FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2021-0165			Date of visit: 01/06/2021						
Time spent on site:	3.5h	Main I	nspector:						
Site No: FS0549 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Tanera Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	d						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 9.8	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N/A						
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-10						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Slice treatment started wk 20.

Remote inspection done on 28/05/21 by supervised by superv

No issues on site. Fish looked healthy when sampled by for VMD (observed by).

FHI 059, Version 13		lss	sued by: FHI		ı	Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No: 2	021-0165	Site No:	FS0549					
Date of Visit:	0	1/06/2021		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Authoris	sation Details							
1. Business/site details	s summary che	ecked by site represer	itative?			Y		
2. Changes made to d	letails?					Υ		
Site Details (include	cleaner fish fo	or all sections)						
Total No facilities	12		tocked	12	No facilities	inspected	12	
Species	SAL					·		
Age group 2	021S1							
No Fish	26,198							
Mean Fish Wt 2	.60g							
Next Fallow Date (Site	•	tober 2022	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	April 2023			
Recent (last 4 wks) dis	*	s?	_	Any escapes		sit)?	N	
If yes, detail:	<u> </u>		_		<u> </u>	,		
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records								
Mortality records av		ection?					Y	
2. How are mortalities	disposed of?			Incinerated -	on site			
If other detail:		11 (10					V	
3. Mortality records co	•						Y	
4. Recent mortality (la	,		4 - wk 18 - 1,580	(0.5%) wk 19	351 (0.1%) v	wk 20 - 106 (
5. Evidence of recent i	••						N	
If yes, facility nos/no m	nortality per fac	ility/no stock per facili	ty/reason:					
6. Any other peaks in i	mortality during	neriod checked?					Y	
		6 914 fish at 8kg plan	kton insult					
							N/A	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? N/A If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ever	nts' been repor	ted to FHI? If no, ente	r details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S.,	
If yes, detail: Slice	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	1
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	1
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	V
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Gill swabs sent to FVG for AGD detections.	
in yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	don, results
Records checked between: 06/11/2019 - 28/5/2021	

	11 009, Version 13					issued by. Frii						
	Case no:	2021-01	165	Site No:		FS0549			Date of visit/ Sampling:	01/	/06/2021	01/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		мб	Sampling.	н]	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	17:0	0:00	17:2	0:00		Inspecto	or:		VMD N	lo.	9
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2		3		4		5)	
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA	Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click											
	Pool/Fish No											
	Fish nos											
	Pool Group											
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	260g	260g	260g								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details		Barcaldine FS1328	ω Barcaldine FS1328	L Knock F S0254								
S	I acility INO		3	11								

06/2021	06/2021 Additional Sample Information:												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0165		Site No:	FS0549	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or necluding third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0		10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	I 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raice of the anecave	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent state	4					
	Processing fish	8					
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		17 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No: 202	21-0165		Site No:	FS0549	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seaw	ater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experienced	sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Manag	ement Area (or equivalent) fallow	ved synchronously o	n a single y	ear class basis?	Υ
3. Does the site have access	s to a range of licenced in-feed ar tin benzoate) as well as access to	nd bath sea lice med	ications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Υ
4. Is there a signed documer Management Area (or equiva	nted farm management agreemen alent)?	nt or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count records	s available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6))		Υ
	flect the required standard specifi			egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) records are inspected? (Co	record levels below the suggeste GP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatme	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult femal	le sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers	per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been repo	orted to the Fish Health Inspectors	ate? If no, FHI see co	omment.		N/A
	n at a level which is considered to			ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
•	ents been administered or other a ent or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con-				Υ
11. Has any other action bee	en taken (where applicable)?				N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatme	ents or the actions taken had a si	gnificant impact upor	n the lice le	vels recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, where co	onducted, carried out in cooperati	on between participa	ating farms	?	Υ
14. Is there a harvesting stra	ategy for the site, where fewer por	oulations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatment for	Υ
15. Is there a site specific wr	ritten lice management procedure	with waypoints desc	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels ob	served on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no ple	ase detail r	easons.	Υ
Containment Inspection					
•	equipment damage due to predat	ors in the current or	previous pr	roduction cycles?	N
· ·	mitigate against the predation exp			•	Y
Top nets, seal pro nets, te		perienced on site: (L	Jetali Delow	,	•
If other, detail below:	FISION NEtS				
ii outor, detail pelow.					
3. Have escape incidents or	r events been experienced on or i	n the vicinity of the s	ite since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with question	is 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10			· ·	
4. Have these been reported	to Scottish Ministers?				
	to local DSFB forthwith (where the	hey exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	
•	d to the SSPO and local fisheries	• ' '		•	
7. Were methods (if any) use	ed to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail			
 If gill nets were deployed v Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4 	was this action agreed with local vi.4.38, 5.4.18)	wild fish interests and	d was perm	nission given by Scottish	
9. What action was taken to	prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could	
be considered under satis	sfactory measures of the Act)				
10. Is the site inspected as s	satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0165	Site No: FS0549	
Date of Visit: 01/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	v
If N, no further questions require comple		
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement im health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirelice on farms in the area or individual fa	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumused on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumarea or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 01/05/2020 review 20	

