

Consultation Questions

PROPOSAL 1

National Qualifications at Access, Higher and Advanced Higher will be retained as points of stability.

Highers, in particular, will remain the 'gold standard' of the Scottish education system. The content of all National Qualifications will be updated to reflect the values, purposes and principles of *Curriculum for Excellence*. Some aspects of the structure of these qualifications will also be reviewed.

Question 1

Do you welcome the intention to update all qualifications at Access, Higher and Advanced Higher in line with *Curriculum for Excellence*? Please comment on any implications to be considered.

Yes

No

Comment:

MAJOR INFORMATION DRIVE REQUIRED FOR END USERS -
EMPLOYERS, UNIVERSITIES, PARENTS, PUALS
FINANCE FOR UPDATING & CREATING COURSES.

PROPOSAL 2

A new qualification will replace the present Standard Grade General and Credit levels and Intermediate 1 and 2 (SCQF 4 and SCQF 5) qualifications. The new qualification will be available in a wide range of subjects, as at present. The new qualification will reflect the best features of Standard Grade and intermediate. Standard Grade Foundation level will be removed and Access 3 will provide certification at SCQF level 3. (See Annex A Table 1 for illustration of new qualifications on SCQF framework).

Question 2

Early consultation has identified the 'best' features of Standard Grade and Intermediate qualifications as:

- The 'inclusive' approach to certification contained in Standard Grade; and
- The 'unit based' structure of Intermediate qualifications

Are there **any other** features in the present Standard Grade and Intermediate qualifications which should be included in the new qualification at SCQF levels 4 and 5?

Comment:

Question 3

One of the proposals is to grade units. Do you agree that units should be graded A – C rather than pass/fail?

Yes

No

Explanation:

GIVE PUPILS CREDIT FOR HAVING WORKED HARD THROUGHOUT YEAR.

Question 4

Do you want graded units to count towards the final award?

Yes

No

Explanation:

GIVE CREDIT TO PUPILS FOR WORKING HARD THROUGHOUT YEAR

Question 5

Which option for introducing compensatory arrangements would you most support?

Option A – Extend the range of grading in course awards to grade E.

Option B – Recognise unit passes only.

Option C – Compensatory award at the level of the course studied with no grade awarded.

Option D – Compensatory grade C award at the level of course below that studied.

Option E – Compensatory grade A award at the level of course below that studied.

Explanation / other suggestion:

MAKE PUPILS WORK HARDER - THERE IS NO FALL BACK POSITION

Question 6

The proposed name for the new award is General (SCQF 4) and Advanced General (SCQF 5). Please indicate if you are content with this suggestion. If not please offer an alternative and explain your choice.

Yes

No

Explanation / other suggestion:

GENERAL = YES

ADVANCED GENERAL = NO - MAKE IT CREDIT, WHY COMPOSE MATTERS MORE WITH EMPLOYERS, PARENTS & END USERS. OTHER OPTION IS TO CALL IT "LOWLIES"

PROPOSAL 3

New awards in literacy and in numeracy will be available at SCQF levels 3 to 5. *Curriculum for Excellence* brings a sustained focus on developing literacy and numeracy skills in our young people. To help strengthen this focus, the Scottish Government is proposing new separate awards to accredit young people's literacy and numeracy skills – the Scottish Certificate for Literacy and the Scottish Certificate for Numeracy. The awards will be available at SCQF levels 3 to 5. The expectation is that all young people will be presented for these awards unless there are exceptional reasons for not doing so. The intention is also to ensure that the structure of these awards is flexible enough to make them available to adult learners.

Question 7

Do you agree with the proposal to offer literacy and numeracy awards at a range of SCQF levels (3 to 5)? If not please offer an alternative.

Yes

No

Explanation / suggestion:

WHY THE DUPLICATION - IF PUPILS ALREADY DO ENGLISH & MATHS WHY DO YOU NEED THE DUPLICATION OF CERTIFICATION.

