FHI 059, Version 13	Į:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2022-0386			Date of visit: 23/08/2022				
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: SS0864 Business No: SB0430	Site Name: Business Name:	Moo Ness Blueshell Mussels Ltd					
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	5	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry							
	Case changed from E0	CI to REG as site is currently fallow					

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site currently fallow with no ropes currently attached to the 3 lines in the water.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Da	ate of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0386		Site No:	SS0864				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Authori	isation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site detail	_	checked by s	te representa	ative?		Y		
2. Changes made to	details?					Y		
Site Details (include	cleaner fish	n for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities		3	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilities in	nspected 3	
Species	allow							
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (Site	e)	Currently fall	OW	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spat f	all	
Recent (last 4 wks) di	sease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last visi	it)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Movement records Date of last inspect Are records comple Are movement records Are records comple Are records comple Are health certificat Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	tion: ete and corre ords available ete and corre tes for introd s carried out	ectly entered? e for dead fis ectly entered? uctions (outw	h and waste? ith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi		30	0/05/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
Mortality records as		•			Other: /-!-1-10			N/A
2. How are mortalities If other detail:					Other (detail)			
L.		drop to seab						N/A
3. Mortality records co	•	•		al at a lite a t a	-li4i	- !4 :	-	IN/A
4. Recent mortality (la	*			d mortality note	a on site sinc	e last inspectio	on	N/A
Evidence of recent If yes, facility nos/no r		• •		/roscon:			L	13/7
ii yes, iacility 1105/110 i	nortality per	Tacility/110 Sto	ck per facility	ricasori.				
6. Any other peaks in	mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	ortality du	g period en	CONCU:					1471
7. Have increased (ur	nexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			portou ti	73.3.7.				,
8. Have 'mortality eve	nts' been re	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed dise	ease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	r
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimis	se
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0386 Site No:	SS086	4		
Date of Visit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven		0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Fraguency of mayoments off within MCC Management				
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management				┝╌
	Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				
depuration facilities	management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total		30
			Risk		HIGH

Case No:	2022-0386			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0864	1		Inspector:				
One 140.	000004	J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
		-						
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
REG	05/09/2022							
	1							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0430
 DATE OF VISIT
 23/08/2022

 SITE NO
 SS0864
 SITE NAME
 Moo Ness

 CASE NO
 20220386
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0387			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	10 min.	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0769	Site Name:	The Rona Aith	
Business No: SB0447	Business Name:	SI Seafarms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	23	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.94	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir	ng fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observ	ved?		nformation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Stock on site appeared in good condition. No fouling on shells and only a very small number of open shells visible but no tissue remaining.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0387		Site No:	SS0769				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	6
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	6 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural sp	at fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	·					•		
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd to	e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			30/05/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records	availabla far ir							N/A
 Mortality records a How are mortalities 		•			Other (detail)			IV/A
If other detail:			a al		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records	Empty shells							N/A
		correctly ente		-l t - lit t -		- 14:	-4: - ·-	IN/A
 Recent mortality (Evidence of recer 	•	tunical martal		d mortality note	ed on site since	e iast inspe	ction	N
		• •		/rosson:				IN
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/110 Sto	ck per racility.	rieason.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0387 Site No:	SS076	9		
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	le species on site				
	es present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	ccursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish movem	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	··				=
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	1
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	_	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		29 MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0387	l		Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0769	1		Inspector:				
One 140.	000700	J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	 							
	1							
	1							
	+							
	 							
	 							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							
	+							
	 							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0447
 DATE OF VISIT
 23/08/2022

 SITE NO
 SS0769
 SITE NAME
 The Rona Aith

 CASE NO
 20220387
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 05/09/2022

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0388			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: SS0704	Site Name:	Point of Sletta	
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.88	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

High quantity of seaweed present but all shells looking clean. A few sea squirts present but not causing issues.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Date	e of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0388		Site No:	SS0704			
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author							
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Y	
2. Changes made to	details?					Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)				
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	5	No facilities insp	pected 7
Species	MED						
Age group	Mix						
No Fish	5 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm						
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spat fall	•
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last visit)?	P N/A
If yes, detail:							
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement Service of the service of th	olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi		30/0	05/2018 N/A N/A
Mortality Records							
Mortality records		•					N/A
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)		
If other detail:		drop to seab					N//
3. Mortality records	•	•					N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspection	
5. Evidence of recer		• •		,			N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			
6 Any other needs	n na antality du	ring poriod ob	ookod2				N/A
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality du	ring period ch	ескеа?				INIF
	unovaloined)	mortalities ha	on reported to	vot or EUI2			N/A
7. Have increased (If yes, detail action:	unexpiained) i	nortaintes bet	en reported to	Veroi Filis			INIF
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to EUI	2 If no enter	details on mort	ality events ch	neet .	N/A
o. Have mortality ev	cina pecirie	ported to 1 mi	: II IIO, EIILEI	details off filoft	anty events Si	icci.	IN//

1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 2. Any significant results?	Treatments and Med			
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		(see comment)?		
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NIA Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NIA Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NIA Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NIA Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? NIA Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, t				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 8. Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. No in the procedure of				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 9. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		•		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	•	•		
If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		•		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Yesults of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No in the first procedure in the procedure	_	ent(s)?		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: 1. Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If you are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	6. Are medicines stor	ed appropriately?		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If you are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance	•			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	•	•		Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N N N N N N N N N N N N N			•	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N N N N N N N N N N N N N		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N N N N N N N N N N Significant results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		•	d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	health status, certifica	ation if required)?		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		•		Y
aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				\$1/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? No. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			ce to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	•			V
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		ty procedures been adequately impleme	ented on site?	Y
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	if no, detail:			
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	D 14 60 311			
2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			an babalf of the business?	NII
3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	•	•	on benait of, the business?	IN
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	•	•		
D	ii yes, detaii (ii not de	nameu under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: ISU/U5/201X - 23/U8/2022	P	ecords checked between:	30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12		
Case Number:	2022-0388 Site No:	SS070	4				
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:						
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site						
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk						
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes			
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0		
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3		
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0		
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6			
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10		
Live shellfish moven	nente	0	1-2	>3			
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	1 0	5	10	0		
Wovernerits on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0		
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0		
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2		
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0		
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6		
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)			
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0		
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0		
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0		
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2		
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5		
			Yes	No			
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2		
			Total		30		
			Risk		HIGH		

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0388			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0704			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Eroa			Do	te of Notifica	tion		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	and I
		Database	шэр	THORE	шэр	willing	шэр	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0430
 Date of Visit
 23/08/2022

 SITE No
 SS0704
 SITE Name
 Point of Sletta

 Case No
 20220388
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022
Fish Health Inspector

R14

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0389			Date of visit: 23/08/2022			
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ector:			
Site No: SS0651	Site Name:	Papa Little, Aith Voe				
Business No: SB0447	Business Name:	SI Seafarms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12.96	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.			
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.			
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.			
Diagnostic samples taken?		N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site fairly heavily fouled with seaweed, but stock on site looking clean with no tubeworms or other foulants marking the shells. A few open shells with no tissue within noted but nothing above what expected.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	I	Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0389		Site No:	SS0651				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author						_		
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	8	No facilities	inspected 8	
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	8 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural spat	t fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vi	isit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:						•		
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?							Y N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records							_	
Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)			
If other detail:		drop to seab						NI/A
3. Mortality records	•	•						N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): No increased mortality noted on site since last inspection						N.		
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:						N		
if yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring paried ab	ookod2					N/A
If yes, detail:	IT ITIOI tality du	ning period cri	leckeu :					11/7
7. Have increased (unevalained)	mortalities he	en reported to	vet or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	Tortaintes be	en reported to	Vet OI TTII!				14//
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	Cilia Decirie	ported to 1 111	. II IIO, CIIICI	actails of more	anty events si	icci.		14/7

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
o. And medianics stored appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	se
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 17/07/2019 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0389 Site No:	SS065	1		
Date of Visit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
	Trainbor or capplicio				
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0 Total Risk	2	27 MEDIUM

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0389			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0651			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Eroa			Do	te of Notifica	tion		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	and I
		Database	шэр	FIIONE	шэр	willing	шэр	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0447 Date of Visit 23/08/2022

SITE NO SS0651 SITE NAME Papa Little, Aith Voe

CASE NO 20220389 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0390			Date of visit: 23/08/2022			
Time spent on site:	10 min.	Main Inspe	ector:			
Site No: SS0857	Site Name:	Millburn				
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 13.04	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.			
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		formation/clinical score sheet.			
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.			
Diagnostic samples taken?		N .				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site fairly heavily fouled with seaweed, but stock on site looking clean with no tubeworms or other foulants marking the shells. A few open shells with no tissue within noted but nothing above what expected.

FHI 059, Version 13	3	_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0390		Site No:	SS0857				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de	tails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made t	to details?						Υ	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	6
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	6 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural sp	oat fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	4c							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection.					30/05/2018	
3. Are records com		ectly entered?	,				00/00/2010	Y
4. Are movement re	•	•		>				N/A
5. Are records com								N/A
6. Are health certific	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Record	s							
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sy								
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalit		•			Other (detail))		
If other detail:		drop to seab	ed		(<u> </u>		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	•	,		d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspe	ection	
5. Evidence of rece	•	typical mortal		,				N
If yes, facility nos/n				/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring pariod of	ookod?					N/A
If yes, detail:	III IIIO tality du	ring period cr	ieckeu!					IN/A
7. Have increased		mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			0.16	1-1-1-		,		K1/A
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
o. 7 no modifino die, da appropriately.		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording a	and safe disposal been considered?	Y
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Timiletoro di Terramany p	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence of	or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified t		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the	the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented bet	tween each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors,		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to n		N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on be	ehalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 30/05	5/2018 - 23/08/2022	

Date of Visit 23/08/2022 Inspector:	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Number of Susceptible species on site If no susceptible species present = LOW risk If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Martellia refringens (OED) Susceptible to Martellia refringens (OED, MED) Susceptible to Martellia refringens (OED, MED) Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) Sites within a tidal excursion Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion It is shellfish movements Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion If requency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements of from equivalent MS Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas Number of suspiliers Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas Number of destinations None Trequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas Number of destinations None Trequency of movements off outwith MSS management Areas Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within Description of stock from other businesses sites within treatment) Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within treatment) Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within treatment) Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within treatment)	Case Number:	2022-0390 Site No:	SS085	7		
If no susceptible species present = LOW risk	Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) 0 25 0 3 3 3 0	Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)						
Susceptible to Martellia refringens (OED, MED)	If susceptible species					
Sites within a tidal excursion		. , ,				
Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion O 2 10 10 Live shellfish movements Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				_		
Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion 0 2 10 10 Live shellfish movements 0 1-2 >3 Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 Frequency of movements on from equivalent Zone or compartment including third country 0 10 20 0 Number of suppliers 0 5 10 0 Movements off Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas 0 1 2 2 Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas 0 3 6 3 Number of destinations 0 3 6 3 Management practices Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area 0 1 2 0 Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area 0 2 6 0 Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area 0 2 6 0 Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area 0 4 8 0 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 2 4 Contacts with other sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 5 5 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 70 70 70 70 Total 70 70 70 Description of sites 1 2 or 3 2 Description of stock from single shorebase 0 1 2 2 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 70 70 70 Description of sites 10 1 2 2 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 70 70 Description of sites 10 10 2 Description of sites 10 10 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 Description of sites 10 10 Description of sites 10		Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Excursion Security Number of sites in the last state of the stat	Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Live shellfish movements Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 5 10 0 0 5 10 0 0 5 10 0 0 0 5 10 0 0 0	Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		excursion	0	2	10	10
Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Compartment including third country 0 10 20 0 0	Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
Number of suppliers 0 5 10 0			0	10	20	0
Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas						
Areas 0 1 2 2	Mayamanta aff					=
Areas Number of destinations 0 3 6 0 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6	Movements off	· · ·	0	1	2	2
Management practices None Secure (effluent treatment)			0	3	6	0
Management practices Water contacts with depuration facilities Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area O Total		Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
depuration facilities management area	practices		None	(effluent	(no effluent	
MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4 Contacts with other sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment O 1 2 2 3 0 0 Total Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc Total			0	1	2	0
Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area 0 4 8 0 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6			0	2	6	0
Biosecurity Number of sites Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment O 1 2 2 5 5 7 8 No Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc Total 2 or 3 2 4 2 2 2 3 5 5 7 8 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 2	Biosecurity					
Yes No Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 27		Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 Total 27	sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
Total 27				Yes	No	
		Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
						27 MEDIUM

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0390			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0857			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Eroa			Do	te of Notifica	tion		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
		Database	шэр	1 Hone	шэр	vviiding	шэр	2 Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI	05/09/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0430
 DATE OF VISIT
 23/08/2022

 SITE NO
 SS0857
 SITE NAME
 Millburn

 CASE NO
 20220390
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0391			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0855	Site Name:	Bight of Warwick	
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.16	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional ir	nformation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		nformation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site fairly heavily fouled with seaweed, but stock on site looking clean with no tubeworms or other foulants marking the shells.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Date	e of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0391		Site No:	SS0855			
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author							
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Y	
2. Changes made to	details?					Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)				
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities insp	pected 6
Species	MED						
Age group	Mix						
No Fish	6 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm						
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spat fall	•
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last visit)?	P N/A
If yes, detail:							
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi		30/0	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							
1. Mortality records		•			Othor (state 1)		N/A
2. How are mortalities of their detail:					Other (detail)		
		drop to seab					N/A
3. Mortality records	•	•		-ltlit t-	-liti	- 14 :4:	IV/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspection	N
5. Evidence of recer		• •		draaaan:			IN
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per racility	reason.			
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?				N/A
If yes, detail:	IT IIIO tality du	ing penod cit	ieckeu:				TW/A
7. Have increased ((Inexplained)	mortalities ho	en reported to	vet or FHI2			N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	nortaines bet	en reported to	J VCC OF T THE			1 1//
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet	N/A
o. Have mortality ev	Citto Decirie	Jones to 1 111	. If the, critical	actano on more	anty events si		. 4// (

Treatments and Madiaines Decards	
Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Diit- D	
Biosecurity Records	\ <u>\</u>
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Healths manner and fragularity of martelity removal, recording and sefe dispect been considered?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	1
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	- 1
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
	I
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	1
health status, certification if required)?	
Colleges the break and bigger with an account from law and a between each anidemical smith a maining in	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	<u>'</u>
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	N/A
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	IN/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
ii iio, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
il yes, detail (il not detailed diluci recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
2022-0391 Site No:	SS085	5		
23/08/2022 Inspector:				
le species on site				
es present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
			Yes	
. , ,				0
				3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
ccursion	1	2-5	>6	
Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
excursion	0	2	10	10
nents	0	1-2	>3	
Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
· ·				=
Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
	None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within	0	2	6	0
Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				0
Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
		Yes	No	
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	2
		Total Risk		27 MEDIUM
	2022-0391 Site No: 23/08/2022 Inspector: Inspector	2022-0391 Site No: SS085 23/08/2022 Inspector: Ille species on site es present = LOW risk present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) Coursion 1 Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Oenents Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers O Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas O Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas O Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area O Number of sites 1 Sites operating from single shorebase	Site No: SS0855 23/08/2022 Inspector:	Site No: SS0855 SS0855 SS0856 SS0856

Case No:	2022-0391	l		Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0855	1		Inspector:				
Cite 140.	000000	J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	 							
	1							
	1							
	+							
	 							
	╄							
	 							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							
	——							
	 							
	 							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0430
 DATE OF VISIT
 23/08/2022

 SITE NO
 SS0855
 SITE NAME
 Bight of Warwick

 CASE NO
 20220391
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	l:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0392			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: SS0856	Site Name:	Houbanster	
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.08	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Seaweed fairly abundant across site but stock on site looking clean with no foulants marking the shells. A few open shells with no tissue within noted but still a low level regarding quantity on site.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0392		Site No:	SS0856				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author						_		
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Υ	1	
2. Changes made to	details?					Y	1	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilities i	inspected 4	
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	4 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Never fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural spat	fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vis	sit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Movement record Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement sys 	ction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd nts carried out	ectly entered? le for dead fislectly entered? luctions (outw	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi		3	80/05/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	N 1/A
1. Mortality records		•			(Othory/clotoil)			N/A
2. How are mortalities of their detail:					Other (detail)			
		drop to seab						N/A
3. Mortality records	•			-ltlit t-		- 14 :4:		IN/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e iast inspecti	ion	N
5. Evidence of recer		• •		draaaan:			L	IN
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per racility	reason.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	IT ITIOI tality du	ing penod cit	ieckeu:					IN//A
7. Have increased ((Inexplained)	mortalities ho	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained) i	nortaines bet	en reported to	J VCC OF T THE				14/7
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet		N/A
o lavo mortanty ev	S.NO DECITIE	Jones to Fill	. II IIO, CIRCI	actails on more	and creme a			1477

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Diagonwity Booorde		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		V
 Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe of 	disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers	•	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	of veterinary professional or any	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion	on of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottis	<u> </u>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm s		Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between ear	ch epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipme		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain t	he physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		N
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the	ne business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
il yes, detail (il not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 2	23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0392 Site No:	SS085	6		
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish movem	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	''				=
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	1
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	_	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		29 MEDIUM

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0392			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0856			Inspector:				
Populto Cummon	Eroa			Do	te of Notifica	tion		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	and
		Database	шэр	FIIOHE	шэр	vviiung	шэр	2 nd Insp
	ļ							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0430
 Date of Visit
 23/08/2022

 Site No
 SS0856
 Site Name
 Houbanster

 Case No
 20220392
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0393			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: SS0727	Site Name:	Cole Ness Shellfish	
Business No: SB0465	Business Name:	Suthra Voe Shellfish	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.16	Thermometer No:	Т309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Stock on site looking clean with no marking the shells. A few open shells with no tissue within were observed but nothing above what considered normal levels.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0393		Site No:	SS0727				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Y]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	es inspected	4
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	4 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural sp	at fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•	ems?		· N	Any escapes			N/A
If yes, detail:						`	,	
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	cords available elete and corre ates for introd s nts carried out	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi				N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•			OH / 1 4 38			N/A
2. How are mortalitie	·				Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Empty shells							
3. Mortality records		correctly ente						N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspe	ction	
5. Evidence of recer		••						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) i	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco		Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify \$		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pre		
is detected been included and how and when that will be r		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stock	ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
		V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement		1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff,	- The state of the	NI/AI
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in pl	ace to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?		V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately impler	mented on site?	1
If no, detail:		
Describe of Commissiones		
Results of Surveillance	or an habelf of the hyginese?	N
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by,	or on benair of, the business?	14
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between:	05/11/2018 - 23/08/2022	
Necolus checked between.	03/11/2010 - 23/00/2022	

