CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

The Carer's Assessment: Carer's Support Plan Question 1: Should we change the name of the carer's assessment to the Carer's Support Plan? X Yes □ No Comments: The term "Support Plan" is more empowering and has a better ring to it than "assessment". Assessment implies that a check is being made on how well carers are carrying out their role. Question 2: Should we remove the substantial and regular test so that all carers will be eligible for the Carer's Support Plan? □No X Yes Comments: Giving every carer the right to a Support Plan encourages support at as early a stage as possible and supports the early intervention and prevention approach. This may have resource implications but not necessarily financial resources – it could impact on staff capacity – either Third Sector or public sector depending on who is providing assistance with Support Planning where this is required. Question 3: Should we remove that part of the existing carer assessment process whereby the cared-for person is a person for whom the local authority must or may provide community care services/children's services? □ No Comments: See comments under 2 above Question 4: Should we introduce two routes through to the Carer's Support Plan – at the carer's request and by the local authority making an offer? ☐ Yes \bowtie No

Comments: Whilst carers should be able to initiate a Carers Support Plan the question implies there will only be two routes – either the local authority or the carer. Making carers aware of their right to a Carers Support Plan should be everyone's business.

Question 5: Should we remove from statute the wording about the carer's ability to provide care?		
⊠ Yes	□ No	
as though a carer has to go carers off and adds to the n It is however important to u – whether they themselves	ty" has a lot of different connotations – it could sound through some form of "test" and perhaps puts some number of "hidden" carers. The word is disempowering. Inderstand the <i>circumstances</i> in which a carer is coping have medical conditions which impact on their ability to pragmatic approach is required.	
	uce a duty for local authorities to inform the carer of the te to receive the Carer's Support Plan and if it exceeds reasons?	
⊠ Yes	□No	
Comments: If it is the local	authority which is taking responsibility for this.	
Question 7: How significant an issue is portability of assessment for service users and carers?		
are issues across not just S	s part of a much wider agenda than just carers. There Scotland but the UK when people move from one area oks to other responses – see Q25 - 27	
Question 8: Should the Scottish Government and COSLA with relevant interests work together to take forward improvements to the portability of assessment?		
⊠ Yes	□No	
circumstances needs to be for Dumfries and Galloway	assessments is agreed although consideration of all taken into account and there are particular challenges and the Scottish Borders where it is common for carers n England from where the cared for person lives in	
Information and Advice		
	uce a duty for local authorities to establish and maintain with information and advice relating to the Carer's carers and young carers?	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
a duty to "ensure appropria	qualified by saying that the local authority should have te information and advice services are available" as ht be more appropriately provided through contracting	

	with a Third Sector organisation for example.				
	It is also thought it would be useful to set out the minimum requirements for such services.				
Question 10: Should we repeal section 12 of the Community Care and Health (Scotland) Act 2002 about the submission of Carer information Strategies to Scottish Ministers, subject to reassurances, which are subject in turn to Spending Review decisions, about the continuation of funding to Health Boards for support to carers and young carers?					
	☑ Yes □ No				
	Comments: Carer Information Strategies will presumably be the responsibility of the Integrated Health and Social Care Joint Boards?				
S	Support to Carers (other than information and advice)				
	Question 11: Should we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers, linked to an eligibility framework?				
	☑ Yes □ No				
	Comments: The view is that eligibility frameworks are needed to establish duties to provide <i>financial</i> support to carers given the continued pressures on budgets. However eligibility frameworks should not come into play for lower level supports and signposting – cross reference Q 2				
	Question 12: Alternatively, should we retain the existing discretionary power to support carers and young carers?				
	☐ Yes ☐ No				
	Comments:				
C	Question 13: Should we introduce a duty to provide short breaks?				
	☐ Yes				
	Comments: Greater clarity is needed on the definition of a short break.				
	We know from support provided to carers through the Time to Live Fund that carers get a lot of benefit from taking a short break.				
	However, without substantial increases in funding it is felt the introduction of a "duty" would be unrealistic and impact hugely on social work budgets. At the very least this would need to be based on an eligibility framework but even then just taking the number of carers in D&G providing more than 50 hours per week – if				

each of these was given £250 towards a short break this would cost over £1m.

