

Case No: Date of visit:

Time spent on site: Main Inspector:

Site No: Site Name:

Business No: Business Name:

Case Types: 1 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected in order to update species held details

Site currently registered as an NCB so no requirement to maintain records, however movement records were in place, these were inspected and copies taken.

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="1"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="1"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="STI"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="50"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Unknown"/>		

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Any escapes (since last visit)?
 If yes, detail:

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
 2. Date of last inspection:
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
 If yes, detail:
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
 If yes, detail action:
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, detail:	<input type="text"/>
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If no, detail:	<input type="text"/>

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	<input type="text"/>

<input type="text"/>

Records checked between:

██████████
Scottish Association for Marine Science
Scottish Association for Marine Science
Dunbeg by Oban
Argyll
PA37 1QA
██████████

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0354	DATE OF VISIT	19/02/2020
SITE No	FS0469	SITE NAME	Scottish Association for Marine Science
INSPECTOR	██████████	CASE No	20200052

The above site was inspected during the inspection of the associated shellfish site (SS0740).

Details of species held on site were updated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

██████████

Date: 20/04/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2020-0053 Date of visit: 19/02/2020

Time spent on site: 1.5 hours Main Inspector:

Site No: SS0740 Site Name: Scottish Marine Institute (SAMS) (ShellF
Business No: SB0473 Business Name: Scottish Marine Institute (SAMS)

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site visited in order to inspect biosecurity measures in place as the facility falls within a protection area for *Bonamia ostreae* (CDN 2020002). The species held details for the site were also updated based on planned future work.

The site is connected to the protection area via unprotected seawater intake and effluent discharge. The site currently holds species susceptible to *Bonamia ostreae* (native oysters), which presents an increased risk in the spread of a listed disease. Therefore the Scottish Marine Institute (SAMS) (Shellfish) non-commercial business registration shall be amended to an aquaculture production business under section 9 of The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) regulations 2009.

Native oysters brought on site from Loch Ryan 18/02/2020, following a movement request made to FHI. Oysters used in photoperiod study. All oysters to be dispatched for analysis work during project. Movement requests will be made to dispose of waste material.

Site currently registered as an NCB so there has been no requirement to maintain records, however movement records were in place, these were inspected and copies taken.

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="35"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="16"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="35"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="OED"/>	<input type="text" value="ESU"/>	<input type="text" value="PSU"/>		
Age group	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/>		
No Fish	<input type="text" value="600"/>	<input type="text" value="~200"/>	<input type="text" value="~100"/>		
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>		
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Unknown"/>	

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Any escapes (since last visit)?
 If yes, detail:

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection:
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
- If yes, detail:
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
- If yes, detail action:
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, detail:	<input type="text"/>
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If no, detail:	<input type="text"/>

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	

Records checked between:

██████████
Scottish Association for Marine Science
Scottish Association for Marine Science
Dunbeg by Oban
Argyll
PA37 1QA
██████████

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	SB0473	DATE OF VISIT	19/02/2020
SITE No	SS0740	SITE NAME	Scottish Association for Marine Science
INSPECTOR	██████████	CASE No	20200053

The above site was inspected in order to inspect the biosecurity measures in place, as the site now falls within a protection area for *Bonamia ostreae* (CDN 2020002).

The inspection showed the site is connected to the protection area via unprotected seawater intake and effluent discharge. The site currently holds species susceptible to *Bonamia ostreae* (native oysters), which presents an increased risk in the spread of a listed disease.

The Scottish Association for Marine Science non-commercial business registration has been amended to an aquaculture production business under section 9 of The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) regulations 2009.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

██████████

Date: 20/04/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2020-0097 Date of visit: 25/02/2020

Time spent on site: 1.5h Main Inspector:

Site No: FS1051 Site Name: Appleburn Incubation Unit

Business No: FB0169 Business Name: The Scottish Salmon Company

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 2.4 Thermometer No: T173 FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: HI Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

Clinical signs of disease observed? n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

Gross pathology observed? n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.

Diagnostic samples taken? n

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Ferguson transport completing uplift of mortalities, records show disposal to Deerdykes and also Energen biogas.

Two inputs from Stofnfiskur. No issues to note.

A couple of dead ova observed in each tray in the comp hatch.

Case No: **2020-0097** Site No: **FS1051**
 Date of Visit: **25/02/2020** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **y**
 2. Changes made to details? **n**

Site Details

	one Hatchery	Facilities stocked	whole hatchery	No facilities inspected	all unit
Total No facilities					
Species	SAL				
Age group	Ova				
No Fish	869,000				
Mean Fish Wt	N/A				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	April 2020		Next Input Date (Site)	November	

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? **N** Any escapes (since last visit)? **N**
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
 2. Date of last inspection: **13/02/2017**
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **Y**

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **Y**
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **Y**

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Biogas - Energen, Cumbernauld**
 If other detail: **[REDACTED]**
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **11520 (1.33%) (first picking of ova since input)**
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **Y**
 If yes, detail: **2019 wk 49 30,650 (3.00%) (failed hatch ova)**
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail:	<input type="text"/>	
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>	
If other, detail:	<input type="text"/>	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	y
If no, detail:	<input type="text"/>	
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	<input type="text"/>	
<input type="text"/>		
Records checked between:	<input type="text" value="13/2/2017 to 25/2/2020"/>	

Case Number:	2020-0097	Site No:	FS1051	Insp:		
Date of Visit	25/02/2020	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					23	MEDIUM

Case No: **2020-0097**

Site No: **FS1051**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) Y

Site inside Vermin control

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) Y

[REDACTED]
The Scottish Salmon Company
1 Smithy Lane
Lochgilphead
Argyll
PA31 8TA
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	25/02/2020
SITE No	FS1051	SITE NAME	Appleburn Incubation Unit
INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]	CASE No	20200097

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 10/03/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: Date of visit:

Time spent on site: Main Inspector:

Site No: Site Name:
 Business No: Business Name:

Case Types: 1 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Three mussel lines stocked with mixed yearclass mussel. No harvests have taken place for many years.

Owner may sell the site

No movements on or off site since last inspection.

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="3"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="3"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="3"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="MED"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="Mixed"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="not known"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="None planned"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Not known"/>		

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Any escapes (since last visit)?
 If yes, detail:

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
 2. Date of last inspection:
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
 If yes, detail:
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
 If yes, detail action:
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 29/08/2018 to 10/3/2020

[REDACTED]
JG & B MacDonald & Sons
Peat Port
Badachro
Gairloch
IV21 2AB
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	SB0016	DATE OF VISIT	26/02/2020
SITE No	SS0026	SITE NAME	Ob Ghorm Beg
INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]	CASE No	20200100

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 10/03/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter