FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0078			Date of visit: 28/04/2021				
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspec	tor:				
Site No: FS0695 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Shuna Scottish Sea Farms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 8	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:					

Live harvests to South Shian, fallow by July. All fish currently on site from Barcaldine.

No ADDs, blinds or seal nets.

Sea lice; average adult females; wk12 0.32, wk13 0.19, wk14 0.26, wk 15 0.08

Morts wk 12 0.09%site/week, wk 13 0.1%, wk14 0.1% wk15 0.07% - attributed to seal predation

Treatments; October; Peroxide (AGD), hydrolicer, 11-13 March thermolicer.

Visibility poor due to plankton in the water.

FHI 059, Version 13	i		lss	sued by: FHI		Da	ite of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0078		Site No:	FS0695	5			
Date of Visit:		28/04/20)21		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det			v site represer	ntative?		Y		
2. Changes made to	•		,			Y		
Site Details (includ	la claanar fis	sh for all s	actions)					
Total No facilities	de cicarier na	10	Facilities s	tocked	5	No facilities in	spected 5	5
Species	sal	lump	wrasse	lookou		rto idominos iri	opooloa -	
Age group	2020 S1	adult	adult					
No Fish	71,261	7,688	3,837					
Mean Fish Wt	5.6	400g	200g					
Next Fallow Date (S		June 202		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	March 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	,					s (since last visit	:)?	N
If yes, detail:					, , , , , , ,	(
4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys	olete and correctes for intro- s nts carried ou	rectly enteroductions (o	ed? utwith GB) ava behalf) of the I	ilable? business (not us			E	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
1. Mortality records		•	•					Y
2. How are mortaliti	e <u>s disposed d</u>	of?			Incinerated	- on site		
If other detail:			. 10				_	
3. Mortality records	•	d correctly e						Y
4. Recent mortality	•		see additio	nal Info				<u> </u>
5. Evidence of rece		• •					L	N
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality pe	r facility/no	stock per facili	ty/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	uring period	I checked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	eported to F	HI? If no, ente	r details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	1
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
AGD, PRV, Pox	
Records checked between: 19/10/20- 28/4/21	

1111 000, 101	FII 009, Version 13						ISSUEU DY. FIII						
Case no:		2021-00)78	Site No: FS		FS0695			Date of Samplin		28/0	04/2021	28/0
Priority sa	amples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		₉ . HI			
Time sam		10:0	0:00	11:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. 	9
Environm	ental conditions:	1	Cloudy	2		3		4		5			
Summary	samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pe													
Pool/Fish	No												
Fish nos		1											
Pool Grou	ıp qı												
Species		SAL											
Average v	weight	5.0000											
Sex		N/A											
Water Ty	ре	SW											
Stock Ori Facility No	gin	o Barcaldine											

04/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
	killed with TMS													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			of issue	: 12/05/2020		
Case Number:	2021-0078		Site No:	FS0695		Insp:		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14		
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0	
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	5	
NA							10	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10	
Exposure via water	Trainber of des	Site contacts	·		_			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through	0					
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		2	
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6			
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0	
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4					
		from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0	
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3					
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	-]			0	
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2			
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	İ				
CoGP/Regulator				-				
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0	
code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0	
	No		2	İ				
					Total		30	
					Rank		HIGH	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0078	Site No	p: FS0695
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e		le year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as	well as access to suitable biological and/or r	nechanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the	e CoGP during the period that Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i>) If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H		
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	·	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been admini suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. et al.</i>	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmo</i>	nis levels have exceeded the N/A
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action		e levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating far	ms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations	are held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing s	et actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta	ail reasons.
Containment Inspection		
Has the site experienced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous	s production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Top nets		
If other, detail below:		
		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
 Have escape incidents or events been explicted from the second of the second	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since to question 10	e the last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	
If gill nets were deployed was this action ag	grood with local wild fish interests and was n	ormission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini		ed in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu	,	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail re	eason(s)

FHI 059, Version	13		Issued by:	FHI	[Date of issue: 12/05/20)20
Case No:	2021-0078	Site No:	FS0695				
Date of Visit:	28/04/2021]	Inspector:				
Point of Complia							
	ler inspection located		m management	area?		Υ	
If N, no further qu	estions require compl	etion.					
2. Has a current f3. Is the current F4. Does the FMA5. Does the FMA6. Does the FMA	iance for Both Farm arm management agr MAg/S available for ir g/S identify the relevang/S identify the fish farg/S identify the date of g/S identify the date of	eement or aspection? It farm mai m site(s) to commence	statement (FMA nagement area? o which it applies	g/S) been prep s?	pared?	Y Y Y Y Y	
8. Does the FMA farm? 9. Does the FMA 10. Does the FMA 11. Does the FMA individual farm? 12. Does the FMA	or Fish Health Managers identify the minimum g/S identify the vaccina Ag/S identify the special Ag/S identify the maximal Ag/S identify the arrangers or the individual faces.	im health s ation requires of fish w num stock gements fo	rements for stock which may be sto ing density of an	ks held in the a cked into the a y pen on any fa	rea or farm? rea or farm? arm in the area or the	e Y Y	
	or The Management of Ag/S identify arrangement			a on sea lice nu	ımbers and treatmen	nts?	
of statement?	Ag/S identify the availa						
lice on farms in the 16. Does the FMA	ne area or individual fa Ag/S identify the circur the area or individual	rms? nstances υ		_		o be Y	
17. Does the FM	Ag/S identify the arran	gements fo	or synchronous to	reatments on fa	arms within the area?	? Y	
area or farm?	Ag/S identify the circur Ag/S identify the arran						

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocked by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Managen	e or more year classes may be stocked onto odstock or potential broodstock are to be ke nent Agreements Only	ept on any site
24. Does the farm management agreemer parties to the agreement?	nt include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 28/11/2019	r statement?

Case No:	2021-0078			Date of visit:	28/04/2021			
Site No:	FS0695]		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	ļ							
	Ì							
	1							
	Ì							
D 0								
Report Summary	Dete	la e e	and .					
Case Type ECI, CNI,SLI, VMD	Date 18/05/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp					
LOI, OIVI,OLI, VIVID	10/03/2021							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 18/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0084			Date of visit: 29/04/2021
Time spent on site:	1 hr.	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS0339	Site Name:	Furnace (FW)	
Business No: FB0235	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture (Fresh	water) Ltd
Case Types: 1 MIX	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behavi	ng fish present?	If yes, see additional i	information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease obser	ved?		information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		If yes, see additional i	information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		ш	
UNI/REG only - if unable to ca	rry out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Paper work inspected remotely by 22/04/2021 shadowed by

Fry on site currently doing well. Mortality levels remaining low. No issues noted during VMD Mixing inspection. No medicated feed mixed since last inspection. If required it is only prepared in small batches to feed affected tanks. Medication is preweighed by Fish Vet Group before being sent to site.

FHI 059, Version 13	3	_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0084		Site No:	FS0339				
Date of Visit:		29/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	o details?						N	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		18	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	s inspected	18
Species	SAL							
Age group	Fry							
No Fish	1,240,000							
Mean Fish Wt	2.5g							
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	June 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:						•		
3. Are records complete. Are movement responsible. Are records complete. Are health certified. Transport Records 1. Are any movement of the properties.	ecords availab plete and corre cates for introd s ents carried ou	e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•						Y
How are mortaliti If other detail:	es disposed o	f?						
								V
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ente		200() 145.0	040 (0 400()	1 4 4 5 70 /0	250() 1.42	Υ
4. Recent mortality	•	6 t).06%), wk15 2	213 (0.18%), v	wk14 5/3 (0)	.05%), wk13	1520
5. Evidence of rece		• •						
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:	unavni-il\			a wat an EUIIO				NI/A
7. Have increased (•	nortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality e		norted to EUI	2 If no enter	details on mort	ality evente el	neet		N/A
o. Have infortality e	venus peemie	ported to FITI	: if no, enter	uctails on more	anty events Si	icel.		IN/A

Treatments and Me	edicines Records			
1. Recent treatment	s (see comment)?			Y
If yes, detail:	Formalin			
If other, detail:				
2. Medicines records	s available for inspection?			Y
•	plete and correctly entered?			Y
4. Are fish in a without	•			Y
5. If yes, what treatn	nent(s)?	Formalin		
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?			Y
Biosecurity Record				
	ds available for inspection?			
	and frequency of mortality removal	_	•	
	•	•	rs or veterinary professional of any	
	ined) mortality at the site been incl			
			cion of the presence of a listed disease	
	luded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will			
	•	stocked on the farm	site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certific	cation if required)?			
	•		each epidemiological unit to minimise	
	ease been covered (movement of s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	available regarding the measures	in place to maintain	the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals				
	rity procedures been adequately ir	nplemented on site?	?	
If no, detail:				
Results of Surveilla				
·	ealth surveillance been carried out	by, or on behalf of,	the business?	
	available for inspection?			
3. Any significant res				
If yes, detail (if not d	letailed under recent disease prob	ems).		
	Records checked between:	23/09/2020 t	o 22/04/2021	

Case No:	2021-0084			Date of visit:	29/04/2021			
Site No:	FS0339	1		Inspector:				
One IVO.	1 00000			mapeotor.				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
MIX	07/05/2021							

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0085			Date of visit: 27/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hr	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: SS0740	Site Name:	Scottish Association for Marine	Science
Business No: SB0473	Business Name:	Scottish Association for Marine	e Science
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 15	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		mation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		mation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional inform	mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Paper work completed on 22/04/21 by shadowed by . Site inspection carried out by

Tanks on site varying in temperature for experimental purpose, currently ranging between 14-16oC. Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons. OED and MED appeared clean and healthy with only a few saddlebacks on the MED. No gaping or empty shells of either species noted.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0085		Site No:	SS0740				
Date of Visit:		27/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Autho			:44	-#: 2			V	
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	_	спескей ву ѕ	nte represent	ative?			Y N	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		66	Facilities sto	ocked	5	No facilitie	s inspected	66
Species	OED	MED						
Age group	Broodstock	2017						
No Fish	120	50						
Mean Fish Wt	100g	65mm						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Never fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	May 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	elete and corre ates for introd ints carried out	ectly entered? luctions (outwood	evith GB) available half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi				Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
Mortality records :		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Domestic wa			
If other detail:				autoclaved pri	or to removal	via domesti	c waste	
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ente						Y
4. Recent mortality (•		5 (0.9%, ME	D only)				
Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
7. Have increased (unovalsiase)	mortalities ha	on reported t	o vot or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	inexplained) i	nortailles be	en reported to	o vecoi Fni?				IV/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	norted to EUI	2 If no enter	details on mort	ality events al	neet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	cillo pecille	ported to FITI	: II IIO, enter	uctails off filoft	anty events Si	icci.		IN//

Treatments and Medi	icines Records		
1. Recent treatments ((see comment)?		
If yes, detail:			
If other, detail:			
	available for inspection?		
•	ete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdra	wal period?		
5. If yes, what treatme	nt(s)?		
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines store	ed appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records			
-	available for inspection?		Y
		ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	•	ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
` '	ed) mortality at the site been included?		Y
	·	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	ded and how and when that will be not		Y
		d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certificat	tion if required)?		
•	•	ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	•	sitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals he			
	y procedures been adequately impleme	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillan			N.
•	alth surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of, the business?	N
	vailable for inspection?		
3. Any significant resul			
If yes, detail (if not deta	tailed under recent disease problems).		
Re	ecords checked between:	08/12/2020 to 22/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0085 Site No:	SS074	0		
Date of ∀isit	27/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area		4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		32 HIGH