Question 14: Should we issue statutory guidance on the Carer's Support Plan which will include guidance for those undertaking the Carer's Support Plan on managing

Stages and Transitions

stages of caring? This would apply to adult carers only. (For young carers, practice guidance will be developed to support management of a Child's Plan through the stages of caring).		
⊠ Yes	□No	
Comments:		
Carer's Support Plan if they se	ers' legislation provide for young carers to have a eem likely to become an adult carer? Any agreed 's Support Plan would be put in place after the young It carer.	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Carer Involvement		
	carer involvement in the planning, shaping and ople they care for and support for carers in areas on?	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
Comments: However involvi to be supported to allow the	ng carers needs to take into account how carers need m to be involved.	
	e provision for the involvement of carers' organisations lelivery of services and support falling outwith the	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
Comments: This is essentia	I	
	blish a principle about carer and young carer for service users (subject to consent) and support for red in existing legislation?	
⊠ Yes	□No	

Comments: Although it is felt there could be conflicting legislation around some of this and careful consideration needs to be given to this therefore. It is essential that young carers are afforded all the protection they might need in carrying out their caring role.

Question 19: What are your views on making provision for young carer involvement in the planning, shaping and delivery of services for cared-for people and support for young carers?

Comments: Young carers have particular needs and issues and young carers are in the best position to make these known.

Planning and Delivery

purpose of these registers is.

,				
authority and each relevant He	duce statutory provision to the effect that a local ealth Board must collaborate and involve relevant e development of local carers strategies which must be devery three years?			
⊠ Yes	□No			
Comments:or, going forward the Integrated Joint Board				
authorities with Health Boards	duce statutory provision to the effect that local must take steps to ensure, in so far as is reasonably nge of services is available for meeting the needs for arers in the area?			
⊠ Yes	□ No			
Comments: Although these Health Board.	might not all be provided by either the local authority of			
Identification				
	e no legislative provision for GPs or local authorities to order to support the identification of carers?			
⊠ Yes	□ No			
Comments: Being "carer aw	are" is everybody's business.			
, ,	might be held by a variety of organisations, including nese are established or maintained or what the			

It is essential that carers are given the opportunity to agree or disagree with their name being held on such a register and it is also essential that registers are kept

up to date if they are	produced.	
	ne Scottish Government ensure that good practice is widely in Boards about the proactive use of Registers of Carers within	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
Comments: If it is felt	t these are still needed	
	he Scottish Government ask Health Boards to monitor re contractual elements of the GP contract?	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Carer and Cared-for F	Person(s) in Different Local Authority Areas	
Question 25: What are the views of respondents on the lead local authority for undertaking the Carer's Support Plan and agreeing support to the carer where the carer lives in a different local authority area to the cared-for person(s)? Comments: It is felt the lead authority for the Carer Support Plan should be the one where the carer resides rather than the one where the cared-for person resides. Bearing in mind that the point of Carer Support Plans is, in part, to provide		
early intervention and	d prevention and to help in signposting carers to relevant ght be able to provide support, knowledge of local services is	
	e the views of respondents on which local authority should port to the carer in these circumstances?	
the cared-for person different local authori	Il authority where the carer resides rather than the one where resides. Otherwise, if different eligibility criteria exist between ities this could lead to different responses to different carers in writy area and might cause confusion.	
Question 27: Should the local authorities?	he Scottish Government with COSLA produce guidance for	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
	ole area is fraught with difficulties – not just in relation to tuations as well such as "Ordinary Residency"	

For information, the list of organisations represented on the OPCG contributing to this response is given below:

Age Scotland, D&G Access Panel, D&G Over 50s Group, Dalbeattie Day Centre, Dumfries Day Centre, Langholm Day Centre, Loreburn Retirement Group, The Food Train, User and Carer Involvement, United Retired Members Association, University of the Third Age, Royal Voluntary Service

Other organisations are represented on OPCG but were unable to attend the meeting at which the consultation responses were agreed.