

28

Housing and Regeneration Directorate
Social Housing Division
1H(S)
Victoria Quay, Edinburgh EH6 6QQ
www.scotland.gov.uk

RECEIVED 13 JAN 2008



T: 0131-244 7753 F: 0131-244 5596
E: housingconsultation2007@scotland.gsi.gov.uk

*R. Sutherland
Secretary*

RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

FIRM FOUNDATIONS: THE FUTURE OF HOUSING IN SCOTLAND

Please complete the details below and return it with your response to the above address. This will help ensure we handle your response appropriately. Thank you for your help.

Name: CAMHENDAR PARK TENANTS & RESIDENTS
ASSOC & SOCIAL CLUB

Postal Address: Community Room

LEISHMAN TOWER, SEATON PLACE, FALKIRK

1. Are you responding: (please tick one box)

(a) as an individual go to Q2a/b and then Q4

(b) on behalf of a group/organisation go to Q3 and then Q4

FK117R

INDIVIDUALS

2a. Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government website)?

Yes (go to 2b below)

No, not at all We will treat your response as confidential

2b. Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your response available to the public on the following basis (please tick one of the following boxes)

Yes, make my response, name and address all available

Yes, make my response available, but not my name or address

Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address

ON BEHALF OF GROUPS OR ORGANISATIONS:

3. The name and address of your organisation **will be** made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Government website). Are you also content for your **response** to be made available?

Yes No We will treat your response as confidential

SHARING RESPONSES/FUTURE ENGAGEMENT

4. We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for the Scottish Government to contact you again in the future in relation to this consultation response?

Yes No

QUESTIONNAIRE

FIRM FOUNDATIONS: THE FUTURE OF HOUSING IN SCOTLAND

Should you have any comments on any other aspects of this consultation document, or require additional space for your responses, please return this to us on a separate piece of paper with your name and organisation at the top.

Questions	Your responses
<p>Question 1: Do you agree that aiming to increase the rate of new housing supply in Scotland to at least 35,000 a year by the middle of the next decade is a sensible and realistic ambition, and that this will help set a necessary political context for acceleration in housing supply?</p>	<p>YES</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree that, to give practical effect to the ambition, local authorities should co-operate regionally in setting realistic housing targets for housing market areas, and in enabling the delivery of these targets? If so, what arrangements should be put in place to support and provide incentives for such co-operation between relevant local authorities?</p>	<p>RE-ESTABLISH THE 5 YEAR (FIVE) ROLLING PROGRAMME TO BE REVIEWED ANNUALLY [AS WAS THE SYSTEM IN FALKIRK DISTRICT COUNCIL IN THE EARLY 1980'S]</p>
<p>Question 3: Is there a role for a specialist national function to provide expert support for local authorities in strategic planning for housing? What expertise do you think this function would require?</p>	<p>NO. THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES SHOULD BE AWARE OF THEIR OWN NEED AND ASPIRATIONS</p>
<p>Question 4: Even when land has planning permission there are still blockages that prevent new housing being built. What additional arrangements would, or could, accelerate development on land with planning permissions to help ensure that future housing supply targets are met?</p>	<p>COMPULSARY PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS. WITH A NATIONAL REVIEW OF PLANNING.</p>
<p>Question 5: We have proposed that much expanded or new, stand-alone settlements may be a valid solution. How should we best encourage the development of new, sustainable communities that are sympathetic to Scotland's landscape and environment?</p>	<p>CONSULTATIONS AND PARTICIPATION AND WITH COMMUNITY LEISURE FACILITIES.</p>
<p>Question 6: How should different types of assistance within the LIFT be targeted?</p>	<p>REFER THIS MATTER TO LOCAL AUTHORITIES WHO SHOULD BE AWARE OF NEEDS</p>