Case No:	2021-0085			Date of visit:	27/04/2021			
Cita Na	CC0740							
Site No:	SS0740			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Dat	te of Notifica	tion		
,		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	06/05/2021							
	-							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0473 Date of Visit 27/04/2021

SITE NO SS0740 SITE NAME Scottish Association for Marine Science

CASE No 20210085 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 06/05/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0086			Date of visit: 27/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hr	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: SS0933	Site Name:	Port a Bhuiltin	
Business No: SB0473	Business Name:	Scottish Association for Mari	ne Science
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.6	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	d?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN .	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:	

Case paper work completed remotely on 22/04/2021 by shadowed by . Site inspection carried out by

Next stocking date to be confirmed. Currently developing new experiment, potentially looking to add additional species to current approved list. OED on site appeared in good condition with no fouling. 12 baskets hanging from line, 5 of which are currently stocked with 200 shells/basket

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0086		Site No:	SS0933				
Date of Visit:		27/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site det	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilitie	s inspected	1
Species	OED							
Age group	2020							
No Fish	991						 	
Mean Fish Wt	25mm							
Next Fallow Date (S		~November:	2022	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					,	`	,	
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords available plete and corre cates for introd s nts carried out	e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi				Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed of	f?			Domestic wa	ste - <25kg		
If other detail:	Morts double	bagged and	frozen prior t	o autoclaving a	nd disposal.			
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		0 morts reco	orded				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:		,		. =				
7. Have increased (unexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			0.16	1.63				N1/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been rep	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	leet.		N/A

Treatments and Me			
1. Recent treatments	(see comment)?		
If yes, detail:			
If other, detail:			
	s available for inspection?		
•	lete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withd	•		
5. If yes, what treatm	ient(s)?		
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?		
Biosecurity Record			
•	s available for inspection?		Y
		rding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	•	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
	ned) mortality at the site been included?		Y
	•	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	uded and how and when that will be no		Y
	•	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	ation if required)?		
			V
	•	ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
		ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals			V
	ity procedures been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
.			
Results of Surveilla		and habate of the books and	NII.
	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or	r on benait of, the business?	N
•	available for inspection?		
3. Any significant res			
ir yes, detail (ir not de	etailed under recent disease problems).		
	Records checked between:	First Inspection	
	RECORDS CHECKED DELWEEH.	I II SE III SPECIOII	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0086 Site No:	SS093	3		
Date of ∀isit	27/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					=
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	_	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		32 HIGH

Case No:	2021-0086			Date of visit:	27/04/2021	J		
Site No:	SS0933	1		Inspector				
One 140.	000000			mapeolor.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ite of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI	06/05/2021							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOSB0473DATE OF VISIT27/04/2021SITE NOSS0933SITE NAMEPort a BhuiltinCASE NO20210086INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 06/05/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0088			Date of visit: 27/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS1325 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine First Feeding U Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	Jnit
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.04	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:			

health check post transfer; Flavobacterium, Yersinia and SGPV all negative.

Mort/site/wk; 2021 Wk 13 - fallow Wk14 0.2%, wk15 0.34%, wk16 0.1% -mainly deformities.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0088		Site No:	FS1325	5			
Date of Visit:		27/04/2021]		Inspector(s)):		
Registration/Auth	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site de			ite representa	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made t	•						Y	1
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	de oleaner me	16	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilitie	es inspected	16
Species	sal			I				
Age group	fry							1
No Fish	1,891,122							1
Mean Fish Wt	0.4 g							1
Next Fallow Date (June 2021	<u> </u>	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	June 202		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•			•	Any escape			l N
If yes, detail:	alcoace pres				rany occupe	(0.1100 1.001	11011)	
 Are movement results. Are records commended. Are health certification. Transport Record Are any movements. If yes, is there a system. 	plete and corr cates for introd s ents carried ou	ectly entered? ductions (outw ut by (or on bel	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	•			N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalit	ies disposed d	of?			Ensiled - or	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):	·	see addition	al info				
5. Evidence of rece		atypical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/n	o mortality per	r facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	uring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be-	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N/A
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		N/A
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottis	h Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on	the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
		V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented b		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitor		V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	maintain the physical containment of	ĭ
aquaculture animals held on site?	d on cito?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemente If no, detail:	d on site?	Ţ
ii iio, detaii.		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 1/4/	/19-27/4/21	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0088		Site No:	FS1325		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/04/2021		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	10	26	
opeoies	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Indiliber of des	Site contacts			6-10	10	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	Ī				
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	İ			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0]			
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of i	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0088	Site No	FS1325	
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)			
	nced sea lice problems in the previou	us 4 vears?		
·	lanagement Area (or equivalent) fall	•	e vear class basis?	
3. Does the site have a	access to a range of licenced in-feed amectin benzoate) as well as access	and bath sea lice medications	(including deltamethrin,	nd
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm management agreem	nent or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm	
	cords available for inspection? (Leg	al SSI CoGP Annex 6)		
	ely reflect the required standard spec		(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	nonis) record levels below the sugger (CoGP Annex 6)	sted criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult f	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbe	ers per fish been at a level of 3	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19)	or
_	reported to the Fish Health Inspect	·	"	
•	station at a level which is considered			
10. Have therapeutic tre	eatments been administered or othe reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is co	r actions taken when L. salmor	nis levels have exceeded the	
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where applicable)?			
-	eatments or the actions taken had a	significant impact upon the lice	e levels recorded?	
·	ere conducted, carried out in cooper	· ·		
	g strategy for the site, where fewer p	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	ific written lice management procedu			ed
·	els observed on stocks reflect sea lic	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	
		·		
Containment Inspection				
· ·	nced equipment damage due to prec		•	N
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against the predation of	experienced on site? (Detail bel	ow)	Υ
Site Indoors				
If other, detail below:				
·	nts or events been experienced on c	·	the last FHI inspection?	N
	estions $4-9$. If No skip to question 1	0		
	ported to Scottish Ministers?			
·	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where	. ,	•	
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and local fisherie	s trusts forthwith (where they e	xist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail		
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG	byed was this action agreed with loca P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	al wild fish interests and was pe	ermission given by Scottish	
9. What action was take	en to prevent and minimise the risk	of further escapes? (Not covere	ed in code but could	
be considered under	r satisfactory measures of the Ac	t)		
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to cont	ainment? If no, please detail re	eason(s)	Υ

Case No:	2021-0088			Date of visit:	27/04/2021			
Site No:	FS1325	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	D	1		te of Notifica		1.	- 1
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
			-				-	
D 1 O				1				
Report Summary	Dete	lnon	ond .					
Case Type ECI, CNI	Date 21/05/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp					
LOI, OIVI	21/03/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 27/04/2021

SITE NO FS1325 SITE NAME Barcaldine First Feeding Unit

Case No 20210088 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 21/05/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0089			Date of visit: 27/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Insp	ector:
Site No: FS1321 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery Incub Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	ation 1
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 5.98	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional i	nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	iil reason below:	

Stock currently on site; Aquagen Scotland - Holywood Dumfries

Previous stocks; 27/11/18 - Aquagen Norway. 21/3/19 - Kindrum - ROI 21/1/20 Kindrum, 2021 - Aquagen Holywood.

current stock; soft eggs - high fail hatch but post hat have been ok.

week 13 2021 - 0.64% 9059 mainly failed hatch

week 14 2021 - 5.59% 78767 mainly failed hatch

week 15 2021 - 2.74% 36469 mainly failed hatch

week 16 2021 - 0.86% 11056 mainly failed hatch

Buffodine used at ova input - no treatments

Pre transfer heath reports QPCR -IPN, PRV, PMCV and histo by Vet group - all reports since opening have been negative for viruses.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0089		Site No:	FS1321				
Date of Visit:		27/04/202	1		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det	tails summary	checked by	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made t	o details?						Υ	
Site Details (include	de cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	ocked	1	No facilitie	s inspected	1
Species	sal							
	2 week							
Age group	hatch -							
No Fish	1,281,520							
Mean Fish Wt	0.05g							
Next Fallow Date (S	,	mid June		Next Input Da	_ '	late summ		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Massaurant Danasa								
Movement Record		. in an a ation ?						V
1. Movement record		r inspection?					22/44/2040	'
2. Date of last inspe		aatly antarad	2				23/11/2018	V
 Are records com Are movement re 		•						Y
5. Are records com								Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				Y
o. Are nealth certific		actions (out	with Ob, avail	abic:				
Transport Record	s							
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	ehalf) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a sys								
	•		· ·					
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti	ies disposed d	f?			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		see addition	al info				
5. Evidence of rece								Y
If yes, facility nos/ne				/reason:				
higher than normal								
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:		,		. =				\$174
7. Have increased (mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		n a who all his Fill	10 15 m = 1	detella au	ality and the '	4		N1/4
8. Have 'mortality e	vents been re	ported to FH	ı ? it no, enter	uetails on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	ase
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Υ
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/11/18 - 27/4/21	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0089		Site No:	FS1321		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/04/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	4.0	26	0
эрсогсо	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	9
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Tramber of des	Site contacts			6-10		9
Water contacts with other		red (secure water supply through	0				0
farms (holding species susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I					0
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V	1	3	6		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raids of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		rfrom Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0089	Site No:	FS1321
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin,
and the same has dead according a management of the same	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salı	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicated to have welfare implicated.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr	roduction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Indoors	The predation experienced on site: (Betail below	v)
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action as Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Case No:	2021-0089			Date of visit:	27/04/2021			
Site No:	FS1321	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Databasa	II		te of Notifica		II	nd
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI, CNI	21/05/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 27/04/2021

SITE NO FS1321 SITE NAME Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation 1

CASE No 20210089 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 21/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0090			Date of visit: 27/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Ins	spector:
Site No: FS1322 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery Incu Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	bation 2
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 5.77	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Input from Holywood - fish currently on site. Previous inputs from ROI.

31/3/21, Aquagen Holywood, current stock; soft eggs - high fail hatch but post hat have been ok.

Morts site/week; wk13- 0.52% 7861, wk14 2% 29864, wk15 5.35% 78053, wk 16 0.33% 4556 hatch fail

Buffodine used at ova input - no treatments

Pre transfer heath reports QPCR -IPN, PRV, PMCV and histo by Vet group - all reports since opening have been negative for viruses.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0090		Site No:	FS1322	2			
Date of Visit:		27/04/202	1		Inspector(s	s):		ı
Registration/Auth	norisation De	tails						
1. Business/site de			site represent	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made	to details?						Υ	1
Site Details (inclu	ıde cleaner fi	sh for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	ide oledilei ii	1	Facilities sto	ocked	1	No facilit	ies inspected	1
Species	sal							
Age group	alvins							
No Fish	1,379,666							
Mean Fish Wt	0.05g							
Next Fallow Date ((Site)	June 21		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	late sum	mer 21	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease prob	olems?		N	Any escape	es (since las	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Movement reco Date of last insp Are records con Are movement of the records con Are health certified. Transport Record Are any movem yes, is there a sy Mortality Record	pection: enplete and correcords availa enplete and corricates for intro ds ents carried o estem in place	rectly entered ble for dead fi rectly entered oductions (out	? sh and waste′ ? with GB) avail	able? usiness (not us	•		07/12/2020	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality records		inspection?						Y
2. How are mortali		•			Ensiled - o	n site		
If other detail:					•			
3. Mortality record	s complete an	d correctly en	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality		•	see addition	nal info				
5. Evidence of rec	ent increased/	atypical morta						Y
If yes, facility nos/r		* *		//reason:				
wk 15 2021 5.35%								
6. Any other peaks			hecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased	(unexplained)) mortalities be	een reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action								
8. Have 'mortality	events' been r	eported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

Tuestments and Madieiras December	
Treatments and Medicines Records	N
Recent treatments (see comment)? If you detail:	19
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	T N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	N/A
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Υ
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scotti	ish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	ed to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked of	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	ors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place t	to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ed on site?
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Records checked between: 7/	12/20 - 27/4/21

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0090		Site No:	FS1322		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/04/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	4.0	26	0
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Ivalliber of des	Site contacts			6-10		<u> </u>
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		
		or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	1			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	Ī			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	I 0	1			0
γ	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity	<u> </u>	Number of sites	5 1	1 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		27 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0090	Site No:	FS1322
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in- well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sal.	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish I	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicat	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms?	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr	roduction cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	v) Y
Indoors		
If other, detail below:		
·	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skill	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37, 5.4$	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
0.17 111 1		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and min	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Case No:	2021-0090			Date of visit:	27/04/2021			
Site No:	FS1322	1		Inspector:				
		J						
Results Summary	Freq.	D			te of Notifica		1.	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	I			1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	4				
ECI, CNI	21/05/2021		2 11100					





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 27/04/2021

SITE NO FS1322 SITE NAME Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation 2

Case No 20210090 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 21/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0092				Date of visit: 28/04/2021			
Time spent on site:	5 hrs	N	lain Inspector	:			
Site No: SS0002 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Fyne Loch Fyne Oysters	s Ltd				
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4	5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:]	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see ad	ditional inform	nation/clinical score sheet. nation/clinical score sheet. nation/clinical score sheet.			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							
Full ECI o	could not be carried out	due to error made rega	arding time of	low tide.			

Case paper work completed remotely 23/04/2021 by , supervised by

On this occasion, an full inspection could not be completed. Full ECI paperwork completed. Site currently has no CGI on site but has OED. Company has oyster consignments arriving from a few sources but are only put through their depuration plant which is at the shore base beside the loch before going for human consumption. Only stock that is put out to site is stock coming from Morecambe Bay.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0092		Site No:	SS0002				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	iils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		260	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	0
Species	OED							
Age group	1.5-2 years							
No Fish	8,250							
Mean Fish Wt	10g							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Unknown		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?								
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed of	f?			Domestic wa	ste - <25kg		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): No increased mortalities								
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?						N		
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			'	
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.							N/A	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?								
If yes, detail:	s (see comment)							
If other, detail:								
2 Medicines records	available for inc	nection?						

	If yes, detail:				
	If other, detail:				
	2. Medicines records available for inspection?				
	3. Are records complete and correctly entered?				
	4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?				
	5. If yes, what treatment(s)?				
	If other, detail:				
	6. Are medicines stored appropriately?				
	Biosecurity Records				
	Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y			
	2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
	3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
	increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y			
	4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
	is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y			
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
	health status, certification if required)?				
	6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
	transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?				
	7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y			
	aquaculture animals held on site?				
	8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y			
	If no, detail:				
	Results of Surveillance				
	Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?				
	2. If yes, are results available for inspection?				
	3. Any significant results?				
	If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
	Records checked between: 21/10/2020 to 23/04/2021				

12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0092 Site No:	SS000	2		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0
	excursion	U			
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
iviovements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	8
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total		41
			Risk		HIGH

Case No:	2021-0092			Date of visit:	28/04/2021]		
Site No:	SS0002	1		Incocator:				
Site No.	330002			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification				
•	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	06/05/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0002
 Date of Visit
 28/04/2021

 Site No
 SS0002
 Site Name
 Loch Fyne

 Case No
 20210092
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, an inspection of the facilities could not be conducted. This inspection will be rescheduled for later in the year.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 06/05/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0097			Date of visit: 28/04/2021				
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: SS0479 Business No: SB0309	Site Name: Business Name:	Airde Bige Loch Laxford Shellfish Ltd.					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 8.5	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Medium fouling on the 2020 spat - site manager noted that fouling reduces as mussels increase in size, then become fouled with tube worm in final summer of growth before harvest. A few star fish and seas squirts observed on the 2019 stock.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issue	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0097		Site No:	SS0479				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	itive?			Y	7
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	1
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6 lines	Facilities sto	cked	4 lines	No facilitie	s inspected	6 lines
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2019 Spat	2020 spat						
No Fish	2 lines	2 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	90g	10-20g						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Continuously	stocked with	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Continuous	sly stocked (wild spat)
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	•							
Movement record		r inepaction?						
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					05/10/2016	<u> </u>
Are records comp		ectly entered?	>				03/10/2010	Y
4. Are movement re		•						N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		ible?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys		* '	•	,				
Mortality Records								
Mortality records:	available for i	nspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			<u> </u>
If other detail:		fall to seabe	d		O (
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality (•		non observe	d				
5. Evidence of recer	•	typical mortal		<u> </u>				N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	nockod?					N/A
If yes, detail:	Triortainty du	ing period of	iconcu:					14/74
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	rontal bases	norted to ELII	Olf no antan	dotoilo e:= :== :-+	olitu overste el	noot .		N/A
Have 'mortality ev	vents been re	ported to FHI	? if no, enter of	details on mort	anty events sh	ieet.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							
If yes, detail:							
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?							
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							
D							
Biosecurity Records							
Biosecurity records available for inspection?							
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?							
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease							
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise							
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of							
aquaculture animals held on site?							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?							
If no, detail:							
Results of Surveillance		N/A					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?							
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?							
3. Any significant results?							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).							
Records checked between:	05/10/2021-28/04/2021						

TTIT 059, VEISION 15	issued by. I i ii			Date	UI 133UE. 12
Case Number:	2021-0097 Site No:	SS047	9		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
•	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		22 MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0097			Date of visit:	28/04/2021			
Site No:	SS0479	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp		Insp	2 nd Insp
	<u> </u>							
Report Summary				1				
Cose Type	Date	Inon	ond I	-				
Case Type ECI	30/04/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp					
LOI	30/04/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0309
 DATE OF VISIT
 28/04/2021

 SITE NO
 SS0479
 SITE NAME
 Airde Bige

 CASE NO
 20210097
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were not available for inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Date: 30/04/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Issue	ed by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
			Date of visit: 28/04/2021
our		lain Inspector	r:
Site Name: Business Name:	Allt Briste Loch Laxford Shel	llfish Ltd.	
3	4	5	6
Thermometer No:	T155]	FHI 045 completed
Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:
h present?	N If yes, see ad	ditional inforn	nation/clinical score sheet.
ıt intended visit detail rea	son below:		
	our Site Name: Business Name: 3 Thermometer No: Region: HI th present?	Allt Briste Loch Laxford She Thermometer No: Region: HI Water type: N If yes, see ad N If yes, yes ad N If yes, yes ad N If yes yes ad N If yes yes ad N If yes yes ad N If yes yes ad N If yes yes yes ad N If yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	Main Inspector Site Name: Business Name: Allt Briste Loch Laxford Shellfish Ltd. Thermometer No: Table 15 Thermometer No: Type: The present? The present? The present is a see additional information of the present information of

Line inspected, only MED observed. Medium fouling on the 2020 spat, little fouling on the 2019 spat. No mortality observed, lines were fully settled with wild spat.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0105		Site No:	SS0555				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site represent	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		8 lines	Facilities sto	ncked	5	No facilitie	es inspected	5
Species	MED	MED	r dominos su	I		r to raomine	o mopootou	
Age group	2019 spat	2020 spat	-					
No Fish	3 lines	2 lines	-					
Mean Fish Wt	90g	10-20g						
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuousl	v stocked	Next Input Da	ato (Sito)	Continuou	ısly stocked	
`	•		y Stocked		Any escapes		•	N
Recent (last 4 wks) If yes, detail:	disease probl	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(Since last	VISIL) ?	N
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	cords availab blete and corr ates for introd ats carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered'ductions (outv	sh and waste'? vith GB) avail chalf) of the be	able? usiness (not us	-			N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalities	es disposed c	if?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:		fall to sea be						
3. Mortality records		correctly ent	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		none observ	ved				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	itypical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	y/reason:			•	
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
D'	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Υ
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
1. 700, detail (il fiet detailed didde feedin diodde problemo).	
Records checked between: 15/08/2018 - 28/04/2021	
10/00/2010 20/01/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0105 Site No:	SS055	5		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk			V	
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish mover	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		12 LOW

0 1	2004 0405				00/04/000	7		
Case No:	2021-0105	J		Date of visit	t: 28/04/202	1		
Site No:	SS0555	1		Inspector	r:			
Results Summary	Freq.		_	Da	ate of Notific	ation	_	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
					_			
					-		_	
	_				-		-	
	+				-			
							_	
					_			
	+				-			
					_			
	1							
Report Summary			nd					
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	04/05/2021							
	+							
	+							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0309
 DATE OF VISIT
 28/04/2021

 SITE NO
 SS0555
 SITE NAME
 Allt Briste

 CASE NO
 20210105
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 04/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0106				Date of visit: 28/04/2021
Time spent on site:	our	Mai	in Inspector	:
	Site Name: Business Name:	Sgeir Fhada Loch Laxford Shellfis	sh Ltd.	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5		6
Water Temp (°C): 8.6	Thermometer No:	T155		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fis Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see addit	tional inform	nation/clinical score sheet. nation/clinical score sheet. nation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry ou	ut intended visit detail rea	son below:		

Medium fouling observed on the 2020 spat, little to no fouling on the 2019 spat.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0106		Site No:	SS0478				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		9 lines	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	6
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2019 spat	2020						
No Fish	3 lines	3 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	90g	10-20g						
Next Fallow Date (S			y settled with	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Continuou	sly settled with	n wild spat
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:				•		`	,	
2. Date of last inspense. 3. Are records computed in the second of the s	elete and corr cords availab elete and corr ates for introd ats carried ou	ole for dead fis ectly entered' ductions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? Isiness (not usi			05/10/2018	Y Y N/A N/A
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	avoilable for i	nonaction?						N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)			IN/A
If other detail:			ما		Other (detail)			
Mortality records (s fall to seabe						N/A
4. Recent mortality (•	Correctly em		d				14/7
 Evidence of recer 	•	atynical morta	non observe	u				N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
	•	·						
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 05/10/2018-28/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0106 Site No:	SS047	8		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk			.,	
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		20 MEDIUM

0					00/04/000			
Case No:	2021-0106	J		Date of visit:	28/04/2021	J		
Site No:	SS0478	1		Inspector				
		ı		Пороскої				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
	_							
	1		-					
	1							
			_					
			_					
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	04/05/2021							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0309
 Date of Visit
 28/04/2021

 Site No
 SS0478
 Site Name
 Sgeir Fhada

 Case No
 20210106
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 04/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0107			Date of visit: 28/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hour	Main	Inspector:
Site No: SS0084 Business No: SB0309	Site Name: Business Name:	Weaver's Bay Loch Laxford Shellfish	h Ltd.
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.7	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see addition	onal information/clinical score sheet. onal information/clinical score sheet. onal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

