partnership areas have existing community planning structures that engage many of the persons on the list. There is a danger of duplication and confusion.

# PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014



# **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?



- 2. If no, please explain why:
- 3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes	
No	x

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?



6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

No suggestions as to form, but it is recommended that the form should minimise the effort in collection and not require the collection of additional data.

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?