FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2021-0053			Date of visit: 23/06/2021						
Time spent on site:	3 hrs	Main Inspec	etor:						
Site No: FS1017 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Fara West Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	l Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 11.2	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y						
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-3						
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed remotely by shadowed by 18/06/21

Site inspection by shadowed by 23/06/21

VMD fish sampled by

Site thermometer used, due to issue with display on FHI thermometer.

1 dead observed across site at time of inspection, visibility poor at start of inspection, however, visibility improved half way through site inspection. Fish were deeper in the water, but showed a good feeding response. Feeders had been switched off to enable capture of fish for VMD sampling

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and were in very good condition.

No issues observed or reported on site, fish on site are organic fish

Site is to be used as a nursery site, with fish moved to South Cava.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0053		Site No:	FS1017]			
Date of Visit:		23/06/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	-	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2020 S0							
No Fish	576,000							
Mean Fish Wt	1.3Kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	*	Aug 2021		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Oct 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	s							
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							06/11/2018	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	,					Y
4. Are movement red		•		,				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst								
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Pelagia - Bre				Cirici (dotail)			
3. Mortality records of			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•			(0.02%), Wk 2	1 - 73 (0.01%)	Wk 20 27	7 (0.05%) WI	× 19 - 175
5. Evidence of recen	•			(0.0270), *****	1-10 (0.0170)	, VIII LU L.	7 (0.0070), 111	N 13 - 173
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,						
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:	2020 Wk 42	- 40,547 (6.3	5%) - 2 week	s post 1st input	t of stock -			
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	ieet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: T.M.S.
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: Nov 2018 to 18/06/21

П	HI 059, Version 13		issued by: FHI										
	Case no:	2021-00	053	Site No:		FS1017			Date of vis		23/0	06/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		00:00		0:00		Inspecto				VMD No	o.	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Wet	2	Cloudy	3	Windy	4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	1.3kg	1.3kg	1.3kg									
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	ω Jubilee Loch Shin	O Jubilee Loch Shin	വ Quoys Hatchery									
U,	i domey 140	9	10	_									

Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0053		Site No:	FS1017		Insp:	
Date of Visit	23/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0		6	10	6
IVIOVEITIETIIS OII	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	4				0	
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	r from Category ∀ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			0
					Total Rank		17 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0053		Site No:	FS1017	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experier	nced sea lice problems in the previous	s 4 years?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	anagement Area (or equivalent) fallo	wed synchronously o	n a single y	ear class basis?	N
	ccess to a range of licenced in-feed a amectin benzoate) as well as access				Υ
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm management agreeme equivalent)?	ent or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required standard specif	fied in the SSI and th	e CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the suggest (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatme	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult to	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) number	s per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspector	rate? If no, FHI see c	omment.		N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is considered t	o cause significant w	elfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
· ·	eatments been administered or other reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cor				N/A
11. Has any other action	n been taken (where applicable)?				N/A
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the actions taken had a s	ignificant impact upo	n the lice le	evels recorded?	N/A
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried out in cooperate	tion between participa	ating farms	?	N/A
14. Is there a harvestin	g strategy for the site, where fewer po	pulations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatment for	Υ
15. Is there a site speci	ific written lice management procedur	e with waypoints des	cribing set	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no ple	ease detail r	reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspecti	on				
•	nced equipment damage due to preda	tors in the current or	previous pr	roduction cycles?	N
•	ce to mitigate against the predation ex			•	Υ
Top nets, Seal Pro ne	ets, Tensioned nets			·	
If other, detail below:					
2. Have essent incide	nta ay ayanta baan aynayianaad an ay	in the visinity of the	nita ainaa th	a last EUL inspection?	N
•	nts or events been experienced on or	•	site since th	le last FHI inspection?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 ported to Scottish Ministers?				
	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where	thoy oviet\2 (CoCP	1127 5/	4 4 7)	
·	ported to the SSPO and local fisheries				
o. Have these been rep	orted to the SSF C and local fisheries	uusis lõitilmiti (wile	ire triey exis	st): (COOF = 4.4.57, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail			
8 If all note were donly	oyed was this action agreed with local	wild fish interests an	d was norm	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		·	• •	
	en to prevent and minimise the risk of		ot covered i	in code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the Act)				
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to conta	inment? If no, please	detail reas	son(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0053	Site No: FS1017	
Date of Visit: 23/06/202	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	luithin a fama managanant ara 2	
 Is the farm under inspection located N, no further questions require comp 	•	Y
Points of Compliance for Both Farm 2. Has a current farm management ag 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for i 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farms.	n Management Agreements and Statements greement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare inspection? ant farm management area? arm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statem	ed? Y Y Y Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccir 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxindividual farm?	num health standards for the stocks to be intro- nation requirements for stocks held in the area cies of fish which may be stocked into the area imum stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm? a or farm? n in the area or the
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arranger	of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	lability and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	uirements for the sensitivity testing of available arms?	e treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circu used on farms in the area or individual	umstances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	ngements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
area or farm?	umstances when live fish may be introduced o	
or individual farms?	•	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? Jan-21	statement?