Case Number: 2022-0393 Site No: SS0727 Date of Visit 23/08/2022 Inspector: Number of Susceptible species on site if no susceptible species present = _LOW risk if susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Number of Susceptible species on site If no susceptible species present = LOW risk If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Martellia refringens (OED) Susceptible to Martellia refringens (OED, MED) Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Live shellfish movements O 1-2 >3 Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS O 5 10 O 7 Number of suppliers Movements off Frequency of movements on from equivalent Zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Movements off Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas Number of destinations No 3 6 3 Management Prequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas Number of destinations Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within area	Case Number:	2022-0393 Site No:	SS072	7		
If no susceptible species present, = LOW risk	Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) 0 25 0	Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)						
Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Live shelifish movements Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent Zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas Number of destinations Number of destinations Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS Management treatment) Mass management area Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith	If susceptible species	<u> </u>				
Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Live shellfish movements Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Movements off Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas Number of destinations Management Areas Number of destinations Management practices Management area Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within area Depuration of stock from ot		. , ,				
Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion O 2 10 10 Live shellfish movements Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 5 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						_
Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion 0 2 10 10 Live shellfish movements 0 1-2 >3 Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 10 20 0 Number of suppliers 0 5 10 0 Movements off Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas 0 1 2 2 Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas 0 3 6 3 Management O 3 6 3 Management O 3 6 3 Water contacts with depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area 0 0 1 2 Depuration facilities Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area 0 2 6 0 Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area 0 4 8 0 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 2 4 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 2 Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 5 5 Yes No Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 27		Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Live shellfish movements Novements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0	Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Live shellfish movements Novements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 1-2 3 5 10 0 0	Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		excursion	0	2	10	10
Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Compartment including third country 0 10 20 0 0	Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
Number of suppliers 0 5 10 0			0	10	20	0
Frequency of movements off within MSS Management 2 2						
Areas Frequency of movements off <u>within MSS Management Areas Sides of the prediction of the practices Sides sharing staff and equipment area Sides Mumber of equipment between sides, use of footbaths etc Sides Management Sides Sides Sides Management Sides Sides Sides Management Sides Sides Sides</u>	Mayamanta off	··				=
Areas Number of destinations O 3 6 0 3 Management practices Management practices Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites 1 2 or 3 2 4 Contacts with other sites operating from single shorebase Sites Sharing staff and equipment Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc Total	Movements off		0	1	2	2
Management practices Management practices None Secure (effluent treatment)			0	3	6	0
Management practices None (effluent treatment) Water contacts with depuration facilities Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area		Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
depuration facilities management area Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management Depuration of Stock from Stoc	practices		None	(effluent	(no effluent	
MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc Total O 2 6 0 0 0 0 1 2 5 1 2 7 5 1 2 2 2 5 1 7 1 2 2 2 5 5 7 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			0	1	2	0
Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area 0 4 8 0 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 2 5 5 5			0	2	6	0
Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 5	Biosecurity					
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 27		Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 2 2 Total 27	sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
Total 27				Yes	No	
		Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
				Total Risk		27 MEDIUM

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0393			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
6'' N	000707							
Site No:	SS0727			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results cultillary	r req.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
								2 11130
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022	шор	2 11130					





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0465 Date of Visit 23/08/2022

SITE NO SS0727 SITE NAME Cole Ness Shellfish

CASE NO 20220393 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0394			Date of visit: 23/08/2022			
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Insp	pector:			
Site No: SS0914	Site Name:	West of Grobsness				
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 13.11	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?		information/clinical score sheet.			
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		information/clinical score sheet.			
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional i	information/clinical score sheet.			
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site fouled with seaweed but nothing else. Stock on site looking clean with only a few open shells with no tissue within observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0394		Site No:	SS0914				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022	1		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by si	ite representa	itive?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	s inspected	4
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	4 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Never fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural sp	at fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspension. Are records compounded. Are movement respective. Are records compounded. Are health certification. Transport Records Are any movement from the properties. 	olete and correctords availabelete and corrected attention attenti	le for dead fishectly entered? luctions (outwood) t by (or on bel	h and waste? ith GB) availa nalf) of the bu	uble? siness (not usi			30/05/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)			
		drop to seab						
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspe	ction	
Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								K1/ A
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities bed	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	antal bass	name al ta El III) If man	dataila e :- :- '	alita a serente d			NI/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents been re	ported to FHI	r if no, enter o	details on mort	anty events sh	ieet.		N/A

Treatments and Me	edicines Records		
1. Recent treatments	s (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:			
If other, detail:			
	s available for inspection?		
•	elete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withd	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?		
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?		
Biosecurity Record			
	Is available for inspection?		Y
		ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	•	ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
` .	ned) mortality at the site been included?		Y
	•	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	luded and how and when that will be not		Y
		d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	ation if required)?		
			V
	•	ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	NI/A
		ce to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals			V
	rity procedures been adequately implement	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
- "			
Results of Surveilla		1 -1 -15 -5 -11 - 1	NI.
	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or	on benait of, the business?	N
	available for inspection?		
3. Any significant res			
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems).		
	2	20/05/2040 22/00/2022	
Г	Records checked between:	30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12			
Case Number:	2022-0394 Site No:	SS091	4					
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:							
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site							
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk							
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes				
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0			
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3			
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0			
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6				
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal							
	excursion	0	2	10	10			
Live shellfish movem	nents	0	1-2	>3				
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0			
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0			
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0			
Movements off					=			
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2			
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0			
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3			
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)				
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0			
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0			
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	_	4	8	0			
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4				
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2			
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5			
			Yes	No				
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	0	2	2				
			Total Risk		27 MEDIUM			

Case No:	2022-0394			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Cita Na	CC0044							
Site No:	SS0914			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Dat	te of Notifica	tion		
,		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022	шор	2 11130					





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0430 DATE OF VISIT 23/08/2022

SITE NO SS0914 SITE NAME West of Grobsness

CASE NO 20220394 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0395			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: SS0642	Site Name:	Quilse	
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.09	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	d?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Stock on site in good condition with no fouling on the shells and no open shells observed on the sections of ropes taken out of the water for inspection.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_	D	ate of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0395		Site No:	SS0642				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author						_		
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Y	'	
2. Changes made to	details?					Y		
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	ocked	7	No facilities i	nspected 7	
Species	MED			I				
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	7 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural spat	fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vis	sit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement Seconds 	plete and correctords available lete and corrected sates for introductions.	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi		1	7/07/2019	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
1. Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)			
If other detail:		drop to seab						NI/A
3. Mortality records	•	•						N/A
4. Recent mortality	•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspection	on	N.
5. Evidence of recer		• •		,			L	N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring paried at	ookod2					N/A
If yes, detail:	In mortality du	ing penod cit	leckeu :					11/7
7. Have increased (unevolained)	mortalities he	en reported to	o vet or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	nortaintes be	en reported to	o vet of TTHE				14//
8. Have 'mortality e	ents' heen re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	renta pecirie	ported to 1 111	. II IIO, CIIICI	actails of filort	unity events si	icci.		14/7

Treatments and Medicines Records	
Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
, ,	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	- '
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yes, detaii (ii not detaiied diidei recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 17/07/2019 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12				
Case Number:	2022-0395 Site No:	SS064	2	j					
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:								
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site								
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk								
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes					
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0				
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3				
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0				
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6					
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10				
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3					
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0				
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0				
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0				
Movements off	· ·								
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2				
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0				
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6				
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)					
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0				
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0				
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4					
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2				
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5				
			Yes	No					
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	0	2	2					
			Total		30				
			Risk		HIGH				

Case No:	2022-0395			Date of visit:	23/08/2022					
Cita Na	000640									
Site No:	SS0642			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
,		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
	<u> </u>									
Poport Cummon										
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inon	and Image							
ECI	05/09/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp							
LOI	03/03/2022									





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0430
 Date of Visit
 23/08/2022

 Site No
 SS0642
 Site Name
 Quilse

 Case No
 20220395
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 05/09/2022

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

i isii i lealtii iiispectoi

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0396			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0312	Site Name:	Kurkigarth	
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.04	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Stock on site all looking clean with no fouling on the shells. Very few open shells observed but no tissue remained within them.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0396		Site No:	SS0312				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made	to details?						Υ	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		3	Facilities sto	cked	3	No faciliti	ies inspected 3	
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	3 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (Never fallow	,	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Natural s	pat fall	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	s (since las	t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:						`	<u>, </u>	
1. Movement record 2. Date of last insp 3. Are records com 4. Are movement r 5. Are records com 6. Are health certification of the cord 1. Are any movement of the cord of the	ection: applete and correctords availabe applete and correctords icates for introducts ents carried out	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	_		30/05/2018	Y N/A N/A
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records		nspection?					г	N/A
2. How are mortality		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	_	s drop to seab	ed			,		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	•	•		d mortality note	ed on site sind	e last insp	ection	
5. Evidence of rece		atypical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/n		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ıring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	The state of the s	p = 1.10 a 01						
7. Have increased If yes, detail action		mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
8. Have 'mortality e		ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		N/A
			,		.,			

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	•
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/		
Case Number:	2022-0396 Site No:	SS031	2			
Date of Visit	23/08/2022 Inspector:		l			
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site					
•	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk					
	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes		
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0	
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3	
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0	
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6		
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				$\overline{}$	
	excursion	0	2	10	10	
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3		
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0	
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or					
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management					
	Areas	0	1	2	1	
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management					
	Areas	0	3	6	0	
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3	
			Secure	Unsecure		
Management			(effluent	(no effluent		
practices		None	•	treatment)		
Water contacts with	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS					
depuration facilities	management area	0	1	2	0	
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within					
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0	
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management					
	area	0	4	8	0	
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2	
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5	
			Yes	No		
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2	
			Total		26	
			Risk		MEDIUM	

Case No:	2022-0396			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0312	1		Inspector:				
Cite 140.	000012	J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	 							
	1							
	1							
	+							
	 							
	╄							
	 							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							
	——							
	 							
	 							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0430 DATE OF VISIT 23/08/2022
SITE NO SS0312 SITE NAME Kurkigarth

CASE NO 20220396 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

Fish Health Inspector

R14

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0397			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: SS0600	Site Name:	Bight of Cliffs	
Business No: SB0396	Business Name:	Olnafirth Sea Farm Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.11	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	d?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail i	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Stock nice and clean with no fouling on the shells themselves, just seaweed attached to the ropes.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0397		Site No:	SS0600				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	7	No facilitie	s inspected	7
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	7 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Natural sp	at fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:						•		
 Date of last inspersions. Are records complete. Are movement respective. Are records complete. Are health certification. Are any movement of the properties. 	plete and correctords available lete and corrected sates for introductions.	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			30/05/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records	available for i	nepostion?						N/A
 Mortality records How are mortalities 		•			Other (detail)			IN/A
If other detail:	Empty shells		ad		Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality		correctly error		d mortality note	ad on site sine	o lact inche	otion	14//
5. Evidence of recei	•	typical mortal		a mortality note	ed off site sinc	e iast irispe	CHOIT	N
If yes, facility nos/no		**		/reason:			L	
ii yes, lacility 1103/110	Thortainty per	Tacility/110 Sto	ok per racility	ricasori.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) i	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, record	ling and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sco	ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the prese		
is detected been included and how and when that will be not		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemente	ad between each enidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vis		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	- The state of the	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	e to maintain the physical containment of	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately impleme	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	The diff she .	
Results of Surveillance		
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or a surveillance been carried out by a surveillance been carried out by, or a surveillance been carried out by, or a surveillance been carried out by a surveillance by a su	on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between:	30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0397 Site No:	SS060	0		
Date of Visit	23/08/2022 Inspector:	l			
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
•	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven		0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10 5	20 10	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management				
	Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management				
	Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	•	treatment)	
Water contacts with	D # 64.46 # ##. 1400		,	r i	
depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
		U		2	\vdash
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management	_		0	\vdash
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total		27
			Risk		MEDIUM

-								
Case No:	2022-0397	l		Date of visit:	23/08/2022	<u>2</u>		
Site No:	SS0600	1		Inspector:				
One No.		J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	 							
	1							
	+							
	+							
	+							
	+							
	_							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							
	<u> </u>							
	+							
	+							
	1							
	1							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0396 DATE OF VISIT 23/08/2022
SITE NO SS0600 SITE NAME Bight of Cliffs

CASE NO 20220397 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Date: 05/09/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	ŀ	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0398			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0601	Site Name:	Park Gate	
Business No: SB0396	Business Name:	Olnafirth Sea Farm Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.17	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site fairly heavily fouled with seaweed, but stock on site looking clean with no tubeworms or other foulants marking the shells. A very low number of open shells with no tissue within were observed.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issue	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0398		Site No:	SS0601				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022	1		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by si	te representa	tive?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	7	No facilitie	s inspected	7
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	7 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (Si	te)	Never fallow		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spa	at fall	
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?							Y N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?					Г	N/A
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Empty shells	drop to seab	ed					
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (I				l mortality note	d on site sinc	e last insped	ction	
5. Evidence of recen	t increased/a	typical mortali	ties?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:			_	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?							N/A	
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							N/A	
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI?	? If no, enter o	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease.	ase
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	e Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12			
Case Number:	2022-0398 Site No:	SS060	1					
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:							
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site							
If no susceptible species present = <u>LOW</u> risk								
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes				
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0			
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3			
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0			
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6				
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal							
	excursion	0	2	10	10			
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3				
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0			
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0			
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0			
Movements off					=			
Movements on	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	1			
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0			
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3			
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)				
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0			
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0			
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0			
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4				
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2			
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5			
			Yes	No				
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2			
			Total		26			
			Risk		MEDIUM			

Case No:	2022-0398	l		Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0601	1		Inspector:				
Cite 140.	000001	J		пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	 							
	1							
	——							
	-							
	+							
	 							
	╄							
	 							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							
	——							
	 							
	 							
	1							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0396 DATE OF VISIT 23/08/2022
SITE NO SS0601 SITE NAME Park Gate

CASE NO 20220398 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 05/09/2022