Medium fouling observed on the 2020 spat, with little to no fouling on the 2019 spat. The odd starfish observed on the 2019 spat lines and a few sea quirts.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issı	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0107		Site No:	SS0084				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite represent	tative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made to	•						N	<u> </u>
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6 lines	Facilities sto	ocked	4 lines	No facilities	s inspected	4 lines
Species	MED	MED		1				
Age group	2019 spat	2020 spat						
No Fish	2 lines	2 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	90g	10-20g						
Next Fallow Date (S		Settled with	wild spat	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Settled with	n wild spat	•
Recent (last 4 wks)	•			•	Any escapes		•	N
If yes, detail:	alecaee press				rany occupies	(611100 1401)	1011) 1	
 Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	olete and corrected for introduced f	ectly entered' ductions (outv	? vith GB) avail half) of the b	lable? usiness (not us	· ·			N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	of?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:		s fall to sea be						
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality	•		none obser	ved				
Evidence of recer		* *						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	y/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	iring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported t	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	, , ,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Tourston and 1884 Patron Barriola	
Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 05/10/2016-28/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0107 Site No:	SS008	4		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish mover	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Ī				
wovernerits on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with			,		
depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
depuration facilities	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within	0			0
depuration facilities	management area	0	1	2	
Biosecurity	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management	0	1 2	2 6 8 ≥4	0
	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1 2 4	6 8	0
Biosecurity	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites	0 0	1 2 4	2 6 8 ≥4	0
Biosecurity Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase	0 0 1	1 2 4 2 or 3 1	2 6 8 ≥4	0 0
Biosecurity Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase	0 0 1 0 0	1 2 4 2 or 3 1 1	2 6 8 ≥4 2 5	0 0
Biosecurity Contacts with other	management area Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment	0 0 1 0 0	1 2 4 2 or 3 1 1 Yes	2 6 8 ≥4 2 5 No	0 0

Case No:	2021-0107			Date of visit:	28/04/2021			
Site No:	SS0084	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	+							
	+							
	1							
	↓							
	 							
	+							
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	05/05/2021							
	<u> </u>							
	 							
	+							
	+							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoSB0309Date of Visit28/04/2021Site NoSS0084Site NameWeaver's BayCase No20210107Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 05/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0108			Date of visit: 28/04/2021
Time spent on site:	our	Main Inspecto	or:
	Site Name: Business Name:	och Glencoul och Laxford Shellfish Ltd.	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	45	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.6	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fis Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry ou	ıt intended visit detail reaso	n below:	

Medium fouling observed on the 2020 spat lines and little to now fouling observed on the 2019 lines. A few starfish and sea quirts observed on 2019 lines.

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0108		Site No:	SS088	31			
Date of Visit:		28/04/202	1		Inspector(s)):		1
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site represen	tative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	sh for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	o oloulloi ili	8 lines	Facilities st	cocked	6	No faciliti	es inspected	6
Species	MED	MED					1	
Age group	2019 Spat	2020 spat						
No Fish	3 lines	3 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	90g	10-20g						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	continuousl	y stocked	Next Input I	Date (Site)	continuou	ısly stocked	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?			N Any escape	s (since last	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Mayamant Dagard								
Movement Records		- v in an a ati a n 0						
 Movement record Date of last inspe 		or inspection?					14/02/2019	
•		rooth, optorod	2				14/02/2019	Υ
 Are records comp Are movement re 		•		. 2				N/A
				;				N/A
Are records compAre health certific		•		ilable2				N/A
o. Are riealth certific	ales for intro	ductions (out	willi GD) avai	iiabie:				IN//A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemer		ut by (or on be	ehalf) of the b	ousiness (not u	using a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys								
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for	inspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detai	il)		
If other detail:		s fall to sea b	ed		,	<i>'</i>		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	·	none obser	rved				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no st	ock per facilit	ty/reason:				
C. Any other neeks i	n martality d	uring pariod a	haakad2					NI/A
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality di	uning period c	necked?					N/A
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	een reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			1,511,5					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FH	I? If no, enter	r details on mo	ortality events s	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	•
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
or no moderno otorou appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been consi	idered? Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary profe	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence	of a listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (egual or higher
health status, certification if required)?	squal of riighter
Troutin status, sortinoation in roquirou).	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological	unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical contain	
aquaculture animals held on site?	illent of
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	<u> </u>
n no, actail.	
Deculte of Compalitions	
Results of Surveillance	N.
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 14/02/2019-28/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0108 Site No:	SS088	1		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:			1	
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk			.,	
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		12 LOW

Case No:	2021-0108			Date of visit:	28/04/2021			
Site No:	SS0881	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp		Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	10/05/2021							
	+							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOSB0309DATE OF VISIT28/04/2021SITE NOSS0881SITE NAMELoch GlencoulCASE NO20210108INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 10/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12	05/2020		
Case No: 2021-0109			Date of visit: 28/04/202	21		
Time spent on site:	/A	Mair	n Inspector:			
Site No: SS0743 Business No: SB0475	Site Name: Business Name:	Badcall, Loch Inchard David Ross	d	=		
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:				

Additional Case Information:

No equipment on site, currently fallow. No movements off site since last inspection.

No intention to ever stock site again as manager does not get good growth on lines. Site to be deregistered, as the site is the only one attached to the business the business will also be deregistered.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0109		Site No:	SS0743	3			
Date of Visit:		28/04/202	1		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site de			site representa	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made		•	·				N]
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fi	sh for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	ocked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species								
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (•			Next Input D				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease prob	olems?		N/A	A Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Recor	de							
Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last insp		or mopeodorr.					05/10/2016	<u> </u>
Are records com		rectly entered	?				00/10/2010	Y
4. Are movement r	•	•		>				N/A
5. Are records com								N/A
6. Are health certifi	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Record	le							
1. Are any movem		ut by (or on be	half) of the hi	isiness (not iis	sing a STR\2			
If yes, is there a sy					-			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		inspection?						N/A
2. How are mortali					Other (detail)			•
If other detail:		ls fall to the se	a bed		,			
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		Site not curr	ently stocked				•
5. Evidence of rece	ent increased/	atypical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/r	no mortality pe	er facility/no sto	ock per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality d	uring period c	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:		January Portod Of						,,
7. Have increased	(unexplained)) mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action	•							
8. Have 'mortality e	events' been r	eported to FH	l? If no, enter	details on mor	rtality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yoo, adaan (ii not adaanda ahadi 1000iit aloodoo pioblomo).	
Records checked between: 05/10/2016-28/04/2021	