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0165			Date of visit:	01/06/2021			
6'' N	E00540							
Site No:	FS0549			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results cultillary	r req.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
						J		2 11135
Panart Cumman				1				
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	21/06/2021	шэр	2 IIISP					
Case complete	21/06/2021 28/07/2021							
·								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 01/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0549
 Site Name
 Tanera

 Case No
 20210165
 Inspector

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 21/07/2021. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/07/2021





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 01/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0549
 Site Name
 Tanera

 Case No
 20210165
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4 A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and containment and escapes.

The farm management agreement/statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 21/07/2021 Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-

Date: 21/06/2021

charter/

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to Scottish Sea Farms Ltd as signatories to the farm management agreement for area M-10.

(1) Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2021-0166			Date of visit: 01/06/2021						
Time spent on site:	.5h	Main Inspec	ctor:						
Site No: FS0858 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Fada Scottish Sea Farms Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 10.2	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N/A						
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-10						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection done on 28/05/21 by supervised by Physical inspection done on 01/06/2021 by supervised by superv

Wrasse brought over from Ireland during last cycle.

Mortality event observed in week 16 2019 - 1,530 fish (1.1%) at 2.9kg post treatment, not reported to Marine Scotland.

No issues on site. Fish looked healthy when sampled by for VMD (observed by

FHI 059, Version 13	3	_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0166		Site No:	FS0858				
Date of Visit:		01/06/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	o details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	6
Species	SAL							
Age group	2021S1							
No Fish	149,035							
Mean Fish Wt	200g							
Next Fallow Date (S		October 202	2	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	April 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:						(
2. Date of last inspects. 3. Are records completed. Are movement responsible to the following statements. 5. Are records completed. Are health certified. Transport Records. 1. Are any movements of the following statements.	plete and correctords availabed plete and corrected for introductions.	le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	th and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			21/11/2017	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records	:							V
 Mortality records How are mortaliti 		•			Incinerated -	on eito		T
If other detail:	es disposed o	1 ?			incinerated -	on site		
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ared?					
4. Recent mortality	•	Correctly erit		17k 10 1 2	E2 (0 00/) v.dc	10 F26 (0	40/ \\ 20 4	00 (0 40/)
Recent mortality Evidence of rece	•	tunical martal		17. wk 18 - 1,3	52 (U.9%) WK	19 - 536 (0.	4%) WK ZU - 1	90 (0.1%) N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		dreason:				- IN
ii yes, lacility nos/no	o mortality per	raciiity/110 Sto	ock per racility	ricason.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:	wk 16 2019	- 1,530 (1.1%) at 2.9kg - po	ost treatment m	nortality			
7. Have increased (N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	neet.		N

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S.,	
If yes, detail: Slice	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Ť
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Ť
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	V
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Gill swabs sent to FVG for AGD detections.	• •
oil swabs sent to 7 vo 101 AOD detect	tion, results
Records checked between: 21/11/2017 - 28/05/2021	

	ni 059, version 15					issueu by. Fili							
	Case no:	2021-01	166	Site No:		FS0858			Date of vis Sampling:		01/0	06/2021	01/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	н			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	17:2	0:00	17:4	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos												
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	200g	200g	200g									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details		Barcaldine FS1328	Barcaldine FS1328	ယ Barcaldine FS1328									
S	l acility NO	1	4	J									

