FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0076			Date of visit: 26/04/2021			
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	or:			
Site No: SS0359 Business No: SB0523	Site Name: Business Name:	Sgeir Liath Lochnell Oysters				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 10	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

#### Additional Case Information:

Draft and email section about CDN to be included in the BMP. Also send form for movement of OED off site to the Solent. Informed he must request permission to move and OED on or off site and apply for permission to move off to Solent. Done 20/5/21

OED movements; 23/12/20 and 29/12/21 - off site direct for human consumption. Moved on 16/3/21 from Morecambe Bay without permission. These were unpacked at depuration plant and 1000 moved straight to project. Remainder were placed at the site for on growing. - Issue was raised and discussed with site operator. Application was recieved for Solent movment following the issue being raised.

All shells depurated. Depuration tank discharged between cycles into domestic waste system. Bleach used to disinfect the water.

No animals relayed, all depurated then sold for human consumption.

Mortality estimated to be 95% in OED and <1%CGI input to harvest

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2021-0076 Site No:	SS035	9		
Date of ∀isit	26/04/2021 Inspector:	1			
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk			.,	
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3		
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	U
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	ldash
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	oxdot
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management practices		None	(effluent treatment)	(no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	
		_	2	6	$\vdash$
	MSS management area	_	2	6 8	
Biosecurity	MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
Biosecurity  Contacts with other	MSS management area  Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area  Number of sites  Sites operating from single shorebase	0	4	8 ≥4	0
-	MSS management area  Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area  Number of sites	0	4	8 ≥4	0
Contacts with other	MSS management area  Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area  Number of sites  Sites operating from single shorebase	0 1 0	4 2 or 3	8 ≥4	0
Contacts with other	MSS management area  Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area  Number of sites  Sites operating from single shorebase	0 1 0 0	4 2 or 3 1	8 ≥4 2 5	0 0
Contacts with other	MSS management area  Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area  Number of sites  Sites operating from single shorebase  Sites sharing staff and equipment	0 1 0 0	4 2 or 3 1 1 Yes	8 ≥ 4 2 5 No	0
Contacts with other	MSS management area  Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area  Number of sites  Sites operating from single shorebase  Sites sharing staff and equipment	0 1 0 0	4 2 or 3 1 1 Yes	8 ≥ 4 2 5 No	

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0076		Site No:	SS0359				
Date of Visit:		26/04/202	21		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by	site represen	tative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities		230	Facilities st	tocked	230	No facilitie	es inspected	230
Species	CGI	OED	Mussels					
Age group	growers	growers	growers					
No Fish	62,000	37,760	25kg					
Mean Fish Wt	mixed	5-80g	40g					
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	none		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	none curre	ently planned	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	high mortali	ty in all stag	es of OED attr	ributed to Bonan	nia ostreae			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspeta.</li> <li>Are records compared.</li> <li>Are movement respectations.</li> <li>Are records compared.</li> <li>Are health certifications.</li> <li>Transport Records.</li> <li>Are any movement if yes, is there a system.</li> </ol>	plete and correctords available end corrected and corrected sates for introduced sates for introduced sates carried output to the corried of the correct of	ole for dead rectly entere ductions (ou	fish and wasted? twith GB) ava pehalf) of the b	ilable? ousiness (not us			11/03/2020	Y N/A N/A N/A
<b>Mortality Records</b>								
1. Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	of?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:			ad infill at site					
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly e	ntered?					N
4. Recent mortality				erbally of figures	across site			
5. Evidence of recei								Y
If yes, facility nos/no	• • •	•	tock per facilit	ty/reason:				
95% mortality in OE		,						
6. Any other peaks i			checked?					Y
If yes, detail: as detailed above								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							N/A	
If yes, detail action:			IIO IE					
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents been re	eported to FI	⊓i / Iĭ no. ente	r details on mort	ality events sh	ieet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					
If yes, detail:					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					
Biosecurity Records					
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y			
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality ren	emoval, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB	will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site bee	en included?	Y			
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event the	that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
•	es implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disease been covered (movement	ent of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?				
	asures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y			
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequa	ately implemented on site?	N			
If no, detail: permission for movement o	of OED not sought prior to restocking.				
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carrie	ied out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N			
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					
3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease	e problems).				
Records checked between:	11/3/20 - date				

Case No:	2021-0076			Date of visit:	26/04/2021				
Site No:	SS0359	1		Inspector:		ı			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
	<del>                                     </del>								
Report Summary									
Case Type ECI	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
ECI	22/07/2021								
	ļ								
	ļ								





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0523
 Date of Visit
 26/04/2021

 Site No
 SS0359
 Site Name
 Sgeir Liath

 Case No
 20210076
 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were provided verbally. Forfuture inspections please maintain written mortality records detailing facility numbers and dates of the mortality.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented. However following the movement of controlled species out of the designated area I request that details are added to your plan in relation to the movement of controlled species as follows;

"Sgeir Liath is located within the Lynn of Lorne, Loch Creran and Loch Etive protection zone for *Bonamia ostreae*. This is controlled by Confirmed Designation Notice; CDN2020002. The notice can be viewed at; Fish Health Inspectorate: Movement restrictions on fish and shellfish - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

This notice controls the movement **into**, **out of and within** the protection zone of susceptible and vector species for *Bonamia ostreae*.

Native oysters are a susceptible species and are therefore restricted. Permission must be sought prior to the movement of native oysters into, out of or within the controlled area. Pacific oysters are not a susceptible or vector species but when being moved off site stocks must be checked to ensure no hitchhiker species listed in CND2020002 are contained within the consignment."

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 23/07/2021