FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2021-0049			Date of visit: 22/06/2021						
Time spent on site:	3Hrs	Main Inspecto	r:						
Site No: FS1331 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Lober Rock (SMH) Scottish Sea Farms Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 11.4	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-3						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Mortality recorded for Wk 20 - 0, due to workboat being unavailable due to being in dry dock and weather.

Paperwork completed remotely by , observed by 18/06/21, Site inspection 22/06/21 by , shadowed by One fish removed for VMD appeared healthy and in very good condition, sampled by .

Due to issue with net washer (awaiting part from Norway), there is increased grow on nets, especially top 2m, it was reported that a net wash company were going to be employed to help stay on top of the growth.

Good visibility at time of inspection, fish were deeper in the water and showed good shoaling behaviour.

Three dead observed across site, one moribund observed, but nearer the middle of the cage.

Case No: 2021-0049 Site No: FS1331 Date of Visit: 22/06/2021 Inspector(s): Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities Species SAL Age group No Fish 328,157 Mean Fish Wt 5.4kg Next Fallow Date (Site) End of Sept 2021 Next Input Date (Site) 17 Nany escapes (since last visit)? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Mortality Records 1. Mortality Records 2. How are mortalities disposed of? 3. Mortality Records 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks) 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 1 yes, setail: WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 1 yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/ino stock per facility/reason: WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 1 yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/ino stock per facility/reason:	FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities Species Species SAL Age group 2019 Q4 No Fish 328,157 Mean Fish Wt Sext Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of fast inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Mortality records available for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality records available for inspection? 26/11/2020 Transport Records 1. Mortality records available for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional for the properties of the control of	Case No:	2021-0049		Site No:	FS1331					
1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities SAL Age group No Fish Mass 128,157 Near Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 5. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Date of Visit:		22/06/2021	l		Inspector(s):				
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities 12	Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils							
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities 12		_	checked by si	te representa	ative?			Υ		
Total No facilities Species Species SAL 2019 Q4 No Fish 328,157 Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Movaments carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality during period checked?	2. Changes made to	details?						Y		
Total No facilities Species Species SAL 2019 Q4 No Fish 328,157 Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Movaments carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality during period checked?	Site Details (includ	e cleaner fisl	h for all secti	ons)						
Species Age group No Fish No Fish Mean Fish Wt 5,4kg Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Similar in Orkney 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Similar in Orkney 1. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional) 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality during period checked?	•				cked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12	
No Fish Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 5 Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	Species	SAL								
Mean Fish Wt S.4kg Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Mortality records available for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 3. Mortality records available for inspection? 3. Mortality records available for inspection? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 5 types, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	Age group	2019 Q4								
Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Movement certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records available for inspection? 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Mortality records complete and correctly entered? Mortality records complete and correctly entered? Mortality records available for inspection? Mor	No Fish	328,157								
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional) 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	Mean Fish Wt	5.4kg								
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	End of Sept ?	2021	Next Input Da	te (Site)	2021 Q4			
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional fyes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/reason:	Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	If yes, detail:									
1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?									
2. How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	_							_		
If other detail: Ensiled in Orkney 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	•		•						Y	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?						Other (detail)				
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): WK 23 - 406 (0.18%), WK 22 - 972 (.42%) WK 21 - 0 (See additional 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?				10					V	
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	•	•	•						Y	
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	• •	•			S (0.18%), WK 2	22 - 972 (.42%	6) WK 21 -	0 (See addition	nal N	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?										
	it yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per tacility	/reason:					
	6. Any other neaks it	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N	
	N/A									
If yes, detail action:										
		ents' been rei	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A	

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: T.M.S.
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 26/11/2021 to 18/06/21

11110	Joe, version 15							133	ucu by.				
Ca	ase no:	2021-00)49	Site No:		FS1331			Date of Samplin		22/	06/2021	
Pr	riority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		ig. HI		1	
	ime sampling arts/ends:	13:3	0:00	14:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:		1	VMD No	o.	6
	nvironmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5		1	
Sı	ummary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
	Fish/Pools - click												
	sh nos	1											
		•											
	ool Group	CAL											
	pecies	SAL											
		5.4 kg											
		N/A											
VV	/ater Type	SW											
	tock Origin	Barcaldine Smolt Unit											
ഗ് I⊢a	acility No	1											

Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2021-0049		Site No:	FS1331	I	Insp:		
Date of Visit	22/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or necluding third country	0	9	18	26	0	
·	Number of sup		0			14	0	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	I 0	3	6	10	10	
	Number of des		0		6	10	3	
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				0	
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0	
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0	
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0	
and raids of the anddays	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0	
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0	
	Processing fish equivalent state	4				0		
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				0	
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				0	
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0	
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0	
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0	
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				0	
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0	
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0	
code of practice	No		3				0	
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0	
	No		2				0	
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0049		Site No:	FS1331	
Sea Lice Inspection (Se	eawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experience	ed sea lice problems in the previous	s 4 years?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Mar	nagement Area (or equivalent) fallo	wed synchronously o	n a single y	rear class basis?	N
	cess to a range of licenced in-feed a nectin benzoate) as well as access				Υ
4. Is there a signed docu Management Area (or eq	mented farm management agreeme	ent or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count reco	ords available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequately	reflect the required standard specif	fied in the SSI and th	e CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmoi</i> records are inspected? (nis) record levels below the suggest (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatme	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult fe	male sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers	s per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been r	eported to the Fish Health Inspector	ate? If no, FHI see o	omment.		N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infesta	ation at a level which is considered t	o cause significant w	elfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
•	atments been administered or other atment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cor				N/A
11. Has any other action	been taken (where applicable)?				N/A
12. Have therapeutic trea	atments or the actions taken had a s	ignificant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?	N/A
13. Are treatments, when	e conducted, carried out in coopera	tion between participa	ating farms?	?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting	strategy for the site, where fewer po	pulations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatment for	Υ
15. Is there a site specific	c written lice management procedur	e with waypoints des	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels	observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no ple	ease detail r	easons.	Υ
Containment Inspection	n				
•	ed equipment damage due to preda	tors in the current or	previous pr	oduction cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place	to mitigate against the predation ex	perienced on site? (I	Detail below	·)	Υ
Top nets, seal pro nets	s, tensioned nets.				
If other, detail below:					
2. Have essent incident	s or events been experienced on or	in the visinity of the	oito oinoo th	a last EUI inspection?	N
•	tions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	•	site since th	e last FHI Ilispection?	N
	rted to Scottish Ministers?				
•	rted to local DSFB forthwith (where	they exist)2 (CoGP -	. 1 1 37 5 /	1 17)	
	rted to the SSPO and local fisheries			·	
o. Have these seem repo		addio for any and (who	ire they exic	4.4.57, 6.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any)	used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail			
8. If all note were deploy	ed was this action agreed with local	wild fish interests on	d was norm	pission diven by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP		wild listi litterests an	iu was perii	iission given by Scottish	
	n to prevent and minimise the risk of		ot covered i	n code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the Act)				
10. Is the site inspected	as satisfactory with regards to conta	inment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0049	Site No: FS1331	
Date of Visit: 22/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a form management area?	N.
If N, no further questions require comple	· ·	Ÿ
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for instance Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	ement m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? Jan-21	statement?

Site No: FS1331

Case No: 2021-0049

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0049			Date of visit:	22/06/2021]		
Site No:	FS1331	1		Inspector:				
Site IVO.	1 0 1001			mspecior.				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	13/12/2021							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 22/06/2021

SITE No FS1331 SITE NAME Lober Rock (SMH)

Case No 20210049 Inspectors

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 13/12/21

FHI 059, Version 13	I	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2021-0050			Date of visit: 22/06/2021						
Time spent on site:	3 hrs	Main Ir	nspector:						
Site No: FS1337	Site Name:	Hunda							
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 11.6	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-3						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		al information/clinical score sheet.						
Clinical signs of disease observ	red?	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
Diagnostic samples taken?		N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed remotely by , observed by 18/06/21, Site inspection 22/06/21 by , shadowed by One fish removed for VMD sampling. Fish appeared healthy and in very good condition, sampled by .

Site has experienced low level sea lice numbers and currently undergoing third SLICE treatment of cycle, no sea lice observed on fish sampled for VMD. Very good visibility at time of inspection and population showed good shoaling behaviour All new equipment on site for start of cycle last year, site very well maintained. Site staff reported a higher than normal level of growth on nets, due to net washer currently awaiting a part from Norway. A net wash company will be employed until part arrives. Site staff also reported that the top 2m of the net were worst for growth, due to very good visibility at the time of inspection the nets could be observed deeper in the water with much less growth.

The site may receive stock directly for next cycle rather than a split of fish from another site.

Good biosecurity on site, with foot mat used between pens and disinfectant sprayer to spray down oil skins and boots between sites.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI						Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2021-0050		Site No:	FS1337					
Date of Visit:		22/06/2021	1		Inspector(s):			1	
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						_	
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by si	ite representa	tive?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	details?						Y		
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)						
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12	
Species	A.sal								
Age group	2020 Q3								
No Fish	442,721								
Mean Fish Wt	2.9kg								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Jan 2022		Next Input Da	te (Site)	July 2022			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:									
2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?									
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y	
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)				
	Ensiled in O								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y	
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		wk 23 202 (0	.05%, wk 22 1	11 (0.03), wk	21 42 (0.01), wk 20 (0.03	3)	
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?									
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:			· ·		
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N	
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action:								N/A	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.									

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S., If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease.	ase
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 26/11/20 to 18/06/21	

FILI 039, VEISION 13				issued by.	1111	
Case no:	2021-0050	Site No:	FS1337	Date of Samplii		6/2021
Priority samples:	VI	ВА	РА	MG	HI HI	
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:00:00	13:30:00	Inspec	etor:	VMD No.	. 6
Environmental condition	ons: 1 Indoo	rs 2	3	4	5	
Summary samples	HIST	ВА	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples
Add Fish/Pools - click						
Pool/Fish No						
Fish nos	1					
Pool Group						
Species	SAL					
Average weight	2.9 Kg					
Sex	N/A					
Water Type	SW					
Stock Origin Facility No	Westerbister					
ಕ್ರ Facility No	9					

Additional Sample Information:														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020			
Case Number:	2021-0050		Site No:	FS1337		Insp:		
Date of Visit	22/06/2021		No of m	No of movements/supp./dest.				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	0	
	Number of sup		0		10	14	0	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10	
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3	
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				0	
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0	
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0	
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0	
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0	
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			0	
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				0	
	_	r from Category III farm	8				0	
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0	
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			0	
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0	
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0	
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0	
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0	
CoGP/Regulator	ls c			,				
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0	
code of practice	No		3				0	
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0	
	No		2	1			0	
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0050		Site No:	FS1337	
Sea Lice Inspection (S	eawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experience	ced sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Ma	nagement Area (or equivalent) fallov	ved synchronously o	n a single y	ear class basis?	N
	cess to a range of licenced in-feed an mectin benzoate) as well as access t				Y
4. Is there a signed docu Management Area (or e	umented farm management agreeme quivalent)?	nt or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count red	ords available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequatel	y reflect the required standard specifi	ed in the SSI and the	e CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmo</i> records are inspected?	nis) record levels below the suggeste (CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatme	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult fe	emale sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers	per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspector	ate? If no, FHI see co	omment.		N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infest	ation at a level which is considered to	cause significant w	elfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
· ·	atments been administered or other a atment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con				N/A
11. Has any other action	been taken (where applicable)?				N/A
12. Have therapeutic tre	atments or the actions taken had a si	gnificant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, whe	re conducted, carried out in cooperati	ion between participa	ating farms	?	Υ
14. Is there a harvesting	strategy for the site, where fewer pop	pulations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatment for	Υ
15. Is there a site specif	ic written lice management procedure	with waypoints des	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice level	s observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no ple	ase detail r	easons.	Y
Containment Inconsti	_				
Containment Inspection		ero in the current or	provious pr	roduction evolue?	N
•	ced equipment damage due to predat			•	N V
	e to mitigate against the predation ex	perienced on site? (L	Jetali below	<u>') </u>	Y
Top nets, Seal Pro ne If other, detail below:	ts, sinker tubes				
il otilei, detail below.					
Have escape inciden	ts or events been experienced on or i	in the vicinity of the s	site since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
•	stions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10				
	orted to Scottish Ministers?				
•	orted to local DSFB forthwith (where t	hev exist)? (CoGP –	4.4.37. 5.4	4.17)	
•	orted to the SSPO and local fisheries				
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail			
8 If all nets were deploy	yed was this action agreed with local	wild fish interests an	d was perm	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGF	9 – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)				
	n to prevent and minimise the risk of	turther escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the Act)				N/
10. Is the site inspected	as satisfactory with regards to contai	nment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0050	Site No: FS1337	
Date of Visit: 22/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	within a farm management area?	V.
 Is the farm under inspection located v If N, no further questions require complete 	_	Y
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for instance4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimul farm?	ement m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the areas of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farn	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	ements for the sensitivity testing of available ms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ne, or cease to be,	
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? Jan-21	statement?

Site No: FS1337

Case No: 2021-0050

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0050			Date of visit:	22/06/2021			
C''. N	E04007							
Site No:	FS1337	J		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results cultillary	rreq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
						J		2 11195
	 							
	 							
Report Summary]				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	03/11/221							
	1							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 DATE OF VISIT 22/06/2021
SITE NO FS1337 SITE NAME Hunda

CASE NO 20210050 INSPECTORS

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 03/11/21

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020							
Case No: 2021-0054			Date of visit: 23/06/2021							
Time spent on site:	3 hrs	Main In:	spector:							
Site No: FS0054	Site Name:	Lyrawa Bay								
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Scot	land Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6							
Water Temp (°C): 11.2	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y							
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-3							
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving			al information/clinical score sheet.							
Clinical signs of disease observ	/ed?		al information/clinical score sheet.							
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additiona	al information/clinical score sheet.							
Diagnostic samples taken?		N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed remotely by shadowed by 18/06/21 Site inspection by and and (supervised by 23/06/21

VMD sampling by

8 fish removed for VMD sampling, all appeared healthy and in very good condition. Flesh colour varied between different pens, which surprised the site manager.

No issues reported or observed on site, site is a nursery site for Chalmers Hope.

Site thermometer used due to issue with FHI thermometer display

It was reported that Chalmers Hope is going to be moved further from the shore to a slightly more exposed location this cycle. No sea lice observed on any fish.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2021-0054		Site No:	FS0054					
Date of Visit:		23/06/2021]		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	iils				_			
		checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	details?					L	Υ		
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		8		cked	8	No facilities	inspected	3	
Species	SAL								
Age group	2020 S0								
No Fish	270,000								
Mean Fish Wt	1.1Kg								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Jul 2021		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Sept 2021			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	isit)?	N	
If yes, detail:									
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?									
Mortality Records									
Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities Species Species SAL Q2020 S0 No Fish Wean Fish Wt 1.1Kg Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? Control of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality records 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Mortality records complete and correctly entered? Other (detail) Felagia - Bressay 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?			Y						
					Other (detail)				
Case No: 2021-0054 Site No: FS0054 Date of Visit: 23/06/2021 Inspector(s): Registration/Authorisation Details Business/site details summary checked by site representative? Changes made to details? Lite Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Otal No facilities Sale Facilities stocked Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale									
•	•	correctly enti		VII. 00 00 (0.00	20/ \ \\\\\\	470 (0.000()	WI: 00 044	(0.000/	
• ,	•	turical montal		VK 22 - 83 (U.U.	3%), VVK 21 -	173 (0.06%),	, WK 20 - 211		
		••		/roscon:			L	IN	
ir yes, racility nos/no	mortality per	Tacility/110 Sto	ock per racility	rieason.					
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N	
•		9							
	inexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
•									
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y	

Treatments and Medicines Records	V
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	V
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	,
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
	Y
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	L '
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Passada ahaskad batwasan April 2010 to 19/06/21	
Records checked between: April 2019 to 18/06/21	

FHI 059, Version 13							issued by: FHI						
	Case no:	2021-00)54	Site No:		FS0054			Date of v		23/0	06/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	J. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:		0:00 Dry		0:00 Cloudy] 3	Inspectors Sunny		Calm	5 l	VMD No). 	17
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI	Sum I	PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Ш	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	1.1Kg	1.1kg	1.1kg	1.1kg								
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Ardtaraig Hatchery	Ardtaraig Hatchery	Ardtaraig Hatchery	I Ardtaraig Hatchery								
S	Facility No	4	5	6	7								