Question 8

National Qualifications at Access 3 (SCQF level 3) do not have an external examination. Do you agree that any new awards in literacy and numeracy at SCQF level 3 should have an external examination?

Yes

No

Explanation:

SCRIBING & SUPPORT FOR LEARNING RAMIFICATIONS
PUPILS HAVE ENOUGH PROBLEMS AT THIS LEVEL WHY ADD TO
THEIR PROBLEMS / PRESSURE

Question 9 IF WE HAD TO GO WITH THIS THEN

Should the weighting between the internal and external assessments for the literacy and numeracy awards be equal? If not should more weight be attached to the internal or external assessment? Please explain.

Option A – Equal weight.

Option B – More weight to internal assessment.

Option C – More weight to external assessment.

Explanation:

THIS WOULD PROMOTE NATIONAL STANDARDS

Question 10

When should young people be assessed for literacy and numeracy awards?

Please select one option.

Option A – At the end of S3 as part of the summer diet of examinations

Option B – In the December of S4 as part of a winter diet of examinations.

Option C – At the end of S4 as part of the summer diet of examinations.

Explanation:

EVERY THING IS DONE AT THE SAME TIME. EXAM PAPERS FOR EACH SUBJECT COULD BE CONSTRUCTED TO SHOW NUMERACY & LITERACY SKILLS ~~ON~~ IN THE PAPER.

PROPOSAL 4

Increased flexibility to better meet the needs of young people. Suggestions include:

- studying National Qualifications over 18 months (or 2 years) as well as one year;
- introducing a winter diet of examinations; and
- encouraging the most able young people to bypass lower level qualifications and to study Highers from S4 onwards.

Question 11

Do you agree with the proposal to allow the study of Highers and Advanced Highers over 12 months, 18 months and 2 years?

Yes

No

Explanation:

GOLD STANDARD SHOULD BE LIMITED TO 1 YEAR ANYTHING MORE WATERED DOWN THIS CONCEPT.

Question 12

Do you agree with the proposal to introduce a winter diet of examinations?

Yes

No

Explanation:

COSTLY, DISRUPTIVE & AN ADMINISTRATION HEADACHE.

Question 13

IF WE HAD TO

If you agree with the proposal to introduce a winter diet of examinations, what subjects and levels of qualifications might first be offered?

Suggestions:

~~THE~~ CORE SUBJECTS - MATHS, ENGLISH AT
GENERAL LEVEL.

Question 14

Would you agree with changes to the system which allowed the most able students to bypass qualifications at lower levels and begin study for Highers from S4 onwards?

Yes

No

Explanation:

WHY SLOW THEM DOWN AND BORE THEM TO DEATH / DE
MOTIVATE THEM

Question 15

Do you have any other ideas for increasing flexibility within the senior phase (S4 to S6)?

Suggestions:

TIMELINE

Question 16

It is intended that planning for the new curriculum should commence in 2008/09, with approaches based on the new curriculum introduced from school year 2009/10. This suggests that the new and revised qualifications and any increased flexibilities would be required from 2012/13 onwards to ensure smooth progression between the curriculum and qualifications. Is this indicative timeline realistic? Please comment on any implications to be considered.

Yes

No

Comment:

NOT A REALISTIC TIMELINE — TOO MUCH, TOO SOON
WITH PRACTICALLY NO DETAIL OTHER THAN A GENERAL
IDEA AS TO WHAT IS HAPPENING. NOT EVEN A SKELETON

ANY OTHER COMMENTS

Yes

No

Comment:

PRODUCT DESIGN IN NO WAY CORRELATES WITH 'S' GRADE CRAFT & DESIGN, THERE ISN'T EVEN AN INT 1 PRODUCT DESIGN. HOW DO WE PROCEED WHEN WE DO NOT EVEN HAVE A BASIC PLATFORM TO START FROM.

Thank you.

Please remember to cut and paste your answers onto the Scottish Government website

and

return this to

Gary Johnstone
Quality Improvement Manager
Educational Services
Cunninghame House
IRVINE
KA12 8EE