06/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0166		Site No:	FS0858		Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m	povements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
Movemente on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	. 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			
	No		2	1			
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 20	21-0166		Site No:	FS0858	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seav	water Sites Only)				
•	d sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?			N
·	Igement Area (or equivalent) fallow	•	n a single y	ear class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access	ss to a range of licenced in-feed ar ctin benzoate) as well as access to	nd bath sea lice med	ications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Υ
4. Is there a signed docume Management Area (or equiv	ented farm management agreemer valent)?	nt or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count record	ds available for inspection? (Legal	SSI. CoGP Annex 6)			Υ
	eflect the required standard specific			egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i> records are inspected? (Co	s) record levels below the suggeste oGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult fema	ale sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers	per fish been at a le	vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
_	ported to the Fish Health Inspectors	•			N/A
	on at a level which is considered to				N
10. Have therapeutic treatm	ments been administered or other a ment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cons	ctions taken when L	salmonis	levels have exceeded the	Υ
11. Has any other action be	een taken (where applicable)?				N/A
	ments or the actions taken had a sig	gnificant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?	Y
•	conducted, carried out in cooperati	• •			Υ
	rategy for the site, where fewer por		_		Υ
_	written lice management procedure				Y
	observed on stocks reflect sea lice		_	_	Y
Containment Inspection					
· ·	d equipment damage due to predat	ore in the current or	provioue pr	adjustion sycles?	N
	o mitigate against the predation exp		•	•	V
•		Deficition of Site? (L	Jetali below	')	1
Top nets, seal pro nets, t If other, detail below:	tension nets				
ii otilei, detaii below.					
Have escape incidents of	or events been experienced on or i	n the vicinity of the s	ite since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
·	ons 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10				
4. Have these been reporte					
	ed to local DSFB forthwith (where the	hev exist)? (CoGP -	4437 54	l 17)	
· ·	ed to the SSPO and local fisheries	• ' '		•	
o. Have those been reported		arabic foranii (iii)	io inoj ozno	1,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,	
7. Were methods (if any) us	sed to recover escapees? If yes given	ve detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP –	d was this action agreed with local v 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	wild fish interests an	d was perm	ission given by Scottish	
9. What action was taken to	o prevent and minimise the risk of t	further escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could	
be considered under sat	tisfactory measures of the Act)				
	satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0166	Site No: FS0858	
Date of Visit: 01/06/20	021 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection locate	ted within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require cor	mpletion.	
2. Has a current farm management3. Is the current FMAg/S available for4. Does the FMAg/S identify the rele5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish	evant farm management area? n farm site(s) to which it applies? e of commencement of the agreement or sta	pared? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Mar 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the min farm?	nagement nimum health standards for the stocks to be i	introduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the sp	ecination requirements for stocks held in the becies of fish which may be stocked into the aximum stocking density of any pen on any f	area or farm?
	rangements for the storage and disposal of a al farm?	any dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Manageme 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrang	ent of Sea Lice gements for the sharing of data on sea lice n	numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the av of statement?	vailability and the use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any relice on farms in the area or individual	equirements for the sensitivity testing of avail al farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the cirused on farms in the area or individu	rcumstances under which biological controls	and cleaner fish are to be
	rangements for synchronous treatments on	farms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the cir area or farm?	rcumstances when live fish may be introduce	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arror individual farms?	rangements for the movement of live fish on	and off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or in	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be faed? or more year classes may be stocked onto	o sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managen 24. Does the farm management agreemer parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to bec	come, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 01/05/2020 review	

Case No: 2021-0166 Site No: FS0858 Date of visit: 01/06/2021

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
15/04/19	21/04/2019	<750g	2.9kg	SAL	18S1	Weekly	1.10	Explained	Treatment

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	1530		Mortality data observed during inspection. No further action.	2018

Case No:	2021-0166			Date of visit: 01/06/2021							
Site No:	FS0858	1		Inspector:		ı					
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	1										
	_										
	 										
	1										
	1										
	 										
	 										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	21/06/2021		2 11100								
Case complete	28/07/2021										
	+										
	 										
	+										





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 01/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0858
 Site Name
 Fada

 Case No
 20210166
 Inspector

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 21/07/2021. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 28/07/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 01/06/2021 Site No FS0858 Site Name Fada

Case No 20210166 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and containment and escapes.

The farm management agreement/statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 21/07/2021. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 21/06/2021

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to Scottish Sea Farms Ltd as signatories to the farm management agreement for area M-10.

(1) Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020							
Case No: 2021-0188			Date of visit: 16/06/2021							
Time spent on site:	1.5hrs	Main Inspect	tor:							
Site No: SS0937 Business No: SB0559	Site Name: Business Name:	Sighthill Campus Edinburgh Napier University								
Case Types: 1 QUA	2 3	4 5	6							
Water Temp (°C): N/A	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed							
Observations:	Region: LO	Water type: S	CoGP MA:							
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.										
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:										

Additional Case Information:

Containment inspection to determine if acceptable biosecurity measures are in place to eliminate the risk of pathogens escaping into the natural environment.

Site plans to receive Pacific oysters from Guernsey Sea Farms for an ecotoxicology study. Upon reciept of the oysters, they will be unpacked within the aqualab (room 0.B.18a (the room itself is a large cold room)) within the main lab (room 0.B.18) and the intention is to shuck the oysters and remove gametes immediatly (no re-imersion). The main lab (room 0.B.18) is locked and only specific personnel have access. Use of the room is also logged in an outlook calander.

However, if for any reason the animals are required to be re-immersed, they will be placed into completely independant RAS tanks with dedicated canister filter, UV filter and ozone unit. The site will either use artificial seawater made on site, or natural seawater collected from the St Abbs marine station or North Berwick. If natural seawater is to be used, it is stored in a large water storage unit for a minimum of 2 weeks before use in the tanks. It is also mechanically filtered and run through UV before use.

Any waste water will be stored in a bin and disinfected with 1% Virkon for a minimum of 24hrs prior to discharge into mains sewage. Any particulate matter removed from the tanks and the filter, and all animal waste/mortalities will be double bagged, the exterior of which will be disinfected with 1% Virkon and stored in a freezer within a lockable room until capacity has been reached. At which point, this waste will be disposed of using the approved university route for clinical waste and taken for incineration. It was recommended that a lockable freezer be acquired to further restrict access to clinical waste.

The Aqualab does not have a bunded floor, and although there is no mains drain within this room, there is a floor drain in the main lab and so there is potential for water to escape from the Aqualab through the access door and enter mains sewage. It was recommended that the floor within the aqualab be bunded to avoid any accidental escape of pathogens into the main drain or alternatively, a bunded workfloor could be placed under the tank units and any areas where there may be the potential for water to escape.

Evidence of the recommendations to be submitted following implementation.

UPDATE 30/07/2021 - Site no longer wishes to receive Pacific oysters without a health certificate. Site is no longer intending to use Pacific oysters going forward so recommendations have not been implemented as no longer required. Site has been informed that if this information changes in the future, they are to inform the FHI and a subsequent containment inspection will be arranged.

Case No:	2021-0188			Date of visit:	16/06/2021			
Site No:	SS0937	1		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Da Phone	te of Notifica Insp	tion Writing	Insp	and I
		Dalabase	шър	FIIONE	шър	vviitiiig	шър	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
QUA	30/07/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0559
DATE OF VISIT 16/06/2021
SITE NO SS0937
SITE NAME Sighthill Campus
INSPECTOR

The above site was inspected following notification from the business that they intended to import Pacific oysters from Guernsey Sea Farms for an ecotoxicology study, without an accompanying health certificate. The purpose of the inspection was to determine if acceptable biosecurity measures were in place to eliminate the risk of pathogens escaping into the natural environment.

Following the inspection, it was determined that the site was not classed as a 'closed facility' and that there was a risk that pathogens could escape into the natural environment. As such, recommendations were made to increase biosecurity at the site to eliminate this risk and thus enable the site to import Pacific oysters without a health certificate.

The FHI were notified on 21/07/2021 that the business no longer wishes to proceed with the importation of Pacific oysters without a health certificate and have no plans to stock oysters at all going forward. Therefore, the recommendations that were made following the inspection no longer need to be implemented.

If this information is to change in the future, the business has been informed that they will need to contact the FHI before any animals are imported onto the site, so that a further inspection can be arranged.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 30/07/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHl/charter

Signed:

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0192			Date of visit: 16/06/2021				
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Main Ins	spector:				
Site No: FS1267 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Gometra The Scottish Salmon Co	mpany				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 10.2	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-37				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Grumbling mortality wks 37 (2020) - week 16(2021) attributed to background PD - low mortality.

wk 48 2019- Percentage mortality slightly higher in company records than what was reported to FHI - 1.32% - Site manager explained that extra fish were found at end of cycle and that's why number has changed in fish talk.

Issues with black loss (less fish retrieved at end of cycle or grade than expected) at end of cycle with cleaner fish. Site manager suspects that mortality is not being identified where cleaner fish stick to sides of pen and don't end up in mort basket before rotting away. Site manager mentioned that efforts are being made to reduce black loss. Site are trialling new and different hide set ups and increasing the amount of hide available to Cleanerfish. This will help provide sanctuary during rough weather as well as reduce stress.

Cleanerfish mortality (last 4 weeks)

Week 19 - Wrasse - 8 - Lumpsucker - 11

Week 20 - Wrasse - 6 - Lumpsucker - 10

week 21 - Wrasse -2 Lumpsucker 2

Week 22 - Wrasse - 9 - Lumpsucker -6

Cleanerfish mortality picked up during regular mortality removal is generally low, however there is issue with black loss during grades and harvests. (see details below)

Wrasse Black loss recorded as mortality - Picked up during grading in week 16 2021 - 10995 mixed species and 8807 Ballan

Lumpsucker Black loss from movements off site - week 35 9770 and week 36 3398

2020 weeks 13, 17 and 18 - 4146, 4464 and 2365 - Lumpsucker Black loss from end of cycle harvesting.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0192]	Site No:	FS1267				
Date of Visit:		16/06/202	1		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	sh for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	o 0.00	16	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilitie	s inspected	16
	SAL	LUM	Mix wrasse	1				
Species	5	(farmed)	(wild)					
Age group	20S0's	mix	Mix					
No Fish	346,794	30,000	7,121					
Mean Fish Wt	2002g	Mix	mixed					
Next Fallow Date (Si		March 2021		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	June 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	•	D issues - low	mortality.	•	,	,	,	
 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement rec 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certification Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a syst 	cords availab lete and corr ates for intro nts carried ou	ole for dead firectly entered ductions (out	sh and waste? ? with GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? siness (not usi				Y Y N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalities If other detail:	es disposed o	of?			Whole fish -	Dundas Che	emicals	
3. Mortality records of	complete and	d correctly en	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		wk22 - (124-	0.04%) wk21(4	457-0.13%) wl	k20(442-0.1	3%) wk19(45	5-0.13%)
5. Evidence of recen	t increased/a	atypical morta	dities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no st	ock per facility/	/reason:				
	(126 1	<u> </u>						V
6. Any other peaks in	•	• •						Y
If yes, detail:		ssues at end						N1/A
7. Have increased (u	inexpiained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	ontol boon	ported to EU	12 If no onto	dotoile en mart	ality avanta al	not.		V

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S.,	
If yes, detail: Slice	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Slice TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 02/04/2019-16/06/2021	

	ii 000, version 10							100	ueu by. i				
	Case no:	2021-01	192	Site No:		FS1267			Date of v		16/0	06/2021	16/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:0	00:00	15:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	9
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group		_										
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	2kg	2kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Kinlochmoidart FS0146	Kinlochmoidart FS0146										
S	Facility No	9	10										

06/2021	06/2021 Additional Sample Information:												
	All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.												
					-	1							
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0192		Site No:	FS1267		Insp:	
Date of Visit	16/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		0	40	00	0
species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26	0
							0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0				10
	Number of des	tinations Site contacts	0			10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	5 0 T	1-3 	6-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I		_			
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	:				
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Llag of uppostourized foods	No fooding of u	Inpasteurised feed	<u> </u>	J 1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Discounity	I eeding unpas	Number of sites] 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	1	1			1
Comacio mai caroi cito		taff and equipment	0		2		1
		tan and equipment	0	<u>'</u>			
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				4			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry	No		3				
code of practice				J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0192	Site No:	FS1267
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	Y
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	vear class basis?
-	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	
· ·	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
Management Area (or equivalent)?		
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels believed are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr.	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicated.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	Y Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail i	reasons. Y
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Tops nets Weighted nets	ADD's	,
If other, detail below:	NDD 3	
,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	es of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS1267	Date of 133de. 12/00/2020
2021 0102	101207	
Date of Visit: 16/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm M	Management Agreements and Statement	·s
-	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	spection?	Υ
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm		Y V
 Does the FMAg/S identify the date of a control of the control of the	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
7. Does the 1 wing, a lacinity the date of	Toview.	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimur farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
•	tion requirements for stocks held in the are	
	es of fish which may be stocked into the are	
individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any farn	
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual far	gements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management o		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
	rements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual far		
	nstances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments on farr	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s	ites covered by the
		ne, or cease to be,
	Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms? Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest when a farm or area may be restocked? Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the element or statement? Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site element by the agreement or statement? Int of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, less to the agreement?	statement? Y

Site No: FS1267

Case No: 2021-0192

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0192			Date of visit:	16/06/2021			
Site No:	FS1267]		Inspector:		J		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
Donort Cureman			1					
Report Summary	Date	Inon	ond Language					
Case Type ECI CNI SLI VMD	10/08/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp					
EGI GITI GEI VIVID	10/00/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169 DATE OF VISIT 16/06/2021
SITE NO FS1267 SITE NAME Gometra
CASE NO 20210192 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 10/08/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0193			Date of visit: 16/06/2021
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0617 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Tuath The Scottish Salmon Compan	у
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-37
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Site currently fallow - Last fish came out of Tuath - 4th December 2019 - site to be made inactive.

