

Consultation Questions

Question 1

Should a licence be needed to sell non-domestic knives?

Combat Knives - Yes  
Hunting Knives - No

Question 2

What types of knife should be covered by a definition of a non-domestic knife?

Bowie Blades. Hunting Swords Fixed Knives.  
and what I allow to tea law passed in  
1967 banning all blades above 6" ?

Question 3

What conditions should be imposed on someone seeking a licence to sell non-domestic knives?

No - own up on 18 yrs old. Proof Required.  
Name of address Required.

Question 4

What would be the costs for businesses of licensing the sale of knives?

tea Price of A Register

Question 5

Should it be a criminal offence to purchase a (non-domestic) knife from an unlicensed seller?

Yes

Question 6

Should shops require a licence to sell swords?

Revolutions - Yes

Question 7

Should the sale of swords by shops be restricted to require them to sell only to members of approved organisations?

No

Question 8

Should the sale of all swords be banned?

No

TKCO78

**Question 9**

If so, should there be any groups who are exempted from that ban (i.e. should there be any reasons/uses for which it remains legitimate to sell swords)?

Yes

**Question 10**

Should the sale of only samurai swords be banned?

No      Refer Question - Yes

**Question 11**

If so, should any groups of people be exempt from that ban (i.e. should there be any reasons/uses for which it remains legitimate to sell samurai swords)?

Yes

**Question 12**

How should a samurai sword be defined?

See ATT SUGGEST

**Question 13**

Is there a case for individual licences for the purchase of swords?

No

Q1 Virtually Impossible - A Filleting Knife can be used in the kitchen, the field or a back street! and many of today's knives are multi-purpose.

Q2 A Bowie Styled Blade, a Double Edged Blade, a Heavy Serrated Blade, a Blade with any other attachment. ie a knife just as a \* Blade above 6" in length.

\* Such a law was passed in 1967, why was it not enforced? what happened to it?

Q3A No - The "Cooling Off" period, was tried by the Police - before Firearms Det's - on Firearms & Shotgun Cert applications.

Didn't work then, won't now!

Wasn't it a JP that passed Thomas Hamilton's application? and members of Parliament have far too much to do, to actually know the applicant, or so they tell us.

CETV is not required for Firearms! or, have we another agenda here?

Q3B Common Sense - The Police should know their rates.

The Retailer - is a cheat; any questionable dealers

The Police and Council should close down!

Q4 The Price of a Register; and that should be all!

Q5 YES

Q6 Genuine Swords - No. Reproductions - Ban!.

Q7 No. WHO GRANTS THE APPROVAL? AND AT WHAT EXTRA COST?

Q8 No. Repro: YES.

Q9 If such a ban was enforced, you would drive the sales underground.

REMEMBER - BY RIGHTS, THERE SHOULD BE NO SCOTTISH BASKET-KITING (BROAD) OR BACK SWORDS, OR EVEN A CHAIÑHEAMH MÓR in Scotland. IT WAS THE DEATH PENALTY TO POSSESS ONE, YET HUNDREDS DID JUST THAT, AND ENFORCED THE LAW. DO YOU THINK THE PEOPLE OF TODAY ARE ANY LESS DETERMINED?

Q10 No!

Q11 Yes

Q12 AS ANSWERED IN FULL IN OTHER QUESTIONNAIRE.

Q13 No!

I would ask you to remember, today's generation  
essential every thing, mine had capital punishment,  
the bike, not many come back for a second dealing  
the riot act, and 12 judges rights: altogether  
enough for most situations; a few laws on gun,  
knife or sword control will not return us to these  
more Russian comfortable days!

Let me draw you a picture, I go to draw my old  
ACR pension, on the way home I am stopped by 3  
youths who attempt to remove my pension illegally;  
at 5'11" and 14 stone, I was 2 of them, and then  
other runs away. They report me to the police,  
for excessive violence; when I am charged they  
sue me for damages, and take my pension legally!

You seem to think only young people every knives,  
many other people also do, and if you were not  
taught why, I will tell you :-

I is far better to be tried by twelve, than  
to be carried by six !!!

## QUESTION 12

①

In my far from humble opinion, you have the wrong question.

A SAMURAI WAS A WARRIOR - NOT A SWORD.

He usually carried two swords - a \*KATANA and a WAKIZASHI, known as a DAISHŌ, (LONG SWORD / SHORT SWORD). \* OR A VARIATION COULD A TACHI. HOWEVER, HE COULD ALSO CARRY ANY OF THE FOLLOWING -

TSURUGI OR IRIKI, NODACHI, TANTŌ, KWAIKEN, AIKUCHI, HAMIZASHI, MATSUKASHI or YOROI-TŌSHI. THESE ARE ALL SWORDS OR DAGGERS - NOT POLE-ARMS. FOR THE PURPOSE OF LEGISLATION, I WOULD SUGGEST YOU STICK TO KATANA or WAKIZASHI.

The Japanese define both as follows - a curved, ridged, single-edged blade, almost entirely a cutting weapon. The KATANA OVER 2 SHAKU in length, The WAKIZASHI BETWEEN 1-2 SHAKU.

A SHAKU EQUALS 11.93".

All of the pole I know who possess such swords, genuine that is, treat them with the greatest

Rusket, and ARE NOT LIABLE TO POP OUT ANY ②  
DIS-MEMBER NEXT DOOR'S CAT WITH ONE!

I would suggest that Genuine Japanese Swords: continue  
to be bought and sold without legislation, BUT that  
ALL Reproductions be BANNED!

For those who say they cannot afford a Genuine Sword,  
I would say - SAVE-UP; IT would make the average  
Purchaser FAR SWARTER; and WOULD REALLY ELLICIT SECOND  
BRITS?

One other problem, is the 100 year antique rule is  
allright - what happens to some excellent work of the  
EARLY 20<sup>th</sup> CENTURY?

I myself have a KATANA by HIRONITSU, a teacher  
of the NATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL 1928, this would  
NOT be an antique, NOR YET a reproduction!

I would further suggest, any legislation should  
be very carefully considered, by people who  
ARE knowledgeable on both the law of  
on the subject of Japanese Swords, and the  
which seems to be within the grasp of today's

Politicians!

(3)

I is my belief THAT THE SUBJECT OF THE  
BANNING OF SWORDS, STEMS NOT FROM ANY GREAT  
CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, BUT FROM A STATEMENT MADE  
IN SEPTEMBER 2004 BY JACK McCONNELL, IN WHICH  
HE ANNOUNCED, " I CANNOT SEE ANY REASON WHY ANY  
NORMAL PERSON WOULD WANT TO KEEP A SWORD IN THEIR  
HOME"!

THIS MEANS BY INFERENCE THAT ANYONE WHO DOES, IS  
EITHER ABNORMAL OR SUB-NORMAL!

I TAKE EXCEPTION TO THAT, AND WOULD REPLY -  
I CAN FULLY UNDERSTAND WHY JACK McCONNELL DOES  
NOT LIKE SWORDS.

FOR MANY, MANY YEARS THE POSSESSION OF A SWORD, WAS  
THE MARK OF A GENTLEMAN!