

Case No: Date of visit:

Time spent on site: Main Inspector:

Site No: Site Name:
 Business No: Business Name:

Case Types: 1 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site was reported to be fallow and the owner had requested to deregister the site, upon arrival at the site it became apparent that there was still equipment on site with some stock on site. Site manager contacted for further details. Case type changed to ECI. Request made for stock details and biosecurity measures plan.

March 2020 [REDACTED] - Stock originally thought to be owned by this site has since been identified to be stock owned by Site 1 (SS0301). The site manager for Site 1, visited the site and confirmed that all live stock belongs to him. As the sites are side by side, stock belonging to site 1 was misidentified as stock from Balvicar - A new case has been opened to reflect this development. (Case no 2020-0141) - Original ECI report has been retracted and a new one issued to reflect changes. Case type has been changed back to REG.

Site manager of Balvicar has been contacted to see if they still want to deregister the site, in which case all equipment will have to be removed or make the site inactive. There is still around 70 trestles located on the site, the site manager was under the impression the site had been cleared of equipment.

Paperwork and Inspection carried out by [REDACTED] supervised by [REDACTED]

May 2021 [REDACTED] - Site manager of Balvicar contacted to follow up on making site inactive or to deregister. They would like to keep the site with equipment on it for now and not deregister as originally planned. They have no current plans to restock the site, but would like to retain the option for now.

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Total No facilities | <input type="text" value="150 (registered with FHI)"/> | Facilities stocked | <input type="text" value="0"/> | No facilities inspected | <input type="text" value="70"/> |
| Species | | | | | |
| Age group | | | | | |
| No Fish | | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt | | | | | |
| Next Fallow Date (Site) | <input type="text" value="Currently Fallow"/> | | Next Input Date (Site) | <input type="text" value="no plans"/> | |

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Any escapes (since last visit)?
 If yes, detail:

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
 2. Date of last inspection:
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
 If yes, detail:
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
 If yes, detail action:
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| 1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? | |
| If yes, detail: | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspection? | |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? | |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? | |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? | |

Biosecurity Records

| | |
|--|--|
| 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? | |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? | |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included? | |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? | |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? | |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? | |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? | |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? | |
| If no, detail: | |

Results of Surveillance

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? | | N |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? | | |
| 3. Any significant results? | | |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). | | |

Records checked between: No records to check

| | | | |
|---|---|------------|----------------------------------|
| Case Number: | 2019-0463 | Site No: | SS0643 |
| Date of Visit | 05/09/2019 | Inspector: | |
| Number of Susceptible species on site | | | |
| If no susceptible species present = LOW risk | | | |
| If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen | | | |
| | | No | Yes |
| Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) | | 0 | 25 |
| Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) | | 0 | 3 |
| Susceptible to OshV (CGI) | | 0 | 3 |
| Sites within a tidal excursion | | | |
| | | 1 | 2-5 |
| | | | >6 |
| Site contacts | Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion | 0 | 2 |
| | | | 10 |
| | | | 2 |
| Live shellfish movements | | | |
| | | 0 | 1-2 |
| | | | >3 |
| Movements on | Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 |
| | Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country | 0 | 10 |
| | Number of suppliers | 0 | 5 |
| | | | 10 |
| | | | 0 |
| Movements off | Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas | 0 | 1 |
| | Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas | 0 | 3 |
| | Number of destinations | 0 | 3 |
| | | | 6 |
| | | | 0 |
| | | | 0 |
| Management practices | | | |
| | | None | Secure (effluent treatment) |
| | | | Unsecure (no effluent treatment) |
| Water contacts with depuration facilities | Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area | 0 | 1 |
| | Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area | 0 | 2 |
| | Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area | 0 | 4 |
| | | | 8 |
| | | | 0 |
| | | | 0 |
| | | | 0 |
| Biosecurity | | | |
| | Number of sites | 1 | 2 or 3 |
| | | | ≥ 4 |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating from single shorebase | 0 | 1 |
| | Sites sharing staff and equipment | 0 | 1 |
| | | | |
| | | | 0 |
| | | | 0 |
| | | Yes | No |
| | Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc | 0 | 2 |
| | | | 2 |
| | | | 2 |
| Total Risk | | | 7 |
| | | | LOW |

██████████
The Caledonian Oyster Company
54 Lorn Road
Dunbeg
by Oban
PA37 1QQ
████████████████████

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| BUSINESS No | SB0366 | DATE OF VISIT | 05/09/2019 |
| SITE No | SS0643 | SITE NAME | Balvicar |
| INSPECTOR | ████████████████████ | CASE No | 20190463 |

Please disregard the previous report that was issued on the 12^h of November 2019, the FHI have been made aware that stock previously thought to be associated with this site was actually the stock of another operator in the area. The site (Balvicar) should have been inspected as fallow. Our records have been updated to reflect this, and this report details the updated findings.