8 cages still on site, no barge. No current future plans to use site for stocking fish. Remaining equipment to be removed when possible.

Mortality 2019.

week 20 - 3594 - 1.95% - During live haul harvest.

week 23 - 1855 - 1.35% Issues with PD

week 31 5464 - 2.73% - post treatment/PD/gill health

week 39 - 4042 - 1.72% Gill health/environmental/post treatment

week 40 - 3103 - 1.58% Gill health/environmental/post treatment

All increased mortality since last inspection was reported to FHI.

FHI 059, Version 13	;		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0193		Site No:	FS0617				
Date of Visit:		16/06/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species								
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Currently fall	ow	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	no plan to	stock	
Recent (last 4 wks)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Any escapes			N/A
If yes, detail:						,	,	
Movement Record	s							
1. Movement record	ds available for	inspection?						Y
Date of last inspendent	ection:						02/04/2019	
Are records comp		•						Y
Are movement re				•				Y
Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	cates for introd	uctions (outw	rith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	5							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried out	by (or on bel	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?		Γ	
If yes, is there a sys	stem in place for	or maintenand	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for in	nspection?					ſ	Y
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed of	f?			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		Been fallow	since 2019				
5. Evidence of rece	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?				-	
If yes, detail:	post treatme	•		Linformation				
7. Have increased (N/A
If yes, detail action:	a. rexplained) i		o roportod to					,,, ,
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been rei	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	heet.		Y
			,		,			

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 02/04/20219-16/06/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0193		Site No:	FS0617		Insp:	
Date of Visit	16/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		0	40	00	0
species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
							0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10
	Number of des	tinations Site contacts	0		6-10	10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	5 0 T	1-3 	6-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I		_			
diseases)		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	:				
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of		_			
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Llag of uppostourized foods	No fooding of u	Inpasteurised feed	<u> </u>	J 1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Discounity	li eeding unpas	Number of sites] 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	1	1			2
Comacio with outer choc		taff and equipment	0		2		
		tan and equipment	0	<u>'</u>			
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry	No		3				
code of practice				J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0193	Site No:	FS0617
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	/ear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	chanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> flongatus is considered to have welfare implicated to have welfare implicated to have welfare implicated.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
	p	.,
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection? N/A
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)

Site No: FS0617

Case No: 2021-0193

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0193			Date of visit:	16/06/2021					
Site No:	FS0617	1		Inspector:						
Results Summary	IEroa			Da	te of Notification					
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
						9		Ζ ΙΙΙδΡ		
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
REG	10/08/2021									





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0169
 DATE OF VISIT
 16/06/2021

 SITE No
 FS0617
 SITE NAME
 Tuath

 CASE No
 20210193
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. There are no plans to restock the site at this time therefore the status will be changed to inactive and it will be removed from the list of sites that the business is authorised to operate at. Please contact us if the site is to be restocked in the future or transferred to another business.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 10/08/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

R25

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0194			Date of visit: 16/06/2021
Time spent on site:	hours	Main In	spector:
Site No: FS0839 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Geasgill The Scottish Salmon Co	ompany
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.1	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-37
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additiona	al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Fish were feeding quite low, fish observed during VMD sampling appeared healthy and in good condition.

Site experiences severe weather regularly. There was a containment breach incident in 2020 where uplift system tore through the net, 5 tonne ring system for keeping net tensioned has been introduced as well as seal pro nets.

Site is stocked with farmed lumpfish which were observed at sides of pen and in hides, lumpfish looked healthy and in good condition. There has been issues with black loss in Cleanerfish (mortality unaccounted for discovered during harvest or grade.) Site manager mentioned steps are being taken to try and reduce this by implementing new hides and more of them to improve survival during winter months when Cleanerfish are most vulnerable to bad weather)

All weeks where Sea lice numbers were above the reporting threshold were reported to the FHI as required.