Site No: FS1017

Case No: 2021-0053

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0053			Date of visit:	23/06/2021			
Site No:	FS1017	1		Inopostor				
Sile No.	F31017			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	03/11/2021							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0095 Date of Visit 23/06/2021 Site No FS1017 Site Name Fara West

Case No 20210053 Inspectors

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 03/11/21

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2021-0170			Date of visit: 03/06/2021					
Time spent on site:		Main Inspect	or:					
Site No: FS0941 Business No: FB0580	Site Name: Business Name:	BAHL Research Facility Aulthority Scot - HQ Limited	ea					
Case Types: 1 REG 2	3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: B	CoGP MA M-15					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection done on 03/06/21 by supervised by

Site inspected as fallow for inactivation.

No movements have taken place from the site since the last inspection.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_		Date of issue:	12/05/2020	
Case No:	2021-0170		Site No:	FS0941					
Date of Visit:		03/06/2021]		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	isation Deta	nils							
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	details?						N		
Site Details (include	cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		Fallow	Facilities sto	ocked		No facilitie	es inspected		
Species							T 1		
Age group							1		
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt							1 1		
Next Fallow Date (Si	te)	N/A		Next Input Da	te (Site)	N/A			
Recent (last 4 wks) d	*	ems?			Any escapes		visit)?	N/A	
If yes, detail:					, ,	`	,		
Movement Records 1. Movement records 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records compl 4. Are movement records compl 6. Are health certificat Transport Records 1. Are any movemen If yes, is there a system	available for tion: ete and corre ords availabl ete and corre tes for introd	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outwo	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			11/09/2019	N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	vailable for ir	enection?						N/A	
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			14//	
		since last ins	nection		Other (detail)				
3. Mortality records c								N/A	
4. Recent mortality (la	•	correctly error	No observed	d mortality				14// (
5. Evidence of recent	•	typical mortal		amortanty				N/A	
If yes, facility nos/no				//reason:					
ir yes, rasinty riss/ris	mortanty per	radinty/110 oto	ok per radiity	71000011.					
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?									
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?									
If yes, detail action:								N/A	
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	_
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	_
c. 7 no modifico stored appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed diseas	е
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 11/09/2019 - 03/06/2021	

Case No:	2021-0170			Date of visit:	03/06/2021						
Site No:	FS0941			Inspector:							
		Date of Notification									
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Ilnon	Da Phone	end .						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary											
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
REG	29/09/2021										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0580 Date of Visit 03/06/2021

SITE NO FS0941 SITE NAME BAHL Research Facility Aultbea

Case No 20210170 Inspector

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. There are no plans to restock the site at this time therefore the status will be changed to inactive and it will be removed from the list of sites that the business is authorised to operate at. Please contact us if the site is to be restocked in the future or transferred to another business.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHl/charter

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the

Date: 29/09/2021

Signed:

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2021-0186			Date of visit: 17/06/2021					
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Insp	pector:					
Site No: FS0551 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Frandy Dawnfresh Farming Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 MIX	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 9.6	Thermometer No:	T172	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: TA	Water type: F	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed by via Microsoft Teams with present on 16/06/2021. The physical inspection was completed on 17/06/2021 by and and Fish sampled for VMD by observed by ...

Most eggs from Denmark at the moment, from Trout Ex. All eggs sent to St. Mungos before moving to Frandy. All eggs hatched at St. Mungos. Can't get fish in from Denmark at the moment so next import will be from USA if required. Next import expected in Sept 2021.

Mortality from St Mungos taken to ensiler at Frandy. Morts transported in a designated closed bucket and they are transported using a pick-up truck.

A small number of fish on site observed with a loss of equilibrium but were unable to be caught.

System in place on site to measure oxygen in each tank. If oxygen levels drops below a pre-set parameter, the system automatically increases oxygen saturation to the required level.

Each tank has a separate hand net, cleaning brush and bucket of disinfectant.

Staff shared between St. Mungos and Frandy, but site specific PPE is used at each. Equipment is rarely transferred between sites but if it is, a disinfection note will be filled in before transfer.

FHI 059, Version 13			ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0186]	Site No:	FS055	<u>i1</u>			
Date of Visit:		17/06/202	21		Inspector(s)):		1
Registration/Autho			·*	1-41-2				
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	_	checked by	site represer	ntative?			Y N	
2. Changes made to	details:						IN	J
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities		37	Facilities s	stocked	27	No facilitie	es inspected	37
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	T	
Age group	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2021		
No Fish	58,062	56,845	44,688	23,026	18,268	85,542		
Mean Fish Wt	12g	36g	85g	215g	271g	1.6g		
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No plans		Next Input D		Septembe		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Y Any escape	_	•	N
If yes, detail:	RTFS diagn	osed in Mar	ch. Company	y vet used to dia	ignose the fish	with RTFS.	Low level eve	ent, very few
Movement Records 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspec	ls available fo	or inspection	1?				30/04/2019	Y
3. Are records comp		ectly entere	d?				80/04/2010	Y
4. Are movement re		•		e?				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		•		ailable?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen								Y
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	ior maintena	ince of transp	portation record	s?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed c	f?			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	•	correctly er						Y
4. Recent mortality (•			2 fish (0.09%), v	wk 22: 334 fish	า (0.16%), W	k 21: 540 fish	
5. Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no s	tock per facili	ity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring poriod	shookad2					
6. Any other peaks in				-tien Sieh wer	- tractad with	DTEC tractor	d with florosol	and aquatat
If yes, detail:	Treatments		to KIFS lille	ection. Fish were	e treated with i	KIFS liealed	d With Horocal	and aquatet.
7. Have increased (u			neen reported	to vet or FHI?				Y
If yes, detail action:	похрантос,			wnfresh vet and		orenared		
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re							N/A

Treatments and Me	dicines Rece	ords			
1. Recent treatments	(see comme	ent)?			Y
	Ì	Cress (in feed), formalin, florocol,			
If yes, detail:		cress (bath)			
If other, detail:	Table for				V
2. Medicines records		•			V
Are records complete. Are fish in a withdraway.		•			V
 Are fish in a withdr If yes, what treatm 	•		Ores (in food	(hoth)	'
If other, detail:	eni(s):		Cress (in leed	l), florocol, cress (bath)	
6. Are medicines stor	red appropria	atoly?			Y
O. AIC IIICUICIICO SCO.	leu appropria	itery:			
3. Has the manner at increased (unexplain 4. Has the action that is detected been included 5. Has the health status, certification 6. Have the husband transmission of diseator. Is documentation a aquaculture animals	s available for nd frequency nd period in valued) mortality it will be taken uded and how tus of aquaculation if required are been cover available regal held on site?	of mortality removal, record which the APB will notify So y at the site been included? In in the event that the prese w and when that will be no ulture animals being stocked red)? Curity measures implement ered (movement of staff, vi-	ence or suspicion of the control of	site been covered (equal or higher	Y Y Y Y
2. If yes, are results a3. Any significant res	ealth surveilla available for i sults?	ince been carried out by, or inspection? recent disease problems).		he business?	Y Y N
R	Records check	ked between:	30/04/2019 - 1	17/06/2021	

	TI 059, VEISION 15					issued by. Fill							
	Case no:	2021-01	186	Site No:		FS0551			Date of vis Sampling:	it/	17/0	06/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	н		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:4	5:00	14:5	0:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No	o.	1
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
<u> </u>	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	0.2700											
	Sex												
	Water Type	FW											
							- 1						
Details		St Mungos					- 1						
De		Mur					- 1						
Stock	Stock Origin	St					- 1						
Sto	Facility No	21											

Additional Sample Information:														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020		
Case Number:	2021-0186		Site No:	FS0551		Insp:			
Date of Visit	17/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.	p./dest.			
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10			
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0		
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26			
	Number of sup		0			14			
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	I 0	3	6	10	10		
	Number of des		0		6	10	3		
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10				
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0						
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2		
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6				
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8				
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure				
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0		
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0		
and raids of the anddays	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1						
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2						
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4						
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8						
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10						
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1					
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3						
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				5		
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0		
·	Feeding unpas	•	5						
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4				
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0		
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0		
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1						
CoGP/Regulator				_					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0		
code of practice	No		3						
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0		
	No		2						
					Total Rank		22 MEDIUM		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2	021-0186	Site N	p: FS0551	
Sea Lice Inspection (Sea	awater Sites Only)			
•	ed sea lice problems in the previous 4 year	ars?		
2. Is the CoGP Farm Man	agement Area (or equivalent) fallowed s	ynchronously on a sing	le year class basis?	
	ess to a range of licenced in-feed and ba ectin benzoate) as well as access to suit			nd
4. Is there a signed docum Management Area (or equ	nented farm management agreement or suivalent)?	statement relevant to th	ne site and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count reco	rds available for inspection? (Legal SSI,	CoGP Annex 6)		
6. Do records adequately	reflect the required standard specified in	the SSI and the CoGP	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmoni</i> records are inspected? (0	is) record levels below the suggested cri	teria for treatment in th	e CoGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult fem	nale sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per f	ish been at a level of 3	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) of	or
If yes, have these been re	ported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? I	f no, FHI see comment	•	
9. Is C. elongatus infestat	tion at a level which is considered to caus	se significant welfare p	oblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
· ·	tments been administered or other action tment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considere			
11. Has any other action b	peen taken (where applicable)?			
12. Have therapeutic treat	tments or the actions taken had a signific	ant impact upon the lic	e levels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, where	conducted, carried out in cooperation be	etween participating far	ms?	
14. Is there a harvesting s	trategy for the site, where fewer population	ons or part populations	are held without treatment for	
	written lice management procedure with		_	ed
16. Do the sea lice levels	observed on stocks reflect sea lice count	data? If no please det	ail reasons.	
Containment Inspection				
•	ed equipment damage due to predators ir	the current or previous	s production cycles?	N
•	to mitigate against the predation experies	•	•	Y
Site inside	to miligate against the predation expense	iced on site: (Detail be	, iow)	•
If other, detail below:				
ii otilor, dotali bolovi.				
3. Have escape incidents	or events been experienced on or in the	vicinity of the site since	e the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questi	ons 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	•	·	
4. Have these been report	ted to Scottish Ministers?			
5. Have these been report	ted to local DSFB forthwith (where they e	xist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	5.4.17)	
6. Have these been report	ted to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts	forthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any)	used to recover escapees? If yes give de	tail		
9 If all note were deplayed	ed was this action agreed with local wild f	ish interests and was a	ormicsion given by Caettish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP -	- 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	·		
	to prevent and minimise the risk of further	er escapes? (Not cover	ed in code but could	
	atisfactory measures of the Act)			
10. Is the site inspected a	s satisfactory with regards to containmen	t? If no, please detail r	eason(s)	Υ

Ossa Na	2024 0406			D-46-3-34	47/06/2024							
Case No:	2021-0186			Date of Visit	17/06/2021	J						
Site No:	FS0551	l		Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	tion						
•	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
	-											
	+											
	+											
Report Summary			I	1								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
	22/06/2021		2 IIISP									
ECI, CNI, VMD MIX	28/06/2021											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0456Date of Visit17/06/2021Site NoFS0551Site NameFrandy

Case No 20210186 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 22/06/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/