CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

POSSIBLE FEES OPTIONS FOR SAFEGUARDERS

Question 1: Do you agree that the current fee scheme should be abolished and replaced?					
Yes ⊠ No □					
Comments There is an unfair inconsistency that exists across Scotland and if there is to be one panel then there should be one set of fees.					
Question 2: Which of the fees options (1 - 4) would you support?					
Option 1 Option 2 (IN PART)Option 3 Option 4					
Comments This option gives a standard set of fees and takes into account the various components and complexities of the work being done.					
However as a whole, it is still too complicated and unwieldy, making it open to confusion.					

Question 3: Do you have a suggestion as to alternative method of fees payments? If so, please provide details.

Children's Hearings Appointment Fees (The amounts given are purely for i Appointment Fee for and individual child Additional Fee for additional children living together (This would be one set fee, despite number of children) Additional Fee for Additional child living separately Fee for continued Hearings Attendance Fees Per Hearing, irrespective of number of children (or their living arrangements)	llustrative purposes) £400 £100 £200 £200 £200					
Sheriff Courts Appointment Fee Additional children living together (This would be one set fee, despite number of children) Additional Fee for Additional child living separately Fee for continued Hearings	£400 £100 £200 £200					
Attendance Fee Per Court Diet, irrespective of number of children (or their living arrangements)	£200					
In exceptional circumstances, I feel that there should be the discretionary ability to reimburse for specific expenditure/time spent over and above the statutory fees. I.e. If a Safeguarder has to travel an inordinately long distance to interview relevant persons/witness etc which would incur a great deal more time spent than in the usual circumstances.						
over and above the statutory fees. I.e. If a Safeguard inordinately long distance to interview relevant publich would incur a great deal more time spent circumstances.	der has to travel an ersons/witness etc than in the usual					
over and above the statutory fees. I.e. If a Safeguar inordinately long distance to interview relevant p which would incur a great deal more time spent circumstances. EXPENSES AND ALLOWANCES FOR SAFEGUARDE	der has to travel an ersons/witness etc than in the usual					
over and above the statutory fees. I.e. If a Safeguard inordinately long distance to interview relevant publich would incur a great deal more time spent circumstances.	der has to travel an ersons/witness etc than in the usual					
over and above the statutory fees. I.e. If a Safeguard inordinately long distance to interview relevant purply which would incur a great deal more time spent circumstances. EXPENSES AND ALLOWANCES FOR SAFEGUARDE Question 4: Do you accept the proposal to apply Scottist	der has to travel an ersons/witness etc than in the usual					

Question 5: Are there other expenses or allowances you would like to be added to the proposed list?

Comments

In exceptional circumstances, I feel that there should be the discretionary ability to reimburse for specific expenditure/time spent over and above the statutory fees. I.e. If a Safeguarder has to travel an inordinately long distance to interview relevant persons/witness etc which would incur a great deal more time spent than in the usual circumstances.

i.e. Travel to Norwich and back, due to weather conditions took 48 hours

Question 6: If you support an alternative set of expenses and allowances, please provide detail.

Comments			