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

R14

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0399			Date of visit: 23/08/2022
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: SS0677	Site Name:	Foulawick	
Business No: SB0430	Business Name:	Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.32	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N/A If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Stock on site all clean with no foulants marking the shells. Very few open shells with no tissue within noted.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Da	te of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0399		Site No:	SS0677				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	iils						
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Y		
2. Changes made to	details?					Y		
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	5.5	No facilities ins	spected 7	
Species	MED							
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	5.5 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2-5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S	*	Never fallow		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	Natural spat fa		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last visit))?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?								Y N/A N/A N/A
Martality Bassyda							_	
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?					г	N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			14// (
If other detail:		drop to seab	ed		other (dotail)	,		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sinc	e last inspection	1	
5. Evidence of recer	•							N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:			_	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?							N/A	
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							N/A	
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease.	ase
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	e Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	N/A
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 23/08/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0399 Site No:	SS067	7		
Date of Visit	23/08/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven		0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Fraguency of mayoments off within MSS Management				$\overline{}$
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management	J		_	⊢∸⊢
	Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				l I
depuration facilities	management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				l I
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total		30
			Risk		HIGH

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0399			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
6'' N	000077							
Site No:	SS0677			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results cultillary	r req.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
								2 11135
	-							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022	шэр	Z insp					
	00/00/2022							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0430
 Date of Visit
 23/08/2022

 Site No
 SS0677
 Site Name
 Foulawick

 Case No
 20220399
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/09/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2022-0400			Date of visit: 23/08/2022		
Time spent on site:	0 min.	Main Inspec	ctor:		
Site No: SS0699 Business No: SB0396	Site Name: Business Name:	Pynch Dyke Olnafirth Sea Farm Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 13.32	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N/A If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N/A N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	il reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection conducted by , observed by

Site stock was observed to have mostly clean shells with little fouling seen. Sea weed present in abundance to the ropes and moorings but doesn't seem to be impacting the health of the stock.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0400	j	Site No:	SS0699				
Date of Visit:		23/08/2022			Inspector(s):			•
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta		checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	ocked	4	No facilitie	s inspected	4
Species	MED						T .	
Age group	Mix							
No Fish	4 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	2 - 5cm							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Natural sp	at fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	olete and corre cords availab olete and corre ates for introd to	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on be	sh and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			06/05/2019	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells	drop to seab	ed					
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality (•			d mortality note	ed on site sind	e last inspe	ction	
Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:				t FLUC				NI/A
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	rental haar	named to FIII	2 If no	deteile	ality avente el	-		N/A
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								N/A

_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					
If yes, detail:					
If other, detail:					
Medicines records available for inspection?					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					
	_				
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y			
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, record	ling and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sco	ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y			
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	nce or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
is detected been included and how and when that will be noti		Y			
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
, , ,					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemente	d between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vis					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	_	N/A			
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately impleme	nted on site?	Y			
If no, detail:	Thou on the control of the control o				
Results of Surveillance					
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by, or one of the surveillance been carried out by the surveillance by the surveillance been carried out by the surveillance by the surveillance been carried out by the surveillance by the surveillance by the surveillance by the surveill	on behalf of the business?	N			
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	on bondin oi, and business.				
3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
ii yoo, dotan (ii not dotanod ando. 1000m diobado promisino,					
Records checked between:	06/05/2019 - 23/08/2022				

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0400 Site No:	SS069	9		
Date of ∀isit	23/08/2022 Inspector:			İ .	
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk		NI-	V	
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	U
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management				
	Areas	0	1	2	1
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management practices		None	(effluent treatment)	(no effluent	
Water contacts with		None	treatment)	treatment)	
depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				
	•	0	1	2	0
	management area	0	1	2	0
	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within	0	1	6	0
	management area	0			
	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0			
Biosecurity	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management	0	2	6	0
Biosecurity Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	2	6 8	0
	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites	0 0	2	6 8 ≥4	0
Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase	0 0 1 0	2 4 2 or 3	6 8 ≥4 2	0 0
Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment	0 0 1 0 0	2 4 2 or 3 1 1 Yes	6 8 ≥ 4 2 5 No	0 0
Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase	0 0 1 0 0	2 4 2 or 3 1	6 8 ≥4 2 5	0 0
Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment	0 0 1 0 0	2 4 2 or 3 1 1 Yes	6 8 ≥ 4 2 5 No	0 0
Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment	0 0 1 0 0	2 4 2 or 3 1 1 Yes	6 8 ≥ 4 2 5 No	0 0

Case No:	2022-0400			Date of visit:	23/08/2022			
Site No:	SS0699	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/09/2022							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 SB0396
 DATE OF VISIT
 23/08/2022

 SITE No
 SS0699
 SITE NAME
 Pynch Dyke

Case No 20220400 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 05/09/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/