week 15 (2019) black loss at end of cycle - Lumpsuckers - 62 400 -

2021 Lump fish mortality (last 4 weeks)

Week 19 - 600

week 20 - 326

Week 21 - 248

Week 22 - 240

FHI 059, Version 13		Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0194		Site No:	FS0839				
Date of Visit:	16/06/2021]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Authorisation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site details summary of		ite representat	tive?			Υ	7
2. Changes made to details?						Υ	
Site Details (include cleaner fish	n for all sect	ions)					
•	14	Facilities stoc	cked	14	No faciliti	es inspected	14
Species SAL	Lump						
	Mix						
	90,711						
	mix						
	March 2022		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	August 2	022	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease proble	ems?		Y	Any escape	s (since las	t visit)?	Y
If yes, detail: Background I	PD - had inc	reased mortalit	ty but not high	now.			
 3. Are records complete and corre 4. Are movement records available 5. Are records complete and corre 6. Are health certificates for introde Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out If yes, is there a system in place for 	e for dead fisectly entered? uctions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availal half) of the bus	siness (not us	-			Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records							
1. Mortality records available for in	•						Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of	?			Whole fish	- Dundas Cl	nemicals	
If other detail:							
3. Mortality records complete and	correctly ent				-()		Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	:	week 22(516	-0.07%) week	21(527-0.07	%) week20(2335-0.29%) י	
5. Evidence of recent increased/at	• •		raaaani				N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per racility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in mortality dur	ing paried of	nockod2					
		stress from PD) - wook 16 '	3504 - 0 449/			'
7. Have increased (unexplained) n	<u> </u>			JJU4 - U.44%)		
` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1101121111125 112	en reported to	vet or EHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	nortailles be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
Slice,		
Salmosan,		
If yes, detail: TMS		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
	T.M.S., Salmosan, Slice	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, record	•	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sco	ttish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the preser		V/
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
		V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented		Ĭ
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vis		V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	e to maintain the physical containment of	1
aquaculture animals held on site?	ntad an aita?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement of no, detail:	nted on site?	1
ii iio, detaii.		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	on bobalf of the business?	V
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	on bendir of, the business:	· · ·
3. Any significant results?		V
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	PD	'
Fish recovering from PD, low mortalities and fish now looking		
	19/11/2019-16/06/2021	
records checked between.	10/11/2010 10/00/2021	

'	mi 059, version 15							133	ueu by. r				
	Case no:	2021-0°	194	Site No:		FS0839			Date of v		16/0	06/2021	16/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		80:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. 	8
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
Δ	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	1.7kg	1.7kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Loch Damph FS0300	Loch Damph FS0300										
Ċ	Facility No	P13	P2										

06/2021 Additional Sample Information:													
All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and in good condition.													
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0194		Site No:	FS0839		Insp:	
Date of Visit	16/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	10	26	0
орсою	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
							- 10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts				10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	ı	I-3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	0	4		0
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	7	2	4		2
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of	_	1			
	equivalent statu	ıs	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator			<u> </u>	J			
Practices in accordance	Yes			1			
with regulator or industry	No		0				0
code of practice			3	J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
				J			
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0194	Site N	lo: FS0839
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate)	as well as access to suitable biological and/or nagement agreement or statement relevant to t	mechanical control measures, and
Management Area (or equivalent)?		
5. Are sea lice count records available for6. Do records adequately reflect the require	inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) red standard specified in the SSI and the CoGF	P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	below the suggested criteria for treatment in the	ne CoGP during the period that N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fis 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level wh	salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 sh Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see commen nich is considered to cause significant welfare prinistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmo</i>	ort. Y N N N
11. Has any other action been taken (whe12. Have therapeutic treatments or the act13. Are treatments, where conducted, carr14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the s15. Is there a site specific written lice man	C. elongatus is considered to have welfare impore applicable)? Itions taken had a significant impact upon the liceried out in cooperation between participating facite, where fewer populations or part populations agement procedure with waypoints describing tacks reflect sea lice count data? If no please described in the count data?	ce levels recorded? rms? s are held without treatment for y set actions to deal with recognised Y
	mage due to predators in the current or previounst the predation experienced on site? (Detail book top nets ADD's	
If Yes proceed with questions $4-9$. If No 4. Have these been reported to Scottish M 5. Have these been reported to local DSF	finisters? B forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37 and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they	Y 7, 5.4.17)
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and rebe considered under satisfactory means	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not cover asures of the Act) moving away from u	N/A red in code but could using uplift systems.
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	th regards to containment? If no, please detail i	reason(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by	y: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202	0
Case No: 2021-0194	Site No: FS0839			
Date of Visit: 16/06/2021	Inspector:			
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm managemer	it area?	Y	
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.			
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreemer	ts and Statements		
2. Has a current farm management agre			Y	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in	spection?		Y	
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	it farm management area	?	Y	
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far			Υ	
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		greement or statement?	Υ	
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?		Υ	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag				
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	m health standards for th	e stocks to be introduced to	o the area or Y	
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	•			
Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	•			
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density of a	ny pen on any farm in the a	area or the Y	
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa	-	nd disposal of any dead fis	h from any	
Arrangements for The Management of	of Sea Lice			
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing of da	ta on sea lice numbers and	I treatments?	
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medi	cines on farms covered by	the agreement Y	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requinulate on farms in the area or individual fa		testing of available treatme	ents for sea	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun		logical controls and cloans	r fish are to be	
used on farms in the area or individual f		logical controls and cleaner	i listi are to be	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous	treatments on farms within	r fish are to be Y the area? Y	
Live Fish Movements				
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish m	ay be introduced or remove		
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the movemer	nt of live fish on and off site	s in the area	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s bodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becon	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operation 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 14.08.2021	statement? Y

Site No: FS0839

Case No: 2021-0194

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

0 N	2224 2424				40/00/00	204					
Case No:	2021-0194	J		Date of v	isit: 16/06/20	J21					
Site No:	FS0839			Inspec	tor:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Inch	Phone	Date of Notification Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp						
		Database	e Insp	FIIONE	iiisp	vvritirig	шър	2 nd Insp			
	+										
	_										
	_										
	_										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI CNI SLI VMD	12/08/2021										
		_									
	+										
	+										





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 FB0169
 Date of Visit
 16/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0839
 Site Name
 Geasgill

 Case No
 20210194
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 12/08/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0195			Date of visit: 16/06/2021
Time spent on site:	30 mins	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0593 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Inch Kenneth The Scottish Salmon Compan	у
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-37
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

All equipment has been removed from the site, Site to be made inactive at businesses request.

Last movement off site was the 20/11/2019 - Site was originally meant to be fallow by harvest in May 2020. Decision was made to move remaining fish from Inch Kenneth up to Gometra to consolidate stock as there were empty pens there.

	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
95	Site No:	FS0593				
16/06/2021			Inspector(s):			1
Details						
	te representa	ative?			Υ	
					Υ	
r fish for all section	ons)					
0	-	cked	0	No faciliti	es inspected	0
Fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Fallow		
roblems?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last	t visit)?	N/A
le for inspection?						
le for inspection:					13/11/2019	·
correctly entered?					13/11/2013	Y
•	and waste?					N/A
	rana waoto:					N/A
•	th GB) availa	able?				N/A
					'	
	16)		0.75\0		,	
• •			-			
ice for maintenand	e of transpor	rtation records	?			
for inspection?						Y
•			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	nemicals	
and correctly ente	red?					Y
s):	site has beer	n fallow since e	end of 2019.			
						N/A
per facility/no stoo	ck per facility	/reason:				
	1 10					NI/A
y during period che	ecked?					N/A
ed) mortalities bec	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
ou, mortanties bee	n reported to	VOLOTITI:				13/71
n reported to FHI?	If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A
	Details nary checked by signary	Details nary checked by site representations of fish for all sections) of Facilities sto Fallow Fallow Fallow Facilities sto Green of the properties of the productions (outwith GB) available for maintenance of transport of the productions of the productions of transport of transport of the production of transport of transpo	Details nary checked by site representative? r fish for all sections) 0 Facilities stocked Fallow Next Input Da problems? N/A le for inspection? correctly entered? nilable for dead fish and waste? correctly entered? nitroductions (outwith GB) available? d out by (or on behalf) of the business (not usine for maintenance of transportation records? for inspection? ed of? and correctly entered? s): site has been fallow since entered and correctly entered? sy during period checked? ed) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	16/06/2021 Inspector(s): 16/06/2021 Inspect	Inspector(s): Details Details	Inspector(s): Details Party checked by site representative? Inspector(s): Prish for all sections) Facilities stocked Problems? No facilities inspected Inspection? Fallow No facilities inspected No facilities inspected Inspection? Fallow No facilities inspected Inspection inspected Inspection inspected Inspection inspection? Inspection inspection? Inspector(s): I

Treatments and Madisines December					
Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					
If yes, detail:					
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection?					
·					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:	•				
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed dise	ase				
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimis	e				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of					
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?					
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					
3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
Records checked between: 13/11/2019-16/06/2021					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0195		Site No:	FS0593		Insp:	
Date of Visit	16/06/2021 No of movements/supp./dest.						
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0
opeolog	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	26 14	0
NA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trambol of door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	,]			0
occ of anjudicaliona local	Feeding unpas		5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0195			Date of visit:	16/06/2021				
Site No:	FS0593	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
REG	17/08/2021								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0169
 Date of Visit
 16/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0593
 Site Name
 Inch Kenneth

 Case No
 20210195
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. There are no plans to restock the site at this time therefore the status will be changed to inactive and it will be removed from the list of sites that the business is authorised to operate at. Please contact us if the site is to be restocked in the future or transferred to another business.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 17/08/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/