# CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Please identify the main area of interest yo	u identify with :
Nature Conservation	
Fisheries	
Industry/Transport	
Energy	
Aquaculture	
Recreation/tourism	
Academic/scientific	
Local authority	
Community group	
Public sector/Regulatory body	
Local Coastal Partnership	
Other (Please state)	
Comments	
Q1. Does the NMP appropriately guide maresources?  Comments  Q2. Does the NMP appropriately set out between marine planning and land use planning	the requirement for integration
Comments	
Q3. Does the NMP appropriately guide d planning? What, if any, further guidance planners in terms of implementation and how to comments	is required for regional marine
2.1	

Q4. The Marine Regional Boundaries Consultation proposed that in addition to regional marine planning, further integrated management of key marine areas would be achieved by designating the Pentland Firth; the Minches and the mouth of the Clyde as Strategic Sea Areas.
Should the NMP set out specific marine planning policies for Strategic Sea Areas?
Comments
Q5. Are the objectives and policies in the NMP appropriate to ensure they further the achievement of sustainable development, including protection and, where appropriate, enhancement of the health of the sea?
Comments
Q6. Chapter 3 sets out strategic objectives for the National Marine Plan and Chapters 6 – 16 sets out sector specific marine objectives.
Is this the best approach to setting economic, social and marine ecosystem objectives and objectives relating to the mitigation of and, adaptation to climate change?
Comments
Q7. Do you have any other comments on Chapters 1 – 3?
Comments

## **General Planning Policies**

Q8. Are the general policies in Chapter 4 appropriate to ensure an approach of sustainable development and use of the marine area? Are there alternative policies that you think should be included? Are the policies on integration with other planning systems appropriate? A draft circular on the integration with terrestrial planning has also been published - would further guidance be useful?

Comments		

Q9. Is the marine planning policy for landscape and seascape an appropriate approach?

Comments		
COMMENS		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

Q10. Are there alternative general policies that you think should be included in Chapter 4?

Comments

### **Guide to Sector Chapters**

Q11. Do you have any comments on Chapter 5?

Are there other sectors which you think should be covered by the National Marine Plan?

Comments

### **Sea Fisheries**

Q12. Do you have any comments on Sea Fisheries, Chapter 6?

Comments

Q13. Are there alternative planning policies that you think should be included in this Chapter?

Comments

#### **Aquaculture**

Q14. Does Chapter 7 appropriately set out the relationship between terrestrial and marine planning for Aquaculture? Are there any planning changes which might be included to optimise the future sustainable development of aquaculture?

Comments

#### Q15. Do you have any comments on Aquaculture, Chapter 7?

My comment relates specifically to the matter of Local Authority planning fees for mussel farms.

With regard to shellfish, the first 2 stated objectives of the draft National Marine Plan for Aquaculture are:

- Ensure an appropriate and proportionate regulatory framework within which the industry can achieve sustainable growth targets.
- Support the industry and other stakeholders to increase sustainable production

by 2020 of shellfish, especially mussels, to 13,000 tonnes (6525 t in 2012).

At present, the planning fees for a mussel farm are the same per unit area as they are for salmon farms, yet the income generated from that same area is at least 10 times greater for salmon. This element of the regulatory framework is not proportionate and should be amended.

The current cost of applying for planning permission for a mussel farm site is prohibitive and a major disincentive to the industry to increase production as proposed in the second objective above.

	Are there alternative planning policies that you think should be incesting the state of the should be incomed as the state of the state	luded
Com	ments	
Wild 9	Salmon and Migratory Fish	
Q17. 8?	Do you have any comments on Wild Salmon and Migratory Fish, C	hapteı
Com	ments	
	Are there alternative planning policies that you think should be inc s Chapter?	luded
Com	ments	
Oil &	<u>Gas</u>	
Q19.	Do you have any comments on Oil and Gas, Chapter 9?	
Com	ments	

# **Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS)**

in this Chapter?

Comments

Q21. Do you have any comments on Carbon Capture and Storage, Chapter 10?

Q20. Are there alternative planning policies that you think should be included

Comments	
Q22. Are there alternative plain this Chapter?	anning policies that you think should be included
Comments	
Offshore Renewable Energy	
Q23. Should the NMP incorp Plans?	orate spatial information for Sectoral Marine
Comments	
Q24. Do you have any comm	ents on Offshore Renewable Energy, Chapter
Comments	
Q25. Are there alternative plain this Chapter?	anning policies that you think should be included
Comments	
Recreation and Tourism	
Q26. Do you have any comm	ents on Recreation and Tourism, Chapter 12?
Comments	
Q27. Are there alternative plain this Chapter?	anning policies that you think should be included
Comments	
Transport (Shipping, Ports, H	arbours & Ferries)
	ically designate national significant n Chapter 13: Marine Planning Policy Transport
Comments	
Q29. Do you have any comm	ents on Transport, Chapter 13?
Comments	

Con	nments
Telec	communication Cables
Q31.	Do you have any comments on telecommunications, Chapter 14?
Con	nments
	Are there alternative planning policies that you think should be incluis Chapter?
Con	nments
<u>Defe</u>	<u>nce</u>
<b>Q33.</b>	Do you have any comments on Defence, Chapter 15?
Con	nments
	Are there alternative planning policies that you think should be incluis Chapter?
Con	nments
•	
	regates
Aggr	Do you have any comments on Aggregates, Chapter 16?
<u>Aggr</u> Q35.	
Aggr Q35. Com	Do you have any comments on Aggregates, Chapter 16?