Questions	Your responses
Question 25: What sorts of protections should be offered to tenants in these circumstances?	AS PER LEASE AGREEMENT
Question 26: Do you think that the Scottish Government should vary Right to Buy discounts by (a) locality and/or (b) type of property?	AT DISCRETION OF LOCAL AUTHORITY
Question 27: Do you agree that ALMOs can provide a satisfactory alternative to stock transfers?	YES.
Question 28: Do you think that additional help from Government to enable landlords to meet the SHQS should be linked to improvements in a landlord's performance?	YES.
Question 29: If so, what measures do you think would be beneficial? If not, why not?	SEE Q2 OUR ANSWERS
Question 30: Do you agree that we need to find new ways of focussing on the quality of place/open space and greenspace within <u>deprived</u> neighbourhoods?	YES
Question 31: Do you have suggestions for approaches that are not resource intensive and that include stakeholders?	NEED CONTINUAL CONSULTATION WITH CRITERIA OF NEEDS OF THE PARAMOUNT
Question 32: Do you agree that the lead role (and recipient of any resources) to undertake this work should be open to a range of stakeholders?	YES - AS ABOVE
Question 33: Do you agree with the features and principles we have set out here for a modernised regulation framework?	SEE Q.2
Question 34: How would you like social housing regulation to be organised? (For example, should it be a separate organisation or part of a group of other regulators?)	WITH LOCAL GOV/AUTHORITY & PRIVATE SECTOR WITH CONSULTATION WITH PEOPLE INVOLVED

alternative

Questions	Your responses
Question 7: How could the Government stimulate more innovative mortgage and related products and services to assist people in purchasing their first home?	NEGOTIATE & DISCUSSION BETWEEN SOU/LOCAL AUTHORITIES & COSLA.
Question 8: Should the Government provide direct cash grants to first-time buyers?	REFER TO Q. 7 (ABOVE)
Question 9: How can the private house-building sector play a bigger role in providing, without public subsidy, increased provision of affordable starter homes?	INTRODUCE <u>NEW</u> TECHNICAL METHOD AND BUILDING MATERIALS
Question 10: What issues do you consider should be taken into account when considering the increased use of private sector lets to house low-income and homeless households?	<u>INCREASED</u> FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO LOCAL AUTHORITIES WHO PRESENTLY "CARRY THE CAN"
Question 11: How should we ensure an appropriate balance between safeguarding tenants' rights and encouraging the private rented sector to achieve its full potential in Scotland's overall housing market?	COLLABORATION & CONSULTATION WITHIN THESE PRIVATE APPROPRIATE BODIES SAID
Question 12: Do you think there is sufficient engagement between the public sector and private landlords? If not, what else should national and local government be doing?	see above
Question 13: What other options should we consider for increasing the supply of private rented housing for low income and homeless households?	CONSIDER THIS TO BE A PRIVATE SECTOR RESPONSIBILITY
Question 14: How could more private landlords be encouraged to let to tenants on benefits and homeless households?	BENEFITS SHOULD BE PAID DIRECT TO LANDLORDS
Question 15: What other schemes or incentives might help us to recycle empty properties more effectively?	FINANCIAL -

Questions	Your responses
Question 16: Do you agree that we should exempt new build social housing from the Right to Buy?	YES.
Question 17: Do you agree that we should subsidise local authorities in areas of need to use their prudential borrowing capacity to build new council houses?	YES.
Question 18: Do you agree that we should introduce large scale competitions for subsidy?	YES.
Question 19: If not, how would you ensure that public subsidy is used to build as many good quality RSL houses as possible?	—
Question 20: Do you agree that we should subsidise the development of houses for mid-market rent?	No.
Question 21: If so, should the subsidy be awarded as part of the competitive regime for awarding HAG that we are proposing?	—
Question 22: If not, how would you increase variety in social housing?	AS SEE Q. 2. —
Question 23: Do you agree that we should encourage landlords to look at means of adjusting the mix of their stock in the interests of achieving more sustainable mixed communities?	YES.
Question 24: Do you think that subsidies for development should be provided to bodies other than registered social landlords?	No.