Case No:	2021-0109			Date of visit:	28/04/2021				
Site No:	SS0743	1		Inspector:		ı			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp		Insp	2 nd Insp	
	1								
	+								
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1					
Case Type REG	11/05/2021		2 11150						
_									
	+								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0475 Date of Visit 28/04/2021

SITE NO SS0743 SITE NAME Badcall, Loch Inchard

CASE NO 20210109 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

There is currently no stock or equipment held on site, and no intent to use the site again to produce livestock. After discussion with the site manager it was concluded that the site will be removed from the FHI inspection schedule and deregistered.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained. No movements onto or off of the site have taken place since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 11/05/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0110			Date of visit: 28/04/2021			
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	or:			
Site No: SS0425 Business No: SB0273	Site Name: Business Name:	Cormorant Point Norman Ross				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 8.5	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:				

Additional Case Information:

2018 line almost empty, left over from last years harvest, 2019 line 50% stocked.

Medium to heavy fouling on the 2018 stock, medium fouling on the 2020 stock and little to no fouling on the 2019 stock. A few starfish observed on the 2018 and 2019 lines.

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0110		Site No:	SS0425	5			
Date of Visit:		28/04/20	21		Inspector(s)):		
Registration/Autho	risation Det	tails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by	y site represent	tative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	sh for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities		4 lines	Facilities sto	ocked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	3
Species	MED	MED	MED				T	
Age group	2018 spat	2019 spat	2020 spat					
No Fish	1 line	1 line	1 line					
Mean Fish Wt	110g	90g	10-20g					
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	continuous	sly stocked	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	continuou	sly stocked	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?			Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
5. Are records comp6. Are health certificationTransport Records1. Are any movementIf yes, is there a syst	ates for intro a nts carried ou	oductions (ou ut by (or on I	utwith GB) avail	ousiness (not us				N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for	inspection?						N/A
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed (of?			Other (detai	i)		
If other detail:	empty shells	s fall to the	seabed					
3. Mortality records of								N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		none obser	ved				
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/	atypical mor	talities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no	stock per facilit	y/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality d	uring period	checked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to F	HI? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
civile inicalcines stores appropriately.		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify S		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pre-	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be n	otified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stock	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff,		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in pla	ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately impler	nented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, o	or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems)		
December de Heri	105/40/0040 00/04/0004	
Records checked between:	05/10/2016-28/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0110 Site No:	SS042	5		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		25 MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0110			Date of v	isit: 28/04/2	021			
		-							
Site No:	SS0425	J		Inspec	tor:	_			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2	
	+								
	+								
	+								
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI	11/05/2021								
	+								
	+								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOSB0273DATE OF VISIT28/04/2021SITE NOSS0425SITE NAMECormorant PointCASE NO20210110INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 11/05/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0111			Date of visit: 28/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: SS0446 Business No: SB0286	Site Name: Business Name:	Rhivichie Loch Inchard Rhivichie Fisheries	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.5	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving for Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Medium fouling on the 2020 spat, little to no fouling on the 2019 spat.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0111		Site No:	SS0446				
Date of Visit:		28/04/202]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site represent	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	•	Í	·				N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		2	Facilities sto	ocked	2	No facilitie	s inspected	2
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2019 spat	2020 spat						
No Fish	1 line	1 line						
Mean Fish Wt	90g	10-20g						
Next Fallow Date (S		continuously	/ stocked	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	continuous	sly stocked	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:						•	,	
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	cords availab blete and corr ates for introd ats carried ou	ole for dead fis ectly entered ductions (out out by (or on be	sh and waste'? vith GB) avail chalf) of the be	able? usiness (not us	-			Y N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed d	of?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:		s fall to sea be						
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality (•		none observ	ved				
5. Evidence of recer		7 I						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ıring period cl	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (inexplained)	mortalities he	en reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unorpianiou)	ortantioo be	o.r roportou t	70.0.1111				, ,,,,
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A
•								

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are fich in a with drawel paried?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Diagonitis Doggado		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording		Ť
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scot	tish Ministers or Veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		ī
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifi		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Ť
health status, certification if required)?		
	l batus as a sale antidacticle start unit to estimate a	
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visit	, o	
·	· · ·	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place aquaculture animals held on site?	to maintain the physical containment of	
·	stad on sito?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement If no, detail:	ned on site?	<u>'</u>
ii iio, detaii.		
Results of Surveillance		
	n habalf of the husiness?	N
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or o	n behall of, the business?	11
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?3. Any significant results?		
, ,		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 0	5/10/2016-28/04/2021	
Necolus checked between.	0/ 10/20 10-20/0 1 /2021	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

TTII 039, VEISIOII 13	issued by. I i ii			Date	UI 135UE. 12
Case Number:	2021-0111 Site No:	SS044	6		
Date of Visit	28/04/2021 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0	
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	U
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or		40	00	
	compartment including third country Number of suppliers	0	10 5	20 10	0
	Inditibel of suppliers	U	3	10	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	0	2	0	
			Total Risk		28 MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0111			Date of visit:	28/04/2021					
Site No:	SS0446	1		Inspector:		ı				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp		Insp	2 nd Insp		
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1						
Case Type ECI	11/05/2021									
	1									





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0286 Date of Visit 28/04/2021

SITE NO SS0446 SITE NAME Rhivichie Loch Inchard

Case No 20210111 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

charter/

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-

Date: 11/05/2021

R14