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required. The site is due to be made inactive, in which case the site will be removed from the inspection schedule.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were available for inspection. However no movements on or off had taken place since the last inspection.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

R10

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 12/03/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2020-0141 Date of visit: 04/09/2019

Time spent on site: 3 hours Main Inspector:

Site No: SS0301 Site Name: Site 1
Business No: SB0201 Business Name: Druim Oysters

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): not known Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Original Case - 2019-0462

New case opened as a ECI rather than a REG.

Stock that was originally believed to be part of adjacent site (Balvicar SS0643) upon further investigation was stock belonging to this site. Site manager went to assess the site and confirmed that there are around 100 bags with around 30 oysters in each bag. The site manager noted that the oysters must be at least ten years old. It is worth noting that the site manager had contracted another operator to clear up and harvest the site. However this had not been completed so the site manager was unaware that there was still stock on site.

The site manager plans to harvest them when they can find someone to process the oysters for consumption. The site manager also aims to have a water quality assessment done in the area to make sure that stock is suitable for human consumption.

The site manager confirmed that no movements on or off site had occurred since the last inspection and that the movement book for the site is no longer maintained. A new movement book will be sent out to the site manager. The site manager did not have access to a biosecurity measures plan, so one was provided to him and tailored to Site 1.

The site manager wishes to keep the site active and may decide to stock the site at a later date once the current stock has been removed.

Inspection and paperwork completed by [REDACTED] supervised by [REDACTED]

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Total No facilities | <input type="text" value="50"/> | Facilities stocked | <input type="text" value="30"/> | No facilities inspected | <input type="text" value="50"/> |
| Species | <input type="text" value="OED"/> | | | | |
| Age group | <input type="text" value="Adult"/> | | | | |
| No Fish | <input type="text" value="3,000"/> | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt | <input type="text" value="160g"/> | | | | |
| Next Fallow Date (Site) | <input type="text" value="not known"/> | Next Input Date (Site) | <input type="text" value="not known"/> | | |

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Any escapes (since last visit)?
 If yes, detail:

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
 2. Date of last inspection:
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
 If yes, detail:
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
 If yes, detail action:
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| 1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? | |
| If yes, detail: | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspection? | |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? | |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? | |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? | |

Biosecurity Records

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? | | Y |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? | | Y |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included? | | Y |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? | | Y |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? | | Y |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? | | Y |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? | | Y |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? | | Y |
| If no, detail: | | |

Results of Surveillance

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? | | N |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? | | |
| 3. Any significant results? | | |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). | | |

Records checked between: No movement records to check.

| | | | |
|---|---|------------|----------------------------------|
| Case Number: | 2020-0141 | Site No: | SS0301 |
| Date of Visit | 04/09/2019 | Inspector: | |
| Number of Susceptible species on site | | | |
| If no susceptible species present = LOW risk | | | |
| If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen | | | |
| | | No | Yes |
| Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) | | 0 | 25 |
| Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) | | 0 | 3 |
| Susceptible to OshV (CGI) | | 0 | 3 |
| Sites within a tidal excursion | | | |
| | | 1 | 2-5 |
| | | | >6 |
| Site contacts | Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion | 0 | 2 |
| | | | 10 |
| Live shellfish movements | | | |
| | | 0 | 1-2 |
| | | | >3 |
| Movements on | Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 |
| | Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country | 0 | 10 |
| | Number of suppliers | 0 | 5 |
| Movements off | Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas | 0 | 1 |
| | Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas | 0 | 3 |
| | Number of destinations | 0 | 3 |
| Management practices | | | |
| | | None | Secure (effluent treatment) |
| | | | Unsecure (no effluent treatment) |
| Water contacts with depuration facilities | Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area | 0 | 1 |
| | Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area | 0 | 2 |
| | Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area | 0 | 4 |
| Biosecurity | | | |
| | Number of sites | 1 | 2 or 3 |
| | | | ≥ 4 |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating from single shorebase | 0 | 1 |
| | Sites sharing staff and equipment | 0 | 1 |
| | | | Yes |
| | Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc | 0 | 2 |
| Total Risk | | | 13 |
| | | | LOW |

[REDACTED]
Druim Oysters
Balvicar Farm
Balvicar
Oban, Argyll
PA34 4TE
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

| | | | |
|--------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| BUSINESS No | SB0201 | DATE OF VISIT | 04/09/2019 |
| SITE No | SS0301 | SITE NAME | Site 1 |
| INSPECTOR | [REDACTED] | CASE No | 20200141 |

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were not available for inspection as no movements on or off site had taken place since previous inspection.


No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was not available for inspection. A new biosecurity measures plan was created and agreed with the site manager. This was found to be adequate.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 19/03/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter