FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0108			Date of visit: 26/04/2022
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1121 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.1	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

#### Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted 19/04/22 by , observed by

Site inspection, collection of movement records and VMD sampling 26/04/22 by , observed by

All cages have double nets installed these have stopped issues with seal predation that had affected previous cycles. There was an increased pressure on site due to predation by cormorants, two cages particularly affected, these had additional top net supports put in place and this alleviated the problem.

Fish were deep in the water, but appeared to be shoaling well, 9 lethargic fish observed across site, attributed to Thermolicer treatment the previous week, varying degrees of physical damage on these fish. Fish sampled for VMD appeared in very good condition.

Site is counting 10 fish per cage and sea lice treatments are targeted at cages with higher numbers, rather than treat the whole site. This was reported to work well and reduces handling on cages were the sea lice numbers are low.

Nets washed by Ocean Farms Services, net washing on-going at time of inspection.

Excellent visibility at time of inspection.

Cages 5 & 6 are most exposed to tides and weather on site, due to this they are currently fallow, cages 1 to 4 were stocked with more fish, that will be split down to cages 5 & 6 in the summer once the fish have acclimatised to conditions on site and are at a size that will cope with the tides.

Case No: 2022-0108 Site No: FS1121  Date of Visit: 26/04/2022 Inspector(s):  Registration/Authorisation Details  1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?  2. Changes made to details?  Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)  Total No facilities T2 Facilities stocked T0 No facilities inspected T2  Species SAL  Age group 2021 Q4
Registration/Authorisation Details  1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?  2. Changes made to details?  Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)  Total No facilities  Total No facilities  Species  SAL  Age group  Age group  Registration/Authorisation Details  Y  No facilities inspected  12  No facilities inspected  12  Species  Age group
1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details?  Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)  Total No facilities  Species  SAL  Age group  Age group  Age group  Total No facilities inspected  Total No facilities inspected  Age group
2. Changes made to details?  Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)  Total No facilities  Species  SAL  Age group  Age group  Age group  Total No facilities inspected
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)  Total No facilities
Total No facilities 12 Facilities stocked 10 No facilities inspected 12 Species SAL Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale
Species         SAL         Image: Control of the contr
Age group 2021 Q4
No Fish 485,239
Mean Fish Wt 1.1 Kg
Next Fallow Date (Site) 2023 Q1 Next Input Date (Site) 2023 Q4
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?  N Any escapes (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:
Movement Records
1. Movement records available for inspection?
2. Date of last inspection: 16/09/2020
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?
Transport Records
1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records excitable for inspection?
1. Mortality records available for inspection?
2. How are mortalities disposed of?  Other (detail)
If other detail: Pelagia Bressay - ensiled
Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
Wk 12 - 1,330 (0.3%), Wk 13 - 1,022 (0.2%), Wk 14 - 1,136 (0.2%), Wk 15 - 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 1,392 (0.3%)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?  If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
if yes, facility hos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason.
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
If yes, detail: Refer to Mortality Event spreadsheet
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
If yes, detail action:
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y

<b>Treatments and Medicines Reco</b>	ords		
1. Recent treatments (see comme	nt)?		Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S		
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records available for	inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and corre	ctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?			Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		T.M.S.	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored appropriate	tely?		Y
Biosecurity Records  1. Biosecurity records available for	•	rding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
		cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality	•	* * *	Y
		ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how	•	·	Y
5. Has the health status of aquacu	ılture animals being stocke	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if require	ed)?		
		red between each epidemiological unit to minimise isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available rega aquaculture animals held on site?		ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures	s been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health surveillar	-	r on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for in	nspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?			N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under i	recent disease problems).		
Records check	ked between:	16/09/20 to 19/04/22	

Priority samples:   VI	ГГ	11 059, version 13							ISS	ued by: F	п			
Priority samples:   VI		Case no:	2022-01	108	Site No:		FS1121					26/0	04/2022	26/0
Summary samples  HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Sample  Add Fish/Pools - click  Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type  SW  SW  SW  SW  SW  SW  SW  SW  SW  S		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA							
Summary samples			12:3	0:00	13:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	).	13
Add Fish/Pools - click    Pool/Fish No		Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight Sex Water Type SW		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 1.5000 1.5000 1.5000 Sex Water Type SW SW SW	Ac													
Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight Sex Water Type SW SW SW  Tomographic Street Salue														
Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 1.5000 1.5000 1.5000 Sex Water Type SW SW SW		Fish nos	1	2	3									
Average weight Sex Water Type  SW SW SW  Sw  Water Type  The control of the contr		Pool Group												
Average weight Sex Water Type  SW SW SW  Tit and in the service of		Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
Sex Water Type Sw SW SW Iit Intraddine Smolt Smo			1.5000	1.5000	1.5000									
realdine Smolt lit lit lit lit lit lit lit lit lit l														
realdine Smolt lit lit lit lit lit lit lit lit lit l		Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Facility No 3 9 12	c Details	Stock Origin			Barcaldine Smolt Unit									

04/2022 Additional Sample Information:													
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue:	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0108		Site No:	FS1121		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/04/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through	0				0
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	orenoie) or in a coastal zone with category I	-				0
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raise of the another	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
		from zone or compartment of	,	1			0
	equivalent state	us n from Category III farm	4	1			0
		from Category V farm	10	4			0
Dispessed of fish and fish had				J 1			
Disposal of fish and fish by- products		• •	0				0
	·	esses with other farms	3	4			3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds		inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas		5	J			0
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Citas anaratina	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0		2		1
		taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				0
					<b>T</b> -4.		
					Total Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0108	Site No:	FS1121
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the common of the comm	alent) fallowed synchronously on a single year d in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includes as access to suitable biological and/or mecha	uding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm managemer Management Area (or equivalent)?		e and CoGP Farm
Are sea lice count records available for inspection     Do records adequately reflect the required standard		gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ne suggested criteria for treatment in the Coo	GP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is co	•	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administere suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elong</i> .		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable) 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions tak 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where a lice?	en had a significant impact upon the lice leven n cooperation between participating farms?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice managemen scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infest		tions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks refle	ct sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons.
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage du  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the proposition Nets, Seal  If other, detail below:	·	duction cycles?
3. Have escape incidents or events been experier If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to q 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwish. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local DSFB forthwish.	uestion 10 ? ith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.	17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapee	s? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise be considered under satisfactory measures o	the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in fithe Act)	code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regard	ds to containment? If no, please detail reason	n(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	D	ate of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0108	Site No:	S1121		
Date of Visit: 26/04/2022	Inspec	etor:		
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection located \	within a farm mana	gement area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.			
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agr	eements and Statemer	nts	
<ol><li>Has a current farm management agre</li></ol>	eement or stateme	ent (FMAg/S) been prepa	red?	Υ
<ol><li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li></ol>	spection?			Υ
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li></ol>	_			Υ
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far</li></ol>	• •	* *		Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		of the agreement or state	ement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?			Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag				
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	m health standard	s for the stocks to be int	roduced to the area	Y Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina</li></ol>				Υ
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the specie</li></ol>				Υ
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking dens	sity of any pen on any far	m in the area or the	Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa</li></ol>		orage and disposal of an	y dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice			
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharin	g of data on sea lice nur	mbers and treatments	s? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use	of medicines on farms co	overed by the agreem	nent Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir	rements for the se	nsitivity testing of availab	le treatments for sea	Y
lice on farms in the area or individual fa		<b>,.</b>		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun	nstances under wh	nich biological controls ar	nd cleaner fish are to	be Y
used on farms in the area or individual f		·		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synch	ronous treatments on far	rms within the area?	be Y
Live Fish Movements				
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live	e fish may be introduced	or removed from the	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the m	ovement of live fish on a	nd off sites in the are	ea Y
or individual farms?				

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020	0
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or in	dividual farms?	
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by a date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brook covered by the agreement or statement?	d? or more year classes may be stocked ont	o sites covered by the	
Point of Compliance for Farm Management 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be, N/A	
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of t		or statement?	

Site No: FS1121
Case No: 2022-0108
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0108			Date of visit:	26/04/2022	]		
Site No:	FS1121			Inspector:		1		
D # 0	_							
Results Summary	Freq.	D ( )	ı.		te of Notifica		1.	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
Damant Commercia								
Report Summary	_		nd					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	06/07/2022							

Page 1 of 1





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 26/04/2022

SITE NO FS1121 SITE NAME Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe

Case No 20220108 INSPECTOR

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R25

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



Signed: Date: 06/07/22

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0109			Date of visit: 26/04/2022
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS1335	Site Name:	Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe	
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.1	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	•		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Remote inspection 19/04/22 by , observed by

Site inspection and VMD sampling 26/04/22 by , observed by

Site had experienced low level AGD from PCR screening, not causing issues on site and levels from recent samples have decreased.

Very good visibility at time of inspection. Fish were shoaling deeper in the water. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy. Site were conducting sea lice counts at time of inspection, 10 fish per cage. Physical treatments recently undertaken on site for sea lice, thermolicer and two cages with the hydrolicer. There were 1 to 2 lethargic fish in the cages treated with the Thermolicer, but noticeably more lethargic fish observed in cages recently treated with the hydrolicer, some with varying degrees of physical damage. The Thermolicer treatments were conducted using the new purpose built Inverlussa boat, which was reported to be very effective and had capacity to treat a cage quicker than previous thermolicers used. All cages have double nets installed

T304 used, however not in drop down list therefore left blank.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0109		Site No:	FS1335				
Date of Visit:		26/04/2022	]		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2021 Q4							
No Fish	539, 582					<del>                                     </del>		
Mean Fish Wt	2.2 Kg					1		
Next Fallow Date (S		2022 Q4		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2023 Q3	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,				Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				, any occupies	(000	,	
,,								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available for	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe	ction:						18/02/2020	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement re	cords availabl	e for dead fis	h and waste?	•				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
Are any movement		by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys								Y
Mantalita Bassada								
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records	available for in	enection?						
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail	\		
If other detail:	Pelagia Bres				Other (detail	)		
Mortality records								
5. Worlding records	complete and	correctly erit		(0.2%), Wk 13	3 _ 1 030 (0 /0	%) \/\k 1/I -	1 321 (0 2%)	\/\k 15 -
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		1,192 (0.2%)		3 - 1,555 ( <b>6.</b> 4)	70), VVIC 1 <del>-1</del> -	1,521 (0.270)	, VVK 13 -
5. Evidence of recer	•	typical mortal		<i>,</i>				N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
·· <b>, ,</b> · - · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>,</b>	,					
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							
If yes, detail: T.M.S.							
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							
Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	'						
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							
Discourity Beaards							
Biosecurity Records	V						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?     Hos the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and cofe dispessal been considered?	Ī						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	'						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	1						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	V						
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	1						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	1						
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y						
aquaculture animals held on site?							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y						
If no, detail:							
Results of Surveillance							
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y						
3. Any significant results?	N						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).							
Records checked between: 18/02/2020 to 19/04/2022							

Prior Time starts Envii	e no:  rity samples:  e sampling ts/ends: ironmental conditions: nmary samples  ish/Pools - click	2022-010 VI 13:00 1 HIST			5:00 Cloudy	PA 3	Inspector	MG S	ate of visit ampling:	н	26/04 /MD No.	/2022	26/0 9
Time start: Envir	e sampling ts/ends: ironmental conditions: nmary samples	1		13:1	Cloudy		Inspector	MG		٧	MD No.	_	9
start: Envii	ts/ends: ironmental conditions: nmary samples	1		2	Cloudy		Inspector	4			MD No.		9
	nmary samples		Sunny			3		4		5			
Sum		HIST		ВА	_	_			_	_	_		
•	ish/Pools - click					MG		VI		PA	Т	otal Sar	nples
Pool	I/Fish No												
Fish	nos	1											
Pool	l Group												
Spec	cies	SAL											
	rage weight	2.5000											
Sex													
Wate	er Type	SW											
ock Details	ck Origin ility No	Barcaldine Smolt Unit											

04/2022 Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													
$\Box$													
$\vdash$													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0109		Site No:	FS1335		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/04/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or necluding third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the fales of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Го	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	]			0
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0109	Site No: FS	S1335
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous like the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) for the CoGP Farm Management Area (or eq	allowed synchronously on a single year ed and bath sea lice medications (includ	ling deltamethrin,
Is there a signed documented farm management agree     Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement or statement relevant to the site a	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Le 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard sp		al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggrecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	gested criteria for treatment in the CoGP	o during the period that
3. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) num 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that reco		ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspe	ctorate? If no. FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered		s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or oth suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coop 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewere lice?	eration between participating farms?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procescenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	dure with waypoints describing set actio	ons to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea	lice count data? If no please detail reas	ons. Y
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to proceed. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation of Nets, Seal for the foother, detail below:		oction cycles?
,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced or f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (who 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fishe	n 10 ere they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17	)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If ye	es give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with low Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)  3. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the rise be considered under satisfactory measures of the Action was taken to prevent and minimise the rise be considered under satisfactory measures of the Action with respect to the considered under satisfactory measures.	k of further escapes? (Not covered in co	ode but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to co	ntainment? If no, please detail reason(s	Y Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date	e of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0109	Site No: FS1335		
Date of Visit: 26/04/2	2022 Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection local	ated within a farm management area?		Y
If N, no further questions require co	ompletion.		
<ul><li>2. Has a current farm management</li><li>3. Is the current FMAg/S available</li><li>4. Does the FMAg/S identify the rel</li><li>5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fis</li></ul>	levant farm management area? h farm site(s) to which it applies? ite of commencement of the agreemer	een prepared?	Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Ma 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the mi farm?	anagement inimum health standards for the stocks	s to be introduced to the area or	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the s	ccination requirements for stocks held pecies of fish which may be stocked in naximum stocking density of any pen of	nto the area or farm?	Y Y Y
	rrangements for the storage and disposal farm?	osal of any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Managem 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arran	ent of Sea Lice ngements for the sharing of data on se	ea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the a of statement?	vailability and the use of medicines on	farms covered by the agreemen	t Y
	requirements for the sensitivity testing all farms?	of available treatments for sea	Υ
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the cused on farms in the area or individ	ircumstances under which biological c	ontrols and cleaner fish are to be	Y
	rrangements for synchronous treatme	ents on farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements  18. Does the FMAg/S identify the carea or farm?	ircumstances when live fish may be in	troduced or removed from the	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the a or individual farms?	rrangements for the movement of live	fish on and off sites in the area	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoct 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S?  03/07/2021	statement?

		_				_		
Case No:	2022-0109			Date of visit:	26/04/2022			
Cita Na	EC4225							
Site No:	FS1335			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
Report Summary			1	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1				
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	04/07/2022							





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 26/04/2022

SITE No FS1335 SITE NAME Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe

Case No 20220109 Inspector

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Date: 04/07/22

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>