	Additional Sample Information:												
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0054		Site No: FS0054 Insp:			Insp:	
Date of Visit	23/06/2021		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS			5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	I 0	3	6	10	3
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0	1			0
and raids of the anddays	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			0
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8	1			0
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				0
					Total Rank		15 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0054		Site No:	FS0054	
Sea Lice Inspection (Se	eawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experience	ced sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?			N
· ·	inagement Area (or equivalent) fallov	•	n a single y	ear class basis?	N
3. Does the site have ac	cess to a range of licenced in-feed ar mectin benzoate) as well as access to	nd bath sea lice med	ications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Υ
4. Is there a signed docu Management Area (or ed	umented farm management agreement quivalent)?	nt or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count rec	ords available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6))		Υ
	y reflect the required standard specifi			egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmo</i> records are inspected?	nis) record levels below the suggeste (CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatme	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult fe	emale sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers	per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
_	reported to the Fish Health Inspector	•		,	N/A
	ation at a level which is considered to			ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic trea	atments been administered or other a atment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cons	actions taken when L	salmonis	levels have exceeded the	N/A
11. Has any other action	been taken (where applicable)?				N/A
	atments or the actions taken had a si	gnificant impact upor	n the lice le	vels recorded?	N/A
•	re conducted, carried out in cooperati	•			N/A
	strategy for the site, where fewer por		_		Υ
_	ic written lice management procedure				Υ
•	s observed on stocks reflect sea lice		_	_	Υ
Containment Inspectio	n				
•	·· ced equipment damage due to predat	ors in the current or	previous pr	roduction cycles?	N
	e to mitigate against the predation exp			•	Y
Top Nets, Seal Pro ne		perienced on site: (L	Jetail Below	·)	
If other, detail below:	is, terisioned fiets				
if other, detail below.					
Have escape incident	ts or events been experienced on or i	n the vicinity of the s	ite since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
•	stions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	•		•	
	orted to Scottish Ministers?				
· ·	orted to local DSFB forthwith (where the	hev exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37. 5.4	1 .17)	
•	orted to the SSPO and local fisheries	• ' '		•	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail			
7. Were methode (if diff)	, acca to receive escapees. If yes gi	vo dotali			
8. If gill nets were deploy Ministers? (Legal, CoGP	yed was this action agreed with local $(2-4.4.38, 5.4.18)$	wild fish interests an	d was perm	nission given by Scottish	
9. What action was take	n to prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the Act)				
	as satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no. please	detail reas	on(s)	Y
The same same same same same same same sam				(-)	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0054	Site No: FS0054	
Date of Visit: 23/06/20	021 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
 Is the farm under inspection located N, no further questions require con 	_	Y
ii iv, no farmer questions require con	npietion.	
2. Has a current farm management a3. Is the current FMAg/S available fo4. Does the FMAg/S identify the rele5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? e of commencement of the agreement or stater	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Man 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minifarm?	nagement imum health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spe	cination requirements for stocks held in the are ecies of fish which may be stocked into the are aximum stocking density of any pen on any farn	a or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arr fish farm in the area or the individua	rangements for the storage and disposal of any al farm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrang	nt of Sea Lice gements for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ailability and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any relice on farms in the area or individual	quirements for the sensitivity testing of available I farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individu	cumstances under which biological controls and lal farms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	rangements for synchronous treatments on farr	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circ area or farm?	cumstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arr or individual farms?	rangements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? Jan-21	statement?

Site No: FS0054

Case No: 2021-0054

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0054			Data of visit:	23/06/2021				
Case No.	2021-0054			Date of visit.	23/00/2021	ı			
Site No:	FS0054	l		Inspector:		I			
Results Summary		Date of Notification							
	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
	+								
	-								
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	13/12/2021								
	+								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0095 Date of Visit 23/06/2021
Site No FS0054 Site Name Lyrawa Bay
Case No 20210054 Inspectors

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any



queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 13/12/21

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/