appropriate. (Tick one only) **Executive Agencies and NDPBs** Local authority Other statutory organisation Registered Social Landlord X Representative body for private sector organisations Representative body for third sector/equality organisations Representative body for community organisations Representative body for professionals Private sector organisation Third sector/equality organisation **Community group** Academic Individual

Other – please state...

4. Please indicate which category best describes your organisation, if

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Question 1: Do you have experience, or know of, social landlords acting as 'pioneers' in addressing energy efficiency?
Yes No X
Question 1(a): If 'yes', please provide details, including any web links/contact details you may have.
Comments
Question 2: For landlords, what is the greatest cause of SHQS exemptions in your stock? Is there anything that the Scottish Government could do to assist in reducing exemptions?
Comments
Question 3: What has been your experience in improving properties in mixed tenure estates?
Comments
Question 3(a): If you have developed solutions to work with owners and/or private sector tenants, please provide details.
Comments
Question 4: The Energy Efficiency Standard for Social Housing will directly affect a diverse group of social sector tenants who have individual needs and experiences. In your view, is improving the energy efficiency of social rented housing a priority for tenants?
Yes X No
Comments
Question 4(a): If 'yes', are the suggested 'potential benefits' broadly the right ones? Are there any others you would suggest?
Comments Help reduce fuel bills, provide affordable warmth and support 'homes for life'.
Question 4(b): <u>If no</u> , why is this? How would you suggest we increase tenant awareness of the importance of energy efficiency?
Comments

measures you consider appropriate to minimise risk. Comments Question 6: Do you think the implementation of the Standard will cause an undue financial burden on any particular equality group? If so, we would welcome your views on what action could be taken to minimise that burden. Comments Question 7: What else would you suggest to help tenants better manage their energy consumption? Comments Question 8: Do you think that example case studies will be helpful or unhelpful in taking forward the Standard? Helpful Unhelpful Comments If you think they are helpful: Question 8 (a): Are these the right range of dwelling types to be represented as case studies? Yes \quad No \quad \quad Comments Question 8 (b): Are there any other types (including hard to treat) that you would like to be included as a case study? Yes \quad No \quad \quad Question 8 (c): If yes please state type and say why you think they should be included? Comments Question 9: What are your views on using the SAP/RdSAP methodology for regulating energy performance in the social rented sector? Comments Question 10: Do the 'Baseline: 1990 Measures' accurately reflect the energy efficiency performance of dwellings at that time? Yes ☐ No ☐

Question 5: Do you consider any particular equality groups will be at significant risk as a result of this new policy? If so, please outline what

If not, please provide details.
Comments
Question 11: Are the suggested improvements in the 'Further Measures' and 'Advanced Measures' columns of the case studies realistic and feasible?
Yes No No
Comments
Question 11 (a): Please provide further explanation of any measures that you think should <u>not</u> be included within the modelled case studies.
Comments
Question 11 (b): Please provide further explanation of any measures not currently included in the case study modelling that you would like to see included?
Comments
Question 12: Taking into account the factors outlined in paragraphs 6.5 and 6.6 of the consultation document, do you agree that establishing a minimum Environmental Impact rating for the main dwelling types is the most practicable format for the standard?
Yes No No
If not, please explain why.
Comments
Question 13: If you think that the standard should be a minimum Environmental Impact rating, do you think that there should also be a safeguard that the dwelling's <i>current</i> Energy Efficiency rating should not reduce?
Yes x No
Comments
Question 14: In assessing your stock against the proposal for a new standard for social housing, do you foresee any significant challenges in obtaining individual property details across your stock?
Yes No No
<u>If yes</u> , please explain why.

Comments
Question 15: Do you think that the ratings at paragraph 6.7 of the consultation document are suitably challenging? If not, please give explanations why not and suggest more suitable ratings.
Yes No No
Comments
Question 16: Do you think the suggested energy efficiency rating for electrically heated detached homes and bungalows undermines the SHQS? Please explain your choice.
Yes No No
Comments
Question 17: What are your views on whether <u>all</u> social rented dwellings should be heated by gas, electricity or renewable heat sources by 2030? CommentsEnergy efficiency not lower emissions should be at the core of providing a sustainable home which will meet the needs of tenants across their lifetime. Renewable fuel alone will not be able to deliver the demands placed upon it by consumers and we believe that social housing providers should not be too quick to discount natural gas as an efficient source of fuel for heating and hot water
Question 18: Do you think that either of the options set aside ('Establish a set of measures that all homes would be required to meet' OR 'Set a minimum percentage reduction in emissions for each of the different dwelling types') should be reconsidered?
Yes No No
If yes, please explain which option you prefer and why.
Comments
Question 19: Do you agree that the standard should apply to all individual homes and not be aggregated across a landlord's stock? Is this practicable?
Comments

Question 20: Paragraph 6.14 in the consultation document suggests a way of dealing with those more unusual properties that are harder or more expensive to treat. The approach is to use the 1990 base assumptions to record a baseline for each individual dwelling and then to calculate a set percentage reduction to identify a required improvement. Do you agree that this approach

standard to these dwellings?
Yes No No
Comments
Question 20(a): Do you agree that the percentage reduction for unusual dwellings should correspond to Climate Change targets and be set at 42%?
Yes No No
If not, at what level do you think the reduction for unusual dwelling should be set that will be achievable but provide a meaningful contribution to the improved energy efficiency of social rented housing?
Comments
Question 21: Do you think that there should be exceptions to the proposed energy efficiency standard? <u>If so</u> , how should they be treated?
Yes No No
Comments
Question 22: Are there any other relevant sources of funding that can help social landlords improve the energy efficiency of their stock?
Comments
Question 23: Given the range of financial assistance available to landlords, you agree that the standard can be achieved without disproportionate cost? not, please explain why.
Yes No No
Comments
Question 24: We see an opportunity to advance gender equality in the creation of jobs to undertake the retrofitting works in industries that have traditionally been male-dominated. Your views on how we can maximise gender equality in job creation would be welcome.
Comments
Question 25: Are there any other data sources you could suggest to monitor the proposed energy efficiency standard?
Comments

monitoring the proposed standard both in the interim period and longer-term or would you prefer an alternative body to carry out this role? <u>If so</u> , who and how?	
Yes No No	
Comments	
Question 27: Are there any other costs associated with monitoring landlords' progress towards the energy efficiency standard?	,
Yes No No	
Comments	
Question 28: Should there be regular milestones to measure progress toward 2050? If so, what dates would you suggest?	S
Yes No No	
Comments	
Question 29: Do you agree that setting the longer-term milestones should be deferred until progress towards 2020 can be reviewed?	
Yes No No	
Comments	
Question 30: Do you consider there to be any further opportunities within the Energy Efficiency Standard for Social Housing to promote equality issues. If so, please outline what action you would like us to take.	;
Comments	

Question 26: Would you welcome the Scottish Housing Regulator (SHR)

Developing and Energy Efficiency Standard in Social Housing

House builders are facing ever increasing challenges to incorporate renewable technologies into the design and build of new homes. Housing Associations using government and public bodies to fund some of this are forced to tackle these targets head on. In addition to new build they also manage a huge housing stock across Scotland and are at the fore front of delivering real energy efficiency within their properties.

The Energy Utilities Alliance, EUA believes that changes within social housing can deliver multi layered benefits; lower energy consumption, reduced fuel bills and lower carbon emissions.

However we are concerned that the drive to achieve low and zero carbon will come at a price. Energy efficiency not lower emissions should be at the core of providing a sustainable home which will meet the needs of tenants across their lifetime. Renewable fuel alone will not be able to deliver the demands placed upon it by consumers and we believe that social housing providers should not be too quick to discount natural gas as an efficient source of fuel for heating and hot water.

For new build properties energy efficiency should be built into the design with renewable technologies specified, procured and installed where appropriate and if these technologies are to reduce carbon, fuel bills and management and maintenance costs the housing association staff and their tenants must also receive the relevant education and support needed as the technology demands may require changes consumer behaviour.

For existing properties housing associations face the conflict between rent caps and the ability to invest in energy efficiency measures. The introduction of feed in tariffs and the renewable heat incentive will go some way to making investment in existing stock more economically viable but other measures like the continuation with the boiler scrappage scheme and reducing VAT on installing new energy efficient boilers would allow associations to achieve more